

A Study of Primary Cesarean Section in Multiparous Woman

Dr. P. M. Rekha Rao^{1*}, Dr. Dhanireddy Salini Sakuntala²¹Assistant Professor and Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Kadapa Andhra Pradesh India²Ex Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, Kadapa Andhra Pradesh India

*Corresponding author: Dr. P. M. Rekha Rao

| Received: 08.06.2019 | Accepted: 15.06.2019 | Published: 30.06.2019

DOI: [10.36347/sjams.2019.v07i06.024](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjams.2019.v07i06.024)

Abstract

Review Article

Objective: To study the indications for the primary cesarean section in multiparous women who had at least one vaginal delivery previously after period of viability and maternal and fetal outcome after primary cesarean section. **Duration and place of Study:** This study was conducted in labor room, RIMS, Kadapa from March 2018 to February 2019 for 1 year. **Type of Study:** Prospective Study. **Materials & Methods:** 100 cases of Primary Cesarean Section in Multiparous Woman. **Result:** Incidence is 4.4% of total cesarean section and 1.99% of total number of deliveries and indications, maternal postoperative complications, perinatal outcome, perinatal morbidity and mortality were obtained. **Conclusion:** Primary cesarean sections in multipara constitute only a small percentage of total deliveries (1.99%) but are associated with high maternal and fetal morbidity. High maternal morbidity (90.9%) in the study undergoing second stage sections and high perinatal mortality (56.25%) was seen in Antepartum Hemorrhage. Good Antenatal and intrapartum care and early referral will reduce the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in Multipara.

Keywords: Primary Cesarean section, Multiparity, maternal morbidity, perinatal morbidity and mortality.

Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

The term cesarean section refers to the operation of delivering the baby through incision made on the abdominal wall and on intact uterus after the period of viability. Primary cesarean section is the first cesarean procedure for the mother irrespective of parity. Cesarean section rates show a wide variation among the countries in the world, ranging from 0.4% to 40% and the trend in continuous rise has been observed in the past 30 years [3,4].

Primary cesarean section rate contribute to nearly 49% of the total cesarean section rate and it is on the increase [6]. Previously incidence of primary cesarean section rate was less in multiparous women compared to primigravida but now due to broad range of indications there is less difference based on parity [7].

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To study the indications for the primary cesarean section in multiparous women who had at least one vaginal delivery previously after period of viability. To study the maternal and fetal outcome after primary cesarean section in multiparous women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a 1 year prospective study of 100 cases of primary cesarean section in multiparous women from March 2018 to february 2019. 100 cases of primary cesarean sections in multiparous women done in Rajiv Gandhi Institute of medical Science, Kadapa, and Andhra Pradesh were analysed. It is a tertiary care centre, constitutes largest referral centre in Kadapa District. The patient population comprises, mainly of low income group from rural areas, urban slums, referred patients from surrounding rural areas, private clinics and adjacent district hospitals.

Inclusion criteria

This includes the multiparous women who underwent cesarean section for the first time who have delivered vaginally in previous pregnancies after the period of viability.

Exclusion criteria

Women who never had crossed 28 weeks of gestation in her previous pregnancies. Women who underwent cesarean section in previous pregnancy, previous uterine surgery or hysterotomy and Secondary abdominal pregnancy Information regarding age, socioeconomic status, details about previous conception, antenatal care and booking status was collected.

Complete general physical examination, systemic examination and obstetric examination was done. Routine and relevant investigations such as analysis of urine (albumin, sugar, Microscopy), Hb gms/dl, bleeding time, clotting time, platelet count, Blood Grouping and Rh typing. VDRL, HIV, HbsAg, RBS were all done. Ultra sound with fetal Doppler study was done whenever found necessary. Cardiotocographic monitoring was done during labor to assess fetal well-being. Period of gestation was derived from history of last menstrual period and clinical examination and confirmed by ultrasound. Engagement of head during labor, duration of labor, indication for

cesarean delivery, colour of liquor, abnormality of III stage labor, puerperium, weight of baby, maturity, APGAR and congenital malformation are noted. Maternal complications like post-partum hemorrhage, anemia, toxemia, hydramnios, and antepartum hemorrhage are recorded. Fetal complications like intra-uterine growth restriction and neonatal morbidity like prematurity, meconium aspiration syndrome and birth asphyxia were noted.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

This is

Table-1

Age Distribution	Number of Patients	Percentage%
16-20	02	02 %
21 -25	42	42 %
26-30	34	34 %
31-35	16	16 %
36-40	06	06 %

Majority of patients in the study were from the age group 21-25yrs. youngest women in the study was of 19yrs of age and the oldest was 40 yrs old.

The percentage of primary cesarean section in multiparous women in Rajiv Gandhi

Institute of Medical Sciences, Kadapa is 4.4% of total cesarean sections and 1.99 % of the total number of deliveries during the study period. In this study of 100 cases, 33 patients were booked cases and 67 were unbooked.

Table-7: Antenatal Complications

Complications	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Anemia	57	57%
Mild	40	40%
Moderate	8	8%
Severe	9	9%
Antepartum hemorrhage	24	24%
Placenta previa	18	18%
Abruptio Placenta	06	06%
Pre-eclampsia	20	20%
Eclampsia	01	01%
Malpresentations	15	15%
Breech	07	07%
Oblique lie	04	04%
Transverse lie	03	03%
Brow Presentation	01	01%
Bad obstetric history	06	06%
Multiple pregnancy	03	03%
Polyhydramnios	02	02%
GDM	01	01%

Anemia, antepartum hemorrhage, malpresentations and severe pre-eclampsia are frequently encountered in multiparous women. Anemia (Hb% <10gm %) was observed in 57 cases and severe

pre- eclampsia was encountered in 20 cases and 1 patient had eclampsia. Some patients in the study had 2 or more complications.

Table-8: Indications of primary cesarean section in multiparous women

Indications	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Fetal distress	24	24%
Antepartum hemorrhage	24	24%
Placenta previa (major degree)	18	18%
Abruptio placenta	06	06%
Malpresentations	15	15%
Breech	07	07%
Oblique lie	04	04%
Transverse lie	03	03%
Brow Presentation	01	01%
Obstructed labour	08	08%
Severe Oligohydramnios	07	07%
Bad Obstetric History	06	06%
Cephalopelvic disproportion	06	06%
Deep transverse arrest	03	03%
Compound presentation	03	03%
failure of induction	02	02%
Maternal desire	01	01%
Cervical dystocia	01	01%

Table shows the indications of primary cesarean section in the present study. Antepartum hemorrhage and fetal distress were the major indications for cesarean section i.e. 24 cases each. Among

the antepartum hemorrhage placenta previa is more common than abruptio placenta. Other common indications are malpresentations fetopelvic disproportion and obstructed labor. There were 11.

Second stage cesarean sections

Table-14: Maternal Morbidity

Post-operative complications	Frequency (N)
Paralytic ileus	3
Puerperal fever	3
Wound gaping	2
Urinary tract infection	2

As shown in the table, in the present study post-operative morbidity was present in 10 patients amounting to 10% of incidence. Among them paralytic ileus and puerperal sepsis were more common and seen in 3 cases (30%) each. The high post-

operative morbidity is due to the fact that most of the cases were unbooked and referred from peripheral centers handled outside by untrained dhais.

FETAL OUTCOME

Table-15: Birth weight distribution

Birth weight in Kgs	No of babies
<1.5	5
1.6-2.0	13
2.1-2.5	21
2.6-3.0	38
3.1-3.5	17
3.6-4.0	6
>4.0	2

In the study 102 babies were born as there were 2 cases of twin pregnancy among them majority of

babies weighed in the range of 2.6-3 kgs

NEONATAL OUTCOME

Table-16: Neonatal Outcome

Neonatal Outcome	Frequency (N)
Live births	95
Term	71
Preterm	24
<34 Weeks	11
>34 Weeks	13
Stillbirths	07

In the study 102 babies were born as there were 2 cases of twin pregnancy. And

there were 95 live births and 7 still births. Out of 95 there were 24 preterm babies

Table-17: Causes for stillbirth in the study

Cause	Frequency (N)
Antepartum hemorrhage	6
Placenta previa	4
Abruption placenta	2
Obstructed labor	1

Table-18: Neonatal Morbidity

NICU Admissions	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
Preterm care	16	42.2%
Meconium aspiration syndrome	15	39.5 %
Birth asphyxia	3	7.9%
IUGR	2	5.2%
Sepsis	2	5.2%

Table 18 shows the cause for NICU admissions for the babies in the study. Out of 95 live births 38(40%) babies were admitted in

NICU and majority of them were for preterm care and meconium aspiration syndrome.

Table-19: Perinatal Mortality

Indications	Still Birth (N)	Neonatal Death (N)	Total (N)
Placenta previa	04	02	06
Abruptio placenta	02	01	03
Obstructed labor	01	01	02
Malpresentation	0	02	02
Severe oligohydramnios	0	02	02
Fetal distress	0	01	01
Total	07	09	16

In table 19, it is shown that the perinatal mortality in the study was 15.6%. Among them

antepartum hemorrhage accounts to 9 cases (56.25%) of fetal losses

Table-20: Causes for Neonatal Deaths

Cause	Number
Prematurity	06
Birth Asphyxia	02
Meconium Aspiration Syndrome	01

CESAREAN SECTION IN SECOND STAGE OF LABOR

There were 11 cases of second stage cesarean deliveries, among which 3 cases of deep transverse arrest and 8 cases of obstructed

labor were noted. Intrapartum blood transfusion was required in 5 cases, thinned out lower uterine segment was found in 7 cases, Bandl's ring in 3 cases, intraoperatively lateral extension of uterine incision was seen in 2 cases and bladder and bowel distension was found in 1

case. Among the post-operative complications, 2 patients had wound disruption requiring resuturing and 1 patient had paralytic ileus. 6 babies were admitted to NICU. There was 1

intrauterine death and 1 neonatal death. One patient had PPH which didn't respond to medical management and required peripartum hysterectomy.

Table-21: Morbidity in second stage cesarean sections

Morbidity	Frequency (N)	Percentage (%)
NICU admissions	6	54.5%
Need for blood transfusion	5	45.5%
Bandl's ring	3	27.8%
Extension of uterine incision	2	18.2%
Perinatal mortality	2	18.2%
Wound gaping	2	18.1%
Paralytic ileus	1	9.1%
Atonic PPH requiring hysterectomy	1	9.1%

DISCUSSION

Cesarean section is not the panacea for all obstetric problems but it is an excellent solution when applied judiciously. 100 cases of primary cesarean sections in multipara done in Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences Hospital, Kadapa from March 2018 to February 2019 were analysed.

Incidence of primary cesarean section in multipara in the present study is 1.99% which is comparable with Jacob and Bharghav study (2.06%). In the present series maximum number of women undergoing primary cesarean section in multipara was of 25-35 years (50%). In Kiyoko M.parish series and PS Reddy series maximum number of patients was of 25-35 years.

The four major indications for cesarean section in multipara in our study were antepartum hemorrhage, fetal distress, malpresentations and fetopelvic disproportions. In a study by Duckman *et al.* 22% multipara had primary cesarean section for cephalopelvic disproportion (4.1% of primary cesarean section) and contracted pelvis was found in 11 cases. The incidence of BOH in the present study is 6.0% which is similar to the incidence in Jacob, and also Sameer Sen's series. According to Bhasin SK *et al.* the main indication for cesarean section was fetal distress (22.9%), followed by post cesarean pregnancies (21%) and the failure of progression of labor. However the maternal and perinatal mortality and morbidity are typically higher with cesarean deliveries than with vaginal deliveries in part because of the complications that led to the cesarean section and in part because of increased risks inherent in the abdominal route of delivery. But still cesarean section is safer than difficult vaginal delivery.

Preventive efforts should be made towards decreasing the frequency of primary cesarean deliveries by strict and appropriate management of labor. Efforts to lower cesarean section rate should focus on the areas of fetal distress, failure to progress in labor. In Desai *et*

al. study puerperal pyrexia was of 11.63%, and wound gaping was seen in 10.47% of cases, whereas in PS Reddy *et al.* study urinary tract infection was seen in 12.55% comparatively more than the incidence of wound gaping. In the present study puerperal pyrexia is the commonest, contributing 30% of post-operative complications, followed by wound gaping and urinary tract infection. The perinatal mortality in the present study is 15.6% and is nearer to Sikdar *et al.* study.

CONCLUSION

Multiparity is a problem associated with poverty, illiteracy, ignorance and lack of knowledge of the available antenatal care and family planning methods. A multipara who has earlier delivered vaginally may still require a cesarean section for safe delivery. Primary cesarean sections in multipara constitute only a small percentage of total deliveries (1.99%) but are associated with high maternal and fetal morbidity.

Anemia, antepartum hemorrhage, malpresentations and severe pre-eclampsia were most common associated preoperative complications. Fetal distress (24%), antepartum hemorrhage (24%), malpresentations (15%) and fetopelvic disproportions (6%) were most common indications for cesarean sections. The highest maternal morbidity (90.9%) in the study was seen in patients undergoing second stage cesarean sections and the highest perinatal mortality (56.25%) was seen in women with antepartum hemorrhage.

Good intrapartum and postpartum care have eliminated maternal deaths in our study. Unrecognized cephalopelvic disproportion leading to obstructed labor (in referred cases) has increased the maternal morbidity. Hence a multiparous woman in labor requires the same attention as that of primigravida. Good antenatal and intrapartum care and early referral will reduce the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality in multipara.

REFERENCES

1. Bugg GJ, Atwal GS, Maresh M; Grandmultiparae in a modern setting; BJOG: an International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 109, March-2002, 249-253
2. Bryan Williams; Some factors causing difficult labor in Multigravidae; International journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 54(2), 1947, 187-195.
3. Fernando Althabe, Claudio Sosa, Jose' M. Beliza'n, Luz Gibbons, Frederique Jacquierioz and Eduardo Bergel; Cesarean Section Rates and Maternal and Neonatal Mortality in Low-, Medium-, and High-Income Countries: An Ecological Study; BIRTH. 33(4), December-2006, 270-277.
4. Lumbiganon P, Laopaiboon M, Gülmezoglu AM, Souza JP, Taneepanichskul S, Ruyan P, Attygalle DE, Shrestha N, Mori R, Hinh ND, Bang HT. Method of delivery and pregnancy outcomes in Asia: the WHO global survey on maternal and perinatal health 2007–08. *The Lancet*. 2010 Feb 6;375(9713):490-9.
5. Goonewardene M, Manawadu MH, Priyaranjana DV. Audit: The Strategy to Reduce the Rising Cesarean section Rates. *J South Asian Feder Obst Gynae*. 2012;4(1):5-
6. Ford J, Grewal J, Mikolajczyk R, Meikle S, Zhang J. Primary cesarean delivery among parous women in the United States, 1990–2003. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 2008 Dec;112(6):1235.
7. L, John C, Larry C, Katherine D, editors. Cesarean delivery and peripartum hysterectomy. In: Williams Obstetrics, 24th edn. McGraw hill medical publishers. 2005;587-606.
8. Kalavashishta, Rekha L, Gupta N. Primary cesarean section in grand multipara. *J Obstet Gynecol India*. 1972; 26:651-57.
9. James M, George, Howard A, Power, Pittsburgh, An analytical survey of multigravidas. *Am J Obstet and Gynecol*. 1949; 57:972-79.
10. Solomon B. The dangerous multipara. *Lancet*. 1932; 2: 8-11.
11. Krebs, Joseph M. Grand multiparity survey and appraisal. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1956; 7:433-43.
12. Schrafman E, Silverstein L. The grand multipara. *Am J Obstet and Gynecol*. 1962; 87:1442-46,
13. Toohey S, Jullinane. The dangerous multipara fact or fiction? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 172: 683-86.
14. Neeraj B. The grand multipara. Renu Mishra, editor. Ian Donald's practical Obstetric problems 7th edn. BI publications pvt Ltd. 2007, 89-102.
15. Nupur G, Renu M. Cesarean section. Renu Mishra, editor. Ian Donald s practical Obstetric problems 7th edn. BI publications pvt Ltd. 2007,89-102.
16. Goonewardene M, Manawadu MH, Priyaranjana DV. Audit: The Strategy to Reduce the Rising Cesarean section Rates. *J South Asian Feder Obst Gynae*. 2012;4(1):5-9
17. Elferink- Stinkens PM, Brand R, Van Hemel OJS. Trends in cesarean section rates among high-and medium-risk pregnancies in the Netherlands 1983-1992. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*.1995;59:159-167.