

A Study of Csom Tubotympanic Type and Its Microbial Flora in Central India

Dr. H. S. Sharma¹, Dr. Naveen Agrawal^{2*}, Dr. Mohit Sahu³

¹Professor & Head, Dept. of ENT (Oto-Rhino-Laryngology), Index Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, India

²Professor, Dept. of ENT (Oto-Rhino-Laryngology), Index Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, India

³Junior Resident, Dept. of ENT (Oto-Rhino-Laryngology), Index Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, India

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author

Dr. Naveen Agrawal

Article History

Received: 02.01.2018

Accepted: 15.01.2018

Published: 30.1.2018

DOI:

10.36347/sjams.2018.v06i01.016



Abstract: To study the microbial flora and sensitivity pattern from the culture of ear swabs of patients with chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) tubotympanic type attending ENT OPD at Index Medical College, Hospital & Research Centre from January 2016 to December 2016. Patients with ear discharge who were eligible for the study were counseled about their condition and an informed written consent was obtained for the study. At the ENT OPD visit of each patient with tubotympanic type CSOM (central perforation), a pretested proforma was used to record the relevant information of each individual included in the study. The ear discharge was collected with two sterile swabs preventing contact with the external auditory canal from middle ear using a sterilized aural speculum. The specimens were immediately taken to the microbiology test laboratory for culture and sensitivity test. Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas spp. were initiate to be the common cause of CSOM in our investigation, these organisms are found to be less vulnerable to the drugs like ciprofloxacin and cephalosporins. With the improvement and extensive use of antibiotics, the types of pathogenic micro-organisms and their resistance to antibiotics have changed. Hence, Routine use of topical antibiotics for any case of CSOM as pragmatic therapy must be reviewed and judicious use of antibiotics is suggested & advised to acquire the drugs for the complete prescribed interval without stopping in the middle. This will not only facilitate in minimizing the complications, but also help in preventing the emergence of resistant strains.

Keywords: CSOM, tubotympanic.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is defined as the chronic inflammation of middle ear and mastoid cavity that may present with recurrent ear discharges or otorrhoea through a tympanic perforation [1]. Incidence of the disease is higher in developing countries especially among low socio-economic society because of malnutrition, overcrowding, poor hygiene, inadequate health care and recurrent upper respiratory tract infection [2]. The urban to rural ratio of the disease is 1:2 and the poorer rural communities have highest prevalence [2, 3].

CSOM is classified into two types, tubotympanic and attico-antral depending on whether the disease process affects the pars tensa or pars flaccida of the tympanic membrane (TM) [2]. Tubotympanic is called as a safe type or benign type as there is no serious complication whereas, attico-antral is called as the unsafe or dangerous type because of

associated complication and may be life threatening at times [4].

Various previous studies showed that most common organisms found in CSOM are Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus mirabilis, Klebsiella, pneumonia, E.coli, Aspergillus species and Candida [5, 6].

Infection can spread from middle-ear to vital structures such as mastoid, facial nerve, labyrinth, lateral sinus, meninges and brain leading to mastoid abscess, facial nerve paralysis, deafness, lateral sinus thrombosis, meningitis and intracranial abscess [7, 8]. Of all the complications, hearing loss associated with chronic ear discharge is nearly always significant, reported in 50% of cases and tending to be more severe than those reported in other types of otitis media [9].

Treatment options include dry mopping, ear wicking, gentle syringing, or suctioning; systemic

antibiotics; and topical treatment with either antiseptics or antibiotics, sometimes with steroids [10, 11].

Complications associated with CSOM were frequent in pre-antibiotic era, however, the introduction of antibiotics gave clinicians a tool to be used even without the precise etiological diagnosis and the irrational use of antibiotics led to the emergence of multi-drug resistant bacterial strains and disease complication in return [12, 13, 17]. Changes in bacterial flora in CSOM in the last decade have been confirmed and described by various authors [2,17-19].

The treatment of CSOM is controversial and subject to change particularly in the developing countries, the prevalence and antibiogram of these organisms has been reported to vary with time and geographical area as well as continent to continent, probably due to indiscriminate use of the antibiotics [14, 17]. Hence, the periodic update of prevalence and antibiogram of the etiological agents for CSOM would be helpful in therapy and management of patients [15].

The present research was thus planned to study the microbial flora and sensitivity pattern from the culture of ear swabs of these patients [16].

Overview

Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is defined as a chronic inflammation of the middle ear and mastoid cavity, which presents with recurrent ear discharges or otorrhoea through a tympanic perforation. The disease usually begins in childhood as a spontaneous tympanic perforation due to an acute infection of the middle ear, known as acute otitis media (AOM), or as a sequel of less severe forms of otitis media (e.g. secretory OM). The infection may occur during the first 6 years of a child's life, with a peak around 2 years. The point in time when AOM becomes CSOM is still controversial. Generally, patients with tympanic perforations which continue to discharge mucoid material for periods of from 6 weeks to 3 months, despite medical treatment, are recognized as CSOM cases.

The ultimate fate of the tympanic perforation is still largely undocumented. Thus, both the start and the end of the disease process are difficult to define. Although healing is often observed over prolonged periods, there are more patients who develop either recurrent bouts of otorrhoea (active CSOM) or a dry but permanent tympanic perforation (inactive CSOM).

Inactive otitis media refers to a previously discharging ear that has apparently ceased (discharging) without probability of resumption in the near future. Often, the perforation heals imperfectly with areas of retraction and scarring in the eardrum which do not vibrate in response to sound, as well as normal areas. The episodes of otorrhoea are often provoked by upper

respiratory infections. A decidedly smaller group of patients, particularly those who have not been treated, develop life-threatening complications.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The patients were selected from ENT OPD Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, INDORE presented with tubotympanic type of CSOM (central perforation) from age group 7-70 years. According to the inclusion criteria during their outpatient visit patients with ear discharge from one or both ears, who were eligible for the study were counseled about their condition and an informed written consent was obtained for the study.

A pretested proforma was used to record the relevant information of each individual included in the study. The ear discharge was collected with two sterile swabs preventing contact with the external auditory canal from middle ear using a sterilized aural speculum. The specimens were immediately taken to the microbiology test laboratory for culture and sensitivity test.

Sample Size Calculation

We included all clinically diagnosed cases of chronic suppurative otitis media and satisfying inclusion criteria attending ENT OPD at Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre from January 2016 to December 2016. Thus final sample size came as 100 and culture & sensitivity study was conducted.

DISCUSSION

CSOM is a major public-health problem, and India is one of the countries with high-prevalence where urgent attention is needed [22]. It's a persistent disease with great risk of irreversible complications. CSOM is an important cause of preventable hearing loss particularly in the developing world [20] and a reason of serious concern, particularly in children, because it may have long-term effects on early communication, language development, auditory processing, educational process, and physiological and cognitive development [22].

In present study, we found that the CSOM was more prevalent in first and second decade of life and accounted for 52% of the cases. The male to female ratio was found to be 1:1.2. Cases of CSOM were more common in females (55) than in males (45). As this study involved, a random selection of cases the predominance of female patients over male may be only an incidental finding. Moreover, no knowledge of anatomical differences in the ear structures of male and female has been reported.

Analysis of the total 93 positive cases revealed that mono-microbial growth was obtained in 76 (81.7%) samples while 17 (18.3%) samples yielded polymicrobial growth. Predominant bacterial etiology

(aerobic) of CSOM in this region is *Staphylococcus aureus* (28.2%) In our study, *Pseudomonas* was the 2nd most common organism isolated (26.4%). The only anaerobe isolated in all cases was *Bacteroids fragilis*.

Antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) was carried out for all the isolates. Imipenem and Amikacin were found to be most effective drug while maximum resistance was seen against cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones. The most effective antibiotics against *P. Aeruginosa* were Imipenem (96.6%) and amikacin

(93.1%). Maximum resistance was seen against fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins. The most effective antibiotics against *Staph aureus* were Vancomycin (100%), Piperacillin/ tazobactam (95.2%) and aminoglycosides (90.5%). Maximum resistance was seen against Ampicillin and ofloxacin. In case of *E.coli*, *Proteus* and *Klebsiella sp*, Imipenem was found to be the most effective drug followed by Amikacin and piperacillin plus tazobactam.

RESULTS

Table-1: Gender distribution of study subjects

Gender	N	%
Male	45	45.0%
Female	55	55.0%
Total	100	100.0%

Female predominance was observed among CSOM cases with female to male ratio of 1.2:1.

Table-2: Age distribution of study subjects

Age group(yrs)	N	%
0-10	25	25.0%
11 - 20	27	27.0%
21-30	17	17.0%
31-40	12	12.0%
41-50	8	8.0%
51-60	5	5.0%
> 60	6	6.0%
Total	100	100.0%
Mean age - 21.7 +/- 7.2 years		

Mean age of cases with CSOM was 21.7 years with over half of them were less than 20 years of age (52%). Only 6% subjects were above 60 years of age.

Table-3: Distribution of study subjects based on presence of Microbial Growth

Microbial Growth	N	%
Present	93	93.0%
Absent	7	7.0%
Total	100	100.0%

Microbiological growth was present in 93% isolates.

Table-4: Distribution of study subjects based on pattern of Microbial Growth

Microbial Growth (n-93)	N	%
Mono-microbial	76	81.7%
Polymicrobial	17	18.3%

Out of total 93% positive samples, mono-microbial growth was present in 76 samples (81.7%) while poly-microbial growth was present in 17 samples (18.3%).

Table-5: Distribution of study subjects based on isolated organisms

Isolates (n-110)	N	Percentage (%)
Aerobic		
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa	29	26.4%
Proteus spp	15	13.6%
Staph. Aureus	31	28.2%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	15	13.6%
MRSA	10	9.1%
E.Coli	5	4.5%
Anaerobic		
Bacteroidsfragilis	5	4.5%
Total	110	100.0%

Most common isolated organism was *Staph. Aureus* (28.2%) followed by *P. Aeruginosa* (26.4%), *proteus spp.* (13.6%), *K. pneumoniae* (13.6%) and *E.coli* (4.5%). Most common isolated anaerobic organism was *Bacteroids fragilis* (4.5%).

Table-6: Sensitivity Pattern of Staph. Aureus

Staph. Aureus (n-21)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	19	90.5%
Ampicillin	6	28.6%
Augmentin	18	85.7%
Ceftriaxone	14	66.7%
Cefoperazone	15	71.4%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	20	95.2%
Ofloxacin	12	57.1%
Levofloxacin	13	61.9%
Vancomycin	21	100.0%

The most effective antibiotics against Staph aureus were Vancomycin (100%), Piperacillin/ tazobactum (95.2%) and aminoglycosides (90.5%). Maximum resistance was seen against Ampicillin and ofloxacin.

Table-7: Sensitivity Pattern of P.Aeruginosa

P.Aeruginosa (n-29)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	27	93.1%
Ampicillin	15	51.7%
Ceftriaxone	17	58.6%
Cefoperazone	16	55.2%
Imipenem	28	96.6%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	20	69.0%
Ofloxacin	15	51.7%
Levofloxacin	18	62.1%

The most effective antibiotics against P. Aeruginosa were Imipenem (96.6%) and aminoglycosides (93.1%). Maximum resistance was seen against fluoroquinolones and cephalosporins.

Table-8: Sensitivity Pattern of Proteus species

Proteus spp. (n-25)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	23	92.0%
Ampicillin	17	68.0%
Augmentin	18	72.0%
Ceftriaxone	9	36.0%
Cefoperazone	13	52.0%
Imipenem	24	96.0%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	19	76.0%
Ofloxacin	20	80.0%
Levofloxacin	12	48.0%

The most effective antibiotics against Proteus species were Imipenem(96%), aminoglycosides (92%) and ofloxacin. Maximum resistance was seen against cephalosporins and levofloxacin.

Table-9: Sensitivity Pattern of Klebsiella pneumonia

K. pneumonia (n-15)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	13	86.7%
Ampicillin	1	6.7%
Augmentin	5	33.3%
Ceftriaxone	2	13.3%
Cefoperazone	3	20.0%
Imipenem	15	100.0%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	12	80.0%
Ofloxacin	8	53.3%
Levofloxacin	9	60.0%

The most effective antibiotics against K. pneumoniae were Imipenem (100%) and aminoglycosides (86.7%). Maximum resistance was seen against ampicillin and cephalosporins.

Table-10: Sensitivity Pattern of MRSA

MRSA (n-10)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	6	60.0%
Ampicillin	5	50.0%
Augmentin	0	0.0%
Ceftriaxone	4	40.0%
Cefoperazone	4	40.0%
Imipenem	8	80.0%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	9	90.0%
Ofloxacin	1	10.0%
Levofloxacin	1	10.0%

The most effective antibiotics against Staph aureus were Piperacillin/ tazobactum (90%) and Imipenem (80%). All the isolates showed resistance against Augmentin while 90% were resistant to fluoroquinolones.

Table-11: Sensitivity Pattern of E. Coli

E. Coli (n-5)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	5	100.0%
Ampicillin	2	40.0%
Augmentin	3	60.0%
Ceftriaxone	3	60.0%
Cefoperazone	3	60.0%
Imipenem	5	100.0%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	4	80.0%
Ofloxacin	3	60.0%
Levofloxacin	3	60.0%

The most effective antibiotics against E. Coli were Imipenem (100%) and aminoglycosides (100%). Maximum resistance was seen against ampicillin (40%).

Table-12: Sensitivity Pattern of Bacteroides fragilis

Bacteroidesfragilis (n-5)	Sensitive strains (n)	%
Amikacin	2	40.0%
Ampicillin	1	20.0%
Augmentin	0	0.0%
Ceftriaxone	3	60.0%
Cefoperazone	2	40.0%
Imipenem	5	100.0%
Piperacillin/ Tazobactum	4	80.0%
Ofloxacin	2	40.0%
Levofloxacin	2	40.0%

The most effective antibiotics against Bacteroides fragilis were Imipenem (100%) and Piperacillin/ Tazobactum (80%). All the isolates showed resistance against Augmentin.

CONCLUSION

Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas sp. were found to be the common cause of CSOM in our study. These organisms are found to be less susceptible to the routinely used drugs like ciprofloxacin and cephalosporins. With the development and widespread use of antibiotics, the types of pathogenic micro-organisms and their resistance to antibiotics have changed. Hence, Routine use of topical antibiotics for any case of CSOM as empirical therapy must be reviewed and judicious use of antibiotics is recommended. Appropriate antimicrobial drugs should be prescribed after proper diagnosis of the causative

organism and its antimicrobial susceptibility pattern. The patients should also be advised to take the drugs for the complete prescribed duration without stopping in the middle. This will not only help in minimizing the complications, but also help in preventing the emergence of resistant strains.

REFERENCES

1. Matsuda Y, Kurita T, Ueda Y, Ito S, Nakashima T. Effect of tympanic membrane perforation on middle-ear sound transmission. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2009 May. 123 Suppl 31:81-9.

2. World Health Organization. Prevention of deafness and hearing impairment. Geneva: Report by the Director General; 1986. document A39/14.
3. Vikram BK, Khaja N, Udayashankar SG, Venkatesha BK, Manjunath D. Clinico-epidemiological study of complicated and uncomplicated chronic suppurative otitis media. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2008 May. 122(5):442-6.
4. Gleeson MJ, Clarke RC, editors. *Scott-Brown's Otorhinolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery 7Ed*: 3 volume set. CRC Press; 2008 Apr 25.
5. Asish J, Amar M, Vinay Hajar e, Sreekantha, Avinash SS and Amareshar M. To study the bacteriological and mycological profile of chronic suppurative otitis media patients and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci* 2013 Apr; 4(2): (B) 186 -199.
6. Rao VR, Srilatha K, Rao SV, Manohar KN. Microbiological study of ear discharge and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern in chronic suppurative otitis media. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences.* 2014 Oct 2;3(49):11698-705.
7. Bluestone CD. Epidemiology and pathogenesis of chronic suppurative otitis media: implications for prevention and treatment. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 1998;42:207-23.
8. Morris PS, Leach AJ. Acute and chronic otitis media. *Pediatr Clin N Am.* 2009;56:1383-99.
9. Wright D, Safranek S. Treatment of otitis media with perforated tympanic membrane. *Am Fam Physician.* 2009 Apr 15. 79(8):650, 654.
10. Gupta C, Agrawal A, Gargav ND. Role of acetic acid irrigation in medical management of chronic suppurative otitis media: a comparative study. *Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery.* 2015 Sep 1;67(3):314-8.
11. Eason R, Harding F, Nicholson R, Nicholson D, Pada J, Gathercole J. Chronic suppurative otitis media in the Solomon Islands: a prospective microbiological, audiometric and therapeutic survey. *N Z Med J.*1986; 99: 812-815.
12. Rhee MS, Lee SY, Dougherty RH, Kang DH. Antimicrobial effects of mustard flour and acetic acid against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Applied and environmental microbiology.* 2003 May 1;69(5):2959-63.
13. Kany F, El-Feky E, Alnughaimish D. The antimicrobial effect of commercial acetic acid against *enterococcus faecalis*. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research.* 2012;8(5):2807-11.
14. Aminifarshidmehr N. The management of chronic suppurative otitis media with acid media solution. *The American journal of otology.* 1996 Jan;17(1):24-5.
15. McHugh CP, Zhang P, Michalek S, Eleazer PD. pH required to kill *Enterococcus faecalis* in vitro. *Journal of endodontics.* 2004 Apr 30;30(4):218-9.
16. Mac Faddin JF. Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria. *Williams & Wilkins Co.;* 1976.
17. Forbes BA, Sahn DF, Weissfeld AS. *Diagnostic microbiology.* Bailey & Scott's *Diagnostic Microbiology.* 2002;11(1):11-4.
18. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests. 7th ed. Approved standard M2-A7. Wayne, Pa: The Committee; 2000.
19. Acuin J. Global burden of disease due to chronic suppurative otitis media: Disease, deafness, deaths and DALYs *Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media-Burden of Illness and Management Options.* Geneva: World Health Organisation. 2004:9-23.
20. Berman S. Otitis media in developing countries. *Pediatrics.* 1995 Jul 1;96(1):126-31.
21. Osazuwa F, Osazuwa E, Osime C, Igharo EA, Imade PE, Lofor P, Momoh M, Omoregie R, Dirisu J. Etiologic agents of otitis media in Benin city, Nigeria. *North American journal of medical sciences.* 2011 Feb;3(2):95.
22. Acuin J. Global burden of disease due to chronic suppurative otitis media: Disease, deafness, deaths and DALYs *Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media-Burden of Illness and Management Options.* Geneva: World Health Organisation. 2004:9-23.