

Determination of Sexual Dimorphism in Bikaner city population in Rajasthan by Odontometric Study of Permanent Maxillary and Mandibular Canines

Fulwaria Mukesh¹, Bijaraniya Kuldeep²

¹Dental surgeon, Ratna Dental Hospital, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India.

²Senior demonstrator, Department of Physiology, S.P. Medical College, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India.

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author

Dr. Kuldeep Bijaraniya

Article History

Received: 21.10.2017

Accepted: 25.10.2017

Published: 30.10.2017



Abstract: Dental, fingerprint and DNA comparisons are probably the most common techniques used in this context, allowing fast and secure identification processes. Sexual dimorphism refers to the differences in size, stature, and appearance between male and female. In many species, teeth exhibit sexual dimorphism. Varying degree of sexual dimorphism in human dentition has been shown in many studies. Hence, tooth size standards based on odontometric investigations can be used in sex determination. 200 subjects, 100 males and 100 females in the age group of 18 – 30 years were selected for this study. The present study was carried out at Ratna dental hospital, Bikaner, India. The mean age for males was 26.14 (± 3.08) years and 22.35 (± 3.55) years for females. Mean age of males was found to be significantly higher as compared to that of females ($p=0.000001$). Inter canine distance was found to be significantly higher as compared to that of females ($p=0.000001$) in both the maxillary and mandibular canines. In present study sexual dimorphism were observed 13.74% in mandibular while it is 15.82% for maxillary arch.

Keywords: Mandibular canine teeth, Maxillary canine teeth, Odontometric study, Sex determination, Sexual dimorphism

INTRODUCTION

The determination of gender from skeletal remains is part of archaeological and many medico-legal examinations. The methods vary and depend on the available bones and their condition. The only method that can give a totally accurate result is the DNA technique, but in many cases for several reasons it cannot be used [1].

Pelvis and skull bones are most frequently used for sex determination based on skeleton although the measurement of humerus and femur head diameter enables highly credible sex determination [2,3]. Very frequently, bones of one person cannot be found during exhumations of bodies from mass graves, especially secondary and tertiary, where death remains are quite mixed, and archaeological excavations, therefore, teeth and the skull are the only real material for identification [4]. The analysis of teeth and identification of discovered bodies using teeth characteristics showed as the first, irreplaceable and highly important procedure in determining the identity of unknown human remains [4-7].

Dental, fingerprint and DNA comparisons are probably the most common techniques used in this context, allowing fast and secure identification processes [8]. In many animals, large maxillary canines are considered to be visual sexual signs of dominance and rank [9]. The carnivore gives rise to the term

‘canine’. Maxillary canine teeth are the most useful objects in the field of forensic investigation. Maxillary canine teeth has excellent and extraordinary resistant to putrefaction, postmortem destruction. Maxillary canines are the last teeth to be extracted with respect to age since they are least affected with abrasion from brushing, bear lesser occlusal loading and are less severely affected by periodontal disease. Variation in distance between them gives us clue about differences between the gender male or female [10]. Which makes them valuable elements for anthropological, genetic, odontologic, evolutionary and forensic investigations. In addition, there are common problems with DNA analysis from human remains due to decomposition by microorganisms and contamination. Also simultaneous exposure to environmental factors such as high temperatures, humidity and many organic compounds may result in DNA degradation. Teeth are good material in living and non living population for anthropological, genetic, odontologic and forensic investigations. This is due to the hardness and high

resistance of dental tissues to degradation and putrefaction which enable the teeth to survive for longer periods than other human tissues [11]. Moreover teeth were found to have greater resistance to high temperatures more than other parts of the skeleton which makes them of great value for forensic identification of burned bodies [12].

The aim of the present study was to investigate sexual dimorphism in Bikaner city population in Rajasthan by Odontometric Study of Permanent Maxillary and Mandibular Canines. It was also aimed to investigate the accuracy with which these could be employed for the gender determination in a population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection Criteria: 200 subjects, 100 males and 100 females in the age group of 18 – 30 years were selected for this study. The present study was carried out at Ratna dental hospital, Bikaner, India from 1 January 2016 to 1 June 2017.

Inclusion Criteria

Subjects with the following status of teeth were included in the study:

- Caries free teeth.
- Total healthy Periodontium.
- Complete Erupted teeth
- Normal molar and canine relationship.
- Absence of spacing in the anterior teeth.
- No history or clinical evidence of trauma, restorations, orthodontic treatment or prosthesis.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria employed for selection of the study sample were age 18 – 30 years, carries teeth, fractured teeth, malalignment, malrotation, malocclusion, spacing, missing incisor, dental restoration, dental wiring and prosthetics, mobile teeth and attrition. Persons suffering from chronic systemic diseases were excluded. After getting consent of the subjects, the following measurements were taken by using a sliding digital Vernier Caliper.

Inter-Canine Distance (ICD)

- The inter-canine distance was measured using a digital caliper by placing two points of caliper to the medial border of the two maxillary canine teeth. That is X1
- The inter-canine distance was measured using a digital caliper by placing two points of caliper to the lateral border of the two maxillary canine teeth. That is X2
- 3. Actual maxillary intercanine distance is $X = \frac{X1+X2}{2}$

Sexual Dimorphism (SD)

- Sexual dimorphism was calculated using formula given by Garn and Lewis as follows:
- Sexual Dimorphism = $[\frac{Xm}{Xf}] - 1 \times 100$
- Where: Xm = Mean value for males; Xf = Mean value for females

Statistics

Analysis was done by statistical analysis. Students‘t’ test (two tailed) has been used to find the significance. P=0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table-1: showing the inter-canine distance between male and female

PARAMETER	MALE	FEMALE	P-VALUE	SEXUAL DIMOPHISM
Age (yr)	26.14±3.08	22.35±3.55	0.000001	
Inter canine Distance(Mandibular)	28.13±1.65	24.73±1.48	0.000001	13.74%
Inter canine Distance(Maxillary)	38.35±4.30	33.11±2.34	0.000001	15.82%

The mean age for males was 26.14 (±3.08) years and 22.35 (±3.55) years for females (Table 1). Mean age of males was found to be significantly higher as compared to that of females (p=0.000001). Inter canine distance was found to be significantly higher as compared to that of females (p=0.000001). In present study we assume a high inter-gender variability and show 100% dimorphism only when value of Xm is twice the value of Xf, moreover in case of value being more than twice, it show a >100% dimorphism which is impractical. For, ICD sexual dimorphism was

maximum i.e. 13.74% for mandibular and 15.82% for maxillary.

DISCUSSION

Gender determination forms an important part of the process of identification. Identification becomes simplified whenever prediction of sex is possible because then missing persons of only that sex need to be considered.

The study was conducted to determine the sexual dimorphism that exists in the mandibular and

maxillary permanent canines. This was done by measuring the medial and lateral inter-canine distance. Variation in inter-canine distance of mandibular canine between the different populations being characteristic of genetic factor, environmental factors, sex, heredity, race, secular changes and bilateral asymmetry. Maxillary and mandibular canines are the hardest and most stable (indestructible) structures of the body. These characteristics of canine teeth tend to preserve them throughout life; therefore, the canines are usually the last teeth to be lost [13]. These findings indicate that canines can be considered the 'key teeth' for personal identification [14].

In the present study the ICD showed a statistically significant difference between males (28.13 ± 1.65 mm) and female (24.73 ± 1.48 mm). In the present study, the SD was maximum for ICD (13.74%) for mandibular and for maxillary the ICD showed a statistically significant difference between males (38.35 ± 4.30 mm) and female (26.14 ± 3.08 mm). In the present study, the SD was maximum for ICD (15.82%).

Many studies have been conducted to study the sexual dimorphism using other teeth (maxillary mandibular incisors, canines, premolars and molars) [15,16]. Canines, among all the teeth have been found to exhibit greatest sexual dimorphism [17]. The involvements of both X and Y chromosomes in establishing sexual dimorphism based on canine size have been found by many workers [18]. Functionally, canine teeth have greatly evolved since primate days from serving as a tool of aggression to a tool of mastication [19,20]. We, hence, chose both maxillary and mandibular canines to be assessed for sexual dimorphism.

Present study close to Dhara Parekh [21] who studied the people of Gujrat which is near state of Madhya Pradesh India. Similar observations were made by, Kumar *et al.* (, who demonstrated that inter canine distance is useful in determination of gender. Aliaa Omar *et al.* studied that maxillary Inter-canine distance showed statistically significant differences between both sexes [22].

Neelampari Parikh (2013) showed that the most sensitive indicators for gender determination were the maxillary inter- canine distance [23].

Ditch and Rose were the first to prove that teeth diameters can be successfully used in determining sex in poorly preserved and fragmentary skeletal remains in archaeology [24]. Crowns of permanent teeth are formed at an early stage and their dimensions remain unchanged during further growth and development, except in cases when specific changes and disorders in terms of functionality, pathology and

nutrition can have affect on the normal dimensions of a tooth [25]. Chromosomes responsible for the sexual difference are in direct connection to growth and development of teeth. The research performed by Stroud *et al.* Showed that males have larger mesiodistal diameters of single teeth, which is due to a thicker dentin layer [26].

CONCLUSION

To conclude maxillary and mandibular inter-canine distance of canines can be used as an aid for sex determination. Thereby, they can aid in identifying a person from fragmented jaws. The mean values for maxillary inter-canine widths were less for females than for males and the differences were statistically significant.

REFERENCE

1. Singh SK, Gupta A, Padmavathi B, Kumar S, Roy S, Kumar A. Mandibular canine index: A reliable predictor for gender identification using study cast in Indian population. *Indian J Dent Res* 2015;26:396-9.
2. Iscan MY, Kennedy KAR, editors. Reconstruction of life from the skeleton. New York: Alan R. Liss Inc; 1989.
3. Krogman WM, Iscan YM. The Human Skeleton in Forensic Medicine (2nd edition). Charles C. Thomas Pub Ltd, Springfield, Illinois, U.S.A. 1986.
4. Brkić H. Određivanje spola. U: Brkić H. i sur. Forenzična stomatologija. Zagreb: Školska knjiga, 2000:55-8.
5. Kaushal S, Patnaik VVG, Agnihotri G. Mandibular Canines in Sex Determination; *J Anat Soc India*. 2003;52(2):119-24.
6. Kaushal S, Patnaik VVG, Sood V, Agnihotri G. Sex determination in North Indians using Mandibular canine index. *JIAFM*. 2004;26(2):45-9.
7. Srivastava PC. Correlation of Odontometric Measures in Sex Determination. *J Indian Acad Forensic Med*. 2010;32(1):56-61.
8. Jaspal Singh, Kapil D Gupta, Varun Sardana, Ashwini Y Balappanavar, and Garima Malhotra. Sex determination using cheiloscopy and mandibular canine index as a tool in forensic dentistry. *J Forensic Dent Sci*. 2012 Jul-Dec; 4(2): 70-74.
9. Gupta S, Chandra A, Gupta OP, Verma Y, Srivastava S. Establishment of Sexual Dimorphism in North Indian Population by Odontometric Study of Permanent Maxillary Canine. *Journal of Forensic Research*. 2014 Mar 1;5(2):1.
10. Bakkannavar SM, Monteiro FNP, Arun M, Kumar GP. Mesiodistal width of canines: a tool for sex determination. *Med Sci Law*. 2012; 52(1):22-6.

11. H. Lund and H. Mornstad, Gender determination by odontometrics in Swedish population, *J Forensic Odontostomatol.* Dec; 1999; 17(2):30-34.
12. N. Angyal and K. Derczy, Personal identification on the basis of antemortem and postmortem radiographs, *J Forensic Sci*, 1998; 43 (5):1089-93.
13. Astete JC, San Pedro VJ, Suazo GI. Sexual dimorphism in the tooth dimensions of Spanish and Chilean peoples. *Int J Odontostomat.* 2009; 3(1):47-50.
14. Kaushal S, Patnaik VVG, Agnihotri G. Mandibular canines in sex determination. *J Anat Soc India.* 2003; 52(2):119-24.
15. Khangura RK, Sircar K, Singh S, Rastogi V. Sex determination using mesiodistal dimension of permanent maxillary incisors and canines. *J Forensic Dent Sci.*2011;3(2):81-5.
16. Ruengdit S, Riengrojpitak S, Tiensuwan M, Santiwong P. Sex Determination from Teeth Size in Thais. The 6th CIFS Academic Day 2011; 14-15 September 2011; Impact Muang Thong Thani, Nonthaburi.
17. Ibeachu PC, Didia BC, Orish CN. Sexual dimorphism in mandibular canine width and inter canine distance of university of Port-Harcourt student, Nigeria. *Asian J Med Sci.*2012;4(5):166-9.
18. Garn SM, Lewis AB. Bucco-lingual size asymmetry and its developmental meaning. *Angle Orthod.* 1967;37(1):186-93.
19. Kaushal S, Patnaik VVG, Agnihotri G. Mandibular canines in sex determination. *J Anat Soc India.* 2003;52(2):119-24.
20. Eimerl S and Devore I. Physical anthropology and primatology. Time-life international, Cambridge University press, UK,1967;9(1):258-60.
21. Parikh DH patel SV. Odontometric study of maxillary canine teeth to establish sexual diamorphism in gujrat population. *Int J Bio Med Res.* 2012; 3(3):1935-7.
22. Omar A, Azab S. Applicability of Determination of Gender from Odontometric Measurements of Canine Teeth in a Sample of Adult Egyptian Population. *C.D.J.* 2009; 25:167-80.
23. Parikh N, Nandini C, Jha M. Applicability of Dimorphism in Canines for Gender Determination. *J Res Adv Dent.* 2013; 2(2):12-19.
24. Ditch LE, Rose JC . A multivariate dental sexing technique. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 1972; 37: 61-64.
25. Vodanovic M, Demo Z, Njemirovskij V, Keros J, Brkic H . Odontometrics: a useful method for sex determination in an archaeological skeletal population. *J Archaeol Sci*, 2007; 34: 905-913.
26. Stroud JL, Buschang PH, Goaz PW. Sexual dimorphism in mesiodistal dentin and enamel thickness. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol*, 1994; 23: 169-171.