

## Characteristics of Etiological Factors Related to Tinnitus in Patients Attending Tertiary Care Hospital in DMCH, Darbhanga

Dr. Dhananjay Kumar<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr. Manoj Kumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SR ENT Department, DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, DMCH, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

DOI: [10.36347/sjams.2020.v08i08.008](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjams.2020.v08i08.008)

| Received: 01.08.2020 | Accepted: 13.08.2020 | Published: 19.08.2020

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Dhananjay Kumar

### Abstract

### Original Research Article

**Objective & Aim:** Variation of causes, patient's diversity and subjective nature of tinnitus it is difficult to analyse its etiological factors. The main aim of this study was to find the prevalence and characteristics of etiological factors related to tinnitus in patients attending tertiary care hospital in Bihar. **Method:** Eighty two patients (N=82) with history of tinnitus were included in this observational study. All necessary investigations, clinical examination and history were noted in a pre-designed data sheet and by using SPSS 16.0 software statistical calculation executed. Content was taken from all patterns regarding study procedure. **Results:** Initially 92 patients were selected and 10 patients because of a co-existing psychiatric problem were excluded from the study. Mean age of all patients was 42.13±16.18 and 59% of the patients were <40 years. 68% were female as females were affected more than males. Among various occupation housewife and businessmen were having highest in number among participant. As compare to the left ear right ear was affected more. Among etiological factor distribution, sensorineural hearing loss and conductive hearing loss were mainly responsible, whereas in 22.4% of cases were an unknown cause. **Conclusion:** Decrease in the quality of life was caused by tinnitus with its distressing symptom. Sociodemographic factors and main etiological factors related to tinnitus is important to find, as these findings will improve in quality of life by find out remedial measures.

Keyword: Tinnitus, etiological factors, prevalence.

**Copyright © 2020:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

## INTRODUCTION

For more than 5 min perception of sound at a time defined as tinnitus, in the absence of any electrical stimulation of the ears or any external acoustical and not occurring immediately after exposure to loud noise [1]. From 10.1% to 14.5% are the prevalence of tinnitus in adult as per the study performed by Davis and Rafea [2]. However, around 7.2% and mainly in the urban population who seek medical advice due to prevalence of clinical tinnitus [3]. To investigate the causative factors of tinnitus, several studies have attempted [4-10].

Variation of causes, patient's diversity and subjective nature of tinnitus it is difficult to analyse its etiological factors. The main aim of this study was to find the prevalence and characteristics of etiological factors related to tinnitus in patients attending tertiary care hospital in Bihar.

## METHOD

Eighty two patients (N=82) with history of tinnitus were included in this observational study. All necessary investigations, clinical examination and history was noted in a pre-designed data sheet and by using SPSS 16.0 software statistical calculation executed. Content was taken from all patterns regarding study procedure.

All patients underwent to a careful detailed medical history after collection of personal data to identify tinnitus-related pathologies and other health diseases and otological examination. Tympanometry and Pure-tone audiogram, middle/inner ear high-resolution computerized tomography scan (CT), Doppler ultrasonography (USG Doppler) and magnetic resonance imaging/angio-CT (MRI/angio-CT) was done along with blood investigations were conducted to find our etiological factors which are associated with.

## RESULT

Initially 92 patients were selected and 10 patients because of a co-existing psychiatric problem were excluded from the study. Mean age of all patients

was  $42.13 \pm 16.18$  and 59% of the patients were <40 years. 68% were female as females were affected more than males (Table 1).

**Table-1: Demographic details**

| Parameters | Frequency | Percentage | Mean±SD     |
|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Age        |           |            |             |
| > 40 Years | 34        | 41%        | 44.18±18.28 |
| < 40 Years | 48        | 59%        | 39.26±19.46 |
| Male       | 26        | 32%        |             |
| Female     | 56        | 68%        |             |

Among various occupation housewife and businessmen were having highest in number among participant (Table 2).

**Table-2: Distribution of occupation**

| Occupation  | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------|-----------|------------|
| Student     | 8         | 10%        |
| Teacher     | 13        | 16%        |
| Housewife   | 29        | 35%        |
| Bisunessmen | 19        | 23%        |
| Carpenter   | 6         | 7%         |
| Farmar      | 7         | 9%         |

As compare to the left earright ear was affected more (Table 3)

**Table-3: Distribution of site of affected ear**

| Site of ear affected | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Right                | 34        | 41%        |
| Left                 | 17        | 21%        |
| Bilateral            | 31        | 38%        |
| Total                | 82        | 100%       |

Among etiological factor distribution, sensorineural hearing loss and conductive hearing loss were mainly responsible, whereas in 22.4% of cases were an unknown cause (Table 4).

**Table-4: Distribution of etiological factors**

| Etiological Factor            | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Chronic otitis media-mucosal  | 16        | 20%        |
| Chronic otitis media-squamous | 3         | 4%         |
| Eastchian tube dysfunction    | 8         | 10%        |
| Wax                           | 3         | 3%         |
| Noice including hearing loss  | 7         | 9%         |
| Ototoxic drug use             | 1         | 1%         |
| Presbycusis                   | 8         | 10%        |
| Meniere's disease             | 5         | 6%         |
| Vestibular Schwannoma         | 1         | 1%         |
| Diabetes Mellitus             | 3         | 3%         |
| Hypothyroidism                | 1         | 1%         |
| Hypertension                  | 8         | 10%        |
| Unknown                       | 18        | 22%        |

## DISCUSSION

Varying degrees of annoyance used to have complained of tinnitus patients along with complain of impact on quality of their life. Regarding

age distribution there are varying degrees of epidemiological data. There is a strong association of tinnitus with increasing age as 59% of the patients were <40 years observed in this study. Between 61 and 70 years followed by lower decades of 41-50 years was the

prevalence tinnitus as shown in various others trials [11, 12]. As because the old population from the village areas did not come to hospital this vast difference in the age group in our study was observed.

In this study it was found that females were affected more than males which also min line with few previous study [13,14], whereas males were affected more than females was also found in some other trials [15,16].

Business holders and housewives were mainly affected by tinnitus as observed in our study. Because of more frequency of television watch with high volume of sound was the main reason for which high frequency of tints observed in housewives and noise pollution for business holders are the problem reasons.

As compare to the left earright ear was affected more in this trial but it opposite finds of few such trials doe earlier [17, 18].

Study performed by Axelsson, correlated with this study as it was observed that the etiological factor sensorineural hearing loss causing tinnitus [19].

Sample size was the main limitation of the study. Further large population study in future was need to be done to reestablish the findings of this trial.

## CONCLUSION

Decrease in the quality of life was caused by tinnitus with its distressing symptom. Sociodemographic factors and main etiological factors related to tinnitus is important to find, as these findings willimprovement in quality of life by find out remedial measures.

## REFERENCES

- Hazell JW. Models of tinnitus: Generation, perception, clinical implications. In: Vernon JA, Moller AR, editors. *Mechanisms of Tinnitus*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon. 1995: 57-72.
- Davies A, Rafaie EA. Epidemiology of tinnitus. In: Tyler R, editor. *Tinnitus Handbook*. San Diego: Singular. 2000: 1-23.
- Smith P, Coles R. Epidemiology of tinnitus: An update. In: Feldmann H, editor. *Proceedings of the III International Tinnitus Seminar*, Munster. Karlsruhe: Harsch Verlag. 1987; 147-53.
- Coles RR. Epidemiology of tinnitus: (1) prevalence. *J Laryngol Otol Suppl*. 1984;9:7-15.
- Erlandsson SI, Hallberg LR, Axelsson A. Psychological and audiological correlates of perceived tinnitus severity. *Audiology*. 1992;31:168-79.
- Sullivan M, Katon W, Russo J, Dobie R, Sakai C. A randomized trial of nortriptyline for severe chronic tinnitus symptoms. *Arch Intern Med*. 1993;153:2251-9.
- Russo J, Katon W, Sullivan M, Clark M, Buchwald D. Severity of somatization and its relationship to psychiatric disorders and personality. *Psychosomatics*. 1994;35:546-56.
- Meric C, Gartner M, Collet L, Chéry-Croze S. Psychopathological profile of tinnitus sufferers: Evidence concerning the relationship between tinnitus features and impact on life. *Audiol Neurootol*. 1998;3:240-52.
- Holgers KM, Erlandsson SI, Barrenäs ML. Predictive factors for the severity of tinnitus. *Audiology*. 2000;39:284-91.
- Erlandsson SI, Holgers KM. The impact of perceived tinnitus severity on health-related quality of life with aspects of gender. *Noise Health*. 2001;3:39-51.
- Hoffman HJ, Red GW. Epidemiology of tinnitus. In: Snow JB Jr, editor. *Tinnitus Theory and Management*. London: BC Decker Inc. 2004: 16-41.
- Palmer KT, Griffin MJ, Syddall HE, Davis A, Pannett B, Coggon D. Occupational exposure to noise and the attributable burden of hearing difficulties in Great Britain. *Occup Environ Med*. 2002;59:634-9.
- Cooper JC Jr. Health and nutrition examination survey of 1971-75: Part II. Tinnitus, subjective hearing loss and well-being. *J Am Acad Audiol*. 1994;5:37-43.
- Pinto PC, Sanchez TG, Tomita S. The impact of gender, age and hearing loss on tinnitus severity. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol*. 2010;76:18-24.
- Lockwood AH, Salvi RJ, Burkard RF. Tinnitus. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;347:904-10.
- Martines F, Bentivegna D, Di Piazza F, Martines E, Sciacca V, Martinciglio G. Investigation of tinnitus patients in Italy: Clinical and audiological characteristics. *Int J Otolaryngol*. 2010;2010:265861.
- Meilke M, Walsh ET. Characteristics of tinnitus and related observations in over 1800 tinnitus clinical patients: Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> International Tinnitus Seminar, Amsterdam. *J Laryngol Otol Suppl*. 1984;9:17-21.
- Hazell JW, Wood SM, Cooper HR, Stephens SD, Corcoran AL, Coles RR. A clinical study of tinnitus maskers. *Br J Audiol*. 1985;19:65-146.
- Axelsson A. Causes of tinnitus. In: Aran JM, Dauman R, editors. *Tinnitus 91. Proceedings of the IV International Tinnitus Seminar*. Bordeaux, Amsterdam/New York: Kugler Publications. 1992: 275-7.