SAS Journal of Surgery Abbreviated Key Title: SAS J Surg

Abbreviated Key Title: SAS J Surg ISSN 2454-5104 Journal homepage: <u>https://www.saspublishers.com</u> **∂** OPEN ACCESS

Surgery

Management of Labial Adhesion of Childhood: A Case Report at Rural General Hospital

Muhammad David Perdana Putra^{1*}, Mochammad Yusuf Bahtiar², Suwardi Suwardi²

¹Department of Surgery, Kepohbaru General Hospital, Indonesia ²Department of Surgery, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36347/sasjs.2024.v10i09.006

| **Received:** 24.07.2024 | **Accepted:** 31.08.2024 | **Published:** 06.09.2024

*Corresponding author: Muhammad David Perdana Putra Department of Surgery, Kepohbaru General Hospital, Indonesia

Abstract Case Report

Labial adhesion is a common gynecologic problem in childhood. It is defined as fusion of the labia minora in the midline or are termed vulvar adhesions when they occur below the labia minora. Although they frequently show no symptoms, patients may come with genitourinary problems such as post-void dripping, vaginal irritation, dysuria, urinary tract infection or obstruction. When a patient shows no symptoms, conservative care is the cornerstone of treatment, along with parental reassurance and close attention to vulvar hygiene. Topical therapy with estrogen and/or steroid cream is frequently beneficial for symptomatic individuals. Recent advancements in surgical techniques have demonstrated favorable outcomes in managing labial adhesion. Among these, the application of the Heineke-Mikulicz suturing technique has emerged as an effective minimally invasive approach. Hence, follow up of these children is important to ensure complete resolution without residual adhesion or recurrence.

Keywords: Labial adhesion, topical treatment; estrogen; steroid cream; children.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

In the first few years of life, labial adhesions, also known as vaginal synechiae, afflict around 2% of females. The incidence itself peaks in the second year of life. However, many individuals with this disorder have no symptoms and may go undiagnosed, its frequency might be substantially higher [1]. While the exact cause of labial adhesion remains unknown, it is most likely related to the female infant's hypoestrogenic condition [2, 3]. In these cases, urine passes via a tiny opening superiorly through which the labia minora stick together in the midline, generally from the posterior fourchette, and this results in labial adhesion [3].

Labial adhesions are usually asymptomatic, but they may cause symptoms such as urinary tract infection and pain during activity, post- void dripping, and abnormal urinary stream [2, 4]. Labial adhesion may also present as urinary retention [5].

Young girls should be administered estrogen cream to be put on the labia for several weeks. Eighty percent of cases dissolve on their own after a year of diagnosis, although many doctors recommend topical therapy using adhesiolysis or estrogen cream, which should be administered to the labia for two to three weeks [6, 7]. Here, the treatment of one girl with labial adhesions was presented. In addition, we would like to remind you this problem with the literature review.

Case 1

A 2-year-old baby girl was brought by her parents with chief complaint of poor urinary steam for the past four days. The child was active alert and otherwise well. On examination, the labia majora were completely fused concealing the labia minora and the urogenital openings (Fig 1).



Fig 1: Complete adhesion of labia

Citation: Muhammad David Perdana Putra, Mochammad Yusuf Bahtiar, Suwardi Suwardi. Management of Labial Adhesion of Childhood: A Case Report at Rural General Hospital. SAS J Surg, 2024 Sep 10(9): 1031-1034.

Under the anesthesia, labial fusion was released successfully. The result showed a clear visualization of the urethral meatus and the vagina (Fig 2).



Fig 2: Post-surgical of labial adhesion

Treatment was continued with topical vaseline application for couple of weeks. There was no recurrence at follow up beyond several months.

DISCUSSION

In general paediatric treatment, labial adhesions are a frequent gynaecological issue in children and are linked to low estrogen levels. They frequently receive incorrect diagnoses, or unnecessarily investigations may be ordered [8, 9]. Although vaginal voiding or postvoid dribbling, related urinary tract infections, and pain after voiding are relatively uncommon, labial adhesions are often asymptomatic [10–12]. A physical examination may reveal thin, white, semitranslucent adhesions covering the vaginal opening between the labia minora. Moreover, the adhesions may sometimes close the vaginal opening entirely [10-14] (Fig 3).



Fig 3: A) Normal anatomy; B) Complete labial adhesion LMA: Labia majora; U: Urethra; LMI: Labia minora; VO: Vaginal opening; LA: Labial adhesion

The following conditions need to be considered when making a differential diagnosis: Hymenal skin tags, imperforate hymen, vaginal atresia or müllerian agenesis, vaginal rhabdomyosarcoma, ureterocoele, urethral polyp, urethral prolapse, and introital cysts (paraurethral or Gartner duct cysts) [10-14].

Applying oestrogen cream to the labia three times a day for three to four weeks is the treatment for labial adhesions [9]. Success rates for this treatment typically range from 66% to 100% when it comes to labia opening with minimal recurrence [1]. Out of 150 females, three investigations conducted in the 1970s found that 88% to 100% of them were successful in opening their labia, with very little recurrence [15-17].

In a Turkish research, 49 females had a 66% success rate [7]. Seventy-nine percent of the 107 patients in another trial reported effective separation; however, over forty individuals experienced recurrence and required further treatments [18]. With 262 females, it was the biggest retrospective research to date and the

© 2024 SAS Journal of Surgery | Published by SAS Publishers, India

only one to reveal a success rate of less than 50% with estrogen [19].

In a recent trial, 131 children with labial adhesions were given topical estrogen cream alone, topical betamethasone cream alone, or a combination of the two for an average of four weeks [20].

There were no statistically significant differences between the groups, estrogen cream is just as effective as topical steroid cream. The price and accessibility of estrogen cream are noteworthy. In actuality, topical Vaseline cream works just as well for managing this condition following adhesiolysis. Patients with urinary tract infections, patients with significant adhesions, or failures to separate should be treated with topical anesthetic and manual lysis using a surgical probe [9].

Furthermore, in around 5–10% of cases, surgical adhesiolysis under general anesthesia may be necessary [19]. One female children were used as example in this study and her labial adhesion were manually separated. The administration of estrogen cream was part of the ongoing treatment.

The ideal way to conduct surgical separation is with a topical anesthetic. 5 to 10 minutes after applying 2% or 5% lidocaine ointment, or 30 minutes with the use of EMLA, a topical 2.5%/lidocaine prilocaine 2.5% mixture which allows for the separation. The prilocaine/lidocaine product insert comprises ageappropriate and kilogram weight dosage recommendations for children. No specific technique of surgical separation has been studied, nor do most studies provide details of the methods used, but textbooks describe use of a lubricated Q-tip inserted into the opening in the adhesions and pulled along the raphe [11].

Surgical separation of labial adhesions is a relatively straightforward procedure, typically performed under general anesthesia to ensure patient comfort and optimal results. The surgeon carefully dissects the fused labia using blunt dissection or, in some cases, a fine scalpel. The goal is to restore the normal anatomy without causing trauma to the delicate tissues. Postoperatively, a topical antibiotic ointment or estrogen cream is often applied to prevent re-adhesion and promote healing [21].

Recently, various reconstruction techniques have been reported, all showing promising results. Takemura *et al.*, highlighted the application of the Heineke-Mikulicz suturing technique, which is traditionally used to widen narrowed sections of the bowel, as an effective treatment for labial adhesion. This minimally invasive approach involves making a longitudinal incision along the adhesion and then suturing it transversely, which restores the normal spacing between the labia majora [22]. Postoperative care is crucial in preventing recurrence. Parents are advised to maintain good perineal hygiene, apply prescribed ointments, and encourage regular urination to prevent future adhesions. Follow-up visits are essential to monitor healing and detect any early signs of re-adhesion. While the procedure is generally safe, potential complications include minor bleeding, infection, or discomfort during healing. Recurrence of the adhesion is possible, particularly if postoperative care is not adequately followed [21].

In conclusion, parental worry is often caused by labial adhesions, which are frequently misdiagnosed or require unnecessary examinations. As a result, we advise doctors to examine females' genitalia when they complain of urinary tract issues. Usually, they are handled cautiously. For patients who are symptomatic, separation can also be accomplished manually or surgically. Recurrence rates following surgical adhesion separation are 10% [18]. Therefore, it is advisable to start the topical medication and wait a few weeks before thinking about surgery [1].

Acknowledgements: The author(s) declare that they have no competing interest and financial support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Goldman, R. D. (2013). Estrogen cream for labial adhesion in girls. *Canadian Family Physician*, 59(1), 37-38.
- Girton, S., & Kennedy, C. M. (2006). Labial adhesion: a review of etiology and management. *Topics in Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 26(23), 1-5.
- DeUgarte, M. C. (2013). Embryology of the urogenital system and congenital anomalies of the genital tract. In: DeCherney, A. H., Nathan, L., Goodwin, T. M., Laufer, N., Roman A., editors. Current Obstetrics and Gynecologic Diagnosis and Treatment. 11th ed. USA: Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill; pp. 38–66.
- 4. Bacon, J. L. (2002). Prepubertal labial adhesions: evaluation of a referral population. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 187(2), 327-332.
- Norbeck, J. C., Ritchey, M. R., & Bloom, D. A. (1993). Labial fusion causing upper urinary tract obstruction. *Urology*, 42(2), 209-211.
- Samuels, E., Ocheke, A. N., & Samuels, N. E. (2016). Labial adhesion in children at the Jos University Teaching Hospital. *African Journal of Paediatric Surgery*, 13(1), 6-8.
- 7. Soyer, T. (2007). Topical estrogen therapy in labial adhesions in children: therapeutic or prophylactic?. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology*, *20*(4), 241-244.
- 8. Papagianni, M., & Stanhope, R. (2003). Labial adhesions in a girl with isolated premature thelarche: the importance of estrogenization. *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, *16*(1), 31-32.

© 2024 SAS Journal of Surgery | Published by SAS Publishers, India

- Sinha, A., Ojha, S., Samujh, R., & Rao, K. L. N. (2005). Labial adhesions: facts and fiction. *Indian J Pediatr*, 72(4), 365.
- Leung, A. K., & Robson, W. L. (1992). Labial fusion and urinary tract infection. *Child nephrology* and urology, 12(1), 62-64.
- Gaudens, D. A., Moh-Ello, N., Fiogbe, M., Bandre, É., Ossoh, B. M., Yaokreh, J. B., ... & Kobenan, R. D. (2008). Labial fusion in the paediatric surgery department of Yopougon University hospital (Côte d'Ivoire): 108 cases. *Cahiers d'études et de* recherches francophones/Santé, 18(1), 35-38.
- Bacon, J. L., Romano, M. E., & Quint, E. H. (2015). Clinical recommendation: labial adhesions. *Journal* of *Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, 28(5), 405-409.
- Leung, A. K. C., Robson, W. L. M., & Tay-Uyboco, J. (1993). The incidence of labial fusion in children. *Journal of paediatrics and child health*, 29(3), 235-236.
- Çağlar, M. K. (2007). Serum estradiol levels in infants with and without labial adhesions: the role of estrogen in the etiology and treatment. *Pediatric dermatology*, 24(4), 373-375.
- 15. Capraro, V. J., & Greenberg, H. (1972). Adhesions of the Labia Minora A Study of 50 Patients. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *39*(1), 65-69.
- 16. Aribarg, A. (1975). Topical oestrogen therapy for labial adhesions in children. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics &*

Gynaecology, 82(5), 424-425.

- Khanam, W., Chogtu, L., Mir, Z., & Shawl, F. (1978). Adhesion of the labia minora—a study of 75 cases. *Obstet Gynecol Surv*, 33(5), 364-365.
- Schober, J., Dulabon, L., Martin-Alguacil, N., Kow, L. M., & Pfaff, D. (2006). Significance of topical estrogens to labial fusion and vaginal introital integrity. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent* gynecology, 19(5), 337-339.
- 19. Muram, D. (1999). Treatment of prepubertal girls with labial adhesions. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent gynecology*, *12*(2), 67-70.
- Eroğlu, E., Yip, M., Oktar, T., Kayiran, S. M., & Mocan, H. (2011). How should we treat prepubertal labial adhesions? Retrospective comparison of topical treatments: estrogen only, betamethasone only, and combination estrogen and betamethasone. *Journal of pediatric and adolescent* gynecology, 24(6), 389-391.
- Gonzalez, D., Anand, S., & Mendez, M. D. Labial Adhesions. [Updated 2023 Aug 28]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470461/
- Takemaru, M., Aramaki-Hattori, N., Tsue, C., & Kishi, K. (2019). Labial Adhesions Causing Recurrent Urinary-Tract Infections in an Elderly Woman. *Case Reports in Medicine*, 2019(1), 7584983. doi: 10.1155/2019/7584983. PMID: 31929804; PMCID: PMC6942760.