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#### Abstract

Students in the license (3th academic year) complain if they fail, at the end of academic year, one, two or three courses specifically because, in this case, they must register the year following that for one, two or three courses and do not have the right to enroll in master1. We will clarify problems who reside in certain courses in the license and in the various specialties in the Faculty of Sciences of the Lebanese University to "Hadath" where the rate of failures being more or less high, specifying if there are significant differences between the scores of students of the same specialty but in the language of learning different (French or English).


$\underline{\text { Keywords: License - difficulties - nonparametric test -Faculty of Sciences - Lebanese University. }}$

## General introduction

In this study, the target population is composed of students in License to the Faculty of Sciences of the Lebanese University to "Hadath" and in all specialties [1]. The general framework in which this study is carried out allows you to:

- study the distribution of scores of students and report if there are outliers notes [2] by utilization of the "Box - Plot" [3] in each course and for any specialties.
- Calculate the percentages of students stranded in each of the courses, in all specialties to locate the courses which the failure rate will be the higher.
- see if there is a significant difference on the percentage of failures, in each of the specialties between those who make their studies in language "french" or "English", by applying of the Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test [4], then between the different specialties using the test of the median [5] as a test of "rank" [6].
- Check if the averages of the students, in the various specialties, come from the same population.
- Summarize in the conclusion, the remarkable points studied and the advice of professors and students concerned by the course in which the rate of failures being the most high.


## List of variables

The list of courses for each of the specialties are represented in the following table:

| Option | Number of <br> variables <br> (courses) | Name of the variables |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Statistics | 14 | stat302, stat307, stat309, stat313, stat314, stat315, stat316, stat317, <br> stat319,info300, math380,drho300,lang300,lang301. |
| Informatics | 13 | Info300,info302,info306,info315,info317, <br> info318, info319,info321,info324,info327, <br> lang300,lang301,drho300. |
| Mathematics | 9 | math300,math301,math302,math303,math304,math305,math306, <br> math307,math308,lang300, drho300. |
| Physics | 17 | phys300,phys302,phys303,phys304,phys305, <br> Phys306,phys321,phys324,phys326,phys329, <br> Elec371,elec372,info370,info371,lang300, <br> drho300 |
| Electronics | 14 | elec300,elec301,elec302,elec303,elec304, elec306, <br> elec323,elec324,elec325,elec327, <br> elec336,info375,lang300,drho300. |


| chemistry | 22 | chim302, chim323,chim325,chim327,chim330, <br> chim331,chim332,chim333,chim334,chim335,chim337,chim339,c <br> him341,chim342,chim343,chim344,chim345,chim366,chim367,ch <br> im368,lang300,drho300. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Biochemistry | 21 | bioc300,bioc301,bioc303, bioc330,bioc331, <br> bioc332,bioc334,bioc340,bioc341,bioc350, <br> bioc352,biol327,biol347,bioc380,biol381, <br> biol384,biol394,chim331,chim345,lang300, drho300. |
| Biology | 27 | biol320, biol321,biol322,biol3 23,biol325, biol326, <br> biol327,biol328,biol329,biol330, biol331, biol340, <br> biol341,biol342,biol343, biol345, biol346, <br> biol347,biol348,biol349, biol350, <br> biol351,bioc380,bioc381,stat370, lang300, drho300. |

Statistical Distributions: (We will use for graphs the SPSS software)
a statistical distribution can often be expressed in a clear manner in the form of a diagram, so that this process appears as a method of synthesis and study extremely effective.

## Graphical and statistical tables

The chart below represents the number of students in the license for each of the specialties.


It is clear that in most specialties, the number of students in the English language exceeds that in the French language except in chemistry and biochemistry.

It was pointed out that in statistics there is that in the French language, because the students in the English language go to the Faculty of Sciences "Section II" to "Fanar".

## Box-plot

The box-plot [2] represents schematically the main characteristics of a numeric variable using the quartiles. It allows in particular to identify aberrant values and facilitates the Comparision of several distributions:


One sees well the distributions of the notes of students in license of statistics, the median, the quartiles and the aberrant notes of each course. Among the courses of statistics, the one who has the lowest median (50/100) "STAT307" with three aberrant notes on the left-hand side, and the one who has the highest median (61/100) "STAT314" with only one aberrant note on the right-hand side.


Among the courses in mathematics, one sees well, in the MATH301, math302, and Math303 (same median $=50 / 100$ ) that the number of students failed is higher than in the rest of the course.


For the Course Info306, almost half of the students have a note below the median (49/100).


In physics, there is no great thing to point out, whereas in electronics there is some bad notes in most of the courses.


It should be noted that, in chemistry, for the course Chim330 Some aberrant notes very low, and that of CHIM302 we see aberrant notes of two sides. The same for the two courses Biol380 and bioc300 in biochemistry, but in biology The homogeneous aspect of notes in all the course, and the notes above 60/100 are dominant.

Percentage of failures of students in the license and in all specialties Statistics

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  | Included |  | Excluded |  | Percent | N |  |  |  |  |

The largest percentage of students failed is $26.7 \%$, for the course "STAT307".

## Mathematics

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Included |  |  |  | Cases |  |  |
|  | Excluded |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |  |
| EMATH300 * LANG | 3 | $2.5 \%$ | 119 | $97.5 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH301 * LANG | 34 | $27.9 \%$ | 88 | $72.1 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH302 * LANG | 30 | $24.6 \%$ | 92 | $75.4 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH303 * LANG | 29 | $23.8 \%$ | 93 | $76.2 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH304 * LANG | 7 | $5.7 \%$ | 115 | $94.3 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH305 * LANG | 5 | $4.1 \%$ | 117 | $95.9 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH306 * LANG | 26 | $21.3 \%$ | 96 | $78.7 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH307 * LANG | 23 | $18.9 \%$ | 99 | $81.1 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| EMATH308 * LANG | 5 | $4.1 \%$ | 117 | $95.9 \%$ | 122 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

The courses: Math301, math302, math303 and math306 explain the greater percentage of the students whose failures.
It was (62 "English" and 60 "French").
There is not a significant difference between the percentages of failures of the "English" and "French".

| Sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| LAN | EMATH30 | EMATH3 | EMATH3 | EMATH30 | EMATH3 | EMATH3 | EMATH3 | EMATH3 | EMATH30 |
| E | 0 | 01 | 02 | 3 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 8 |
| E | 1.00 | 16.00 | 14.00 | 13.00 |  | 1.00 | 13.00 | 14.00 | 3.00 |
| F | 2.00 | 18.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 7.00 | 4.00 | 13.00 | 9.00 | 2.00 |
| Total | 3.00 | 34.00 | 30.00 | 29.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 26.00 | 23.00 | 5.00 |

Informatics

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Included |  | Excluded |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| einfo300 * LANG | 7 | 7.2\% | 90 | 92.8\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo302 * LANG | 12 | 12.4\% | 85 | 87.6\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo306 * LANG | 47 | 48.5\% | 50 | 51.5\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo315 * LANG | 1 | 1.0\% | 96 | 99.0\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo317 * LANG | 9 | 9.3\% | 88 | 90.7\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo318 * LANG | 11 | 11.3\% | 86 | 88.7\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo319 * LANG | 15 | 15.5\% | 82 | 84.5\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo321 * LANG | 9 | 9.3\% | 88 | 90.7\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo324 * LANG | 36 | 37.1\% | 61 | 62.9\% | 97 | 100.0\% |
| einfo327 * LANG | 8 | 8.2\% | 89 | 91.8\% | 97 | 100.0\% |


| sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LANG | einfo300 | einfo302 | einfo306 | einfo315 | einfo317 | einfo318 | einfo319 | einfo321 | einfo324 | einfo327 |
| E | 2.00 | 10.00 | 29.00 |  | 8.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 23.00 | 7.00 |
| F | 5.00 | 2.00 | 18.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 13.00 | 1.00 |
| E \% | $3.4 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  | $13.8 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| F \% | $12.8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7.00 | 12.00 | 47.00 | 1.00 | 9.00 | 11.00 | 15.00 | 9.00 | 36.00 | 8.00 |

It is reported that it was: 58 "English" and 39 "French".
The percentages of students "English" stranded is significantly higher than students "French", and the percent of failures of students amounted to $48.5 \%$ in "info306" and $37.1 \%$ in "info324".

## Physics

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Included |  |  | Excluded |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | N |  | Percent | N | Perce |  | N | Percent |  |
| eelec372 * LANG |  | 1 | 1.7\% | 58 |  | 8.3\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| einfo370 * LANG |  | 3 | 5.1\% | 56 |  | 4.9\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys329 * LANG |  | 9 | 15.3\% | 50 |  | 4.7\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys304 * LANG |  | 7 | 11.9\% | 52 |  | 8.1\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys305 * LANG |  | 19 | 32.2\% | 40 |  | 7.8\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys 320 * LANG |  | 7 | 11.9\% | 52 |  | 8.1\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys 300 * LANG |  | 18 | 30.5\% | 41 |  | 9.5\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys302 * LANG |  | 4 | 6.8\% | 55 |  | 3.2\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys303 * LANG |  | 0 | .0\% | 59 |  | 0.0\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys306 * LANG |  | 0 | .0\% | 59 |  | 0.0\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys321 * LANG |  | 3 | 5.1\% | 56 |  | 4.9\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys324 * LANG |  | 8 | 13.6\% | 51 |  | 6.4\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| ephys326 * LANG |  | 1 | 1.7\% | 58 |  | 8.3\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| eelec371 * LANG |  | 3 | 5.1\% | 56 |  | 4.9\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| einfo371 * LANG |  | 2 | 3.4\% | 57 |  | 6.6\% | 59 | 100.0\% |  |
| einfo ephys3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ephys3 | ephys3 | eph | ys3 3 ephys3 | ephys3 | Ephys | ephys | ephys | eelec | einfo |
| $370 \quad 29 \quad 04$ | 05 |  | $20 \quad 00$ | 02 | 321 | 324 | 326 | 371 | 371 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}2.00 & 4.00 & 4.00\end{array}$ | 10.00 |  | $2.00 \quad 12.00$ | 1.00 | 2.00 | 6.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll}1.00 & 5.00 & 3.00\end{array}$ | 9.00 |  | $5.00 \quad 6.00$ | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 |  | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| $3.00 \quad 9.00 \quad 7.00$ | 19.00 |  | $7.00-18.00$ | 4.00 | 3.00 | 8.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 |

Available Online: http://saspjournals.com/sjpms

It was 35 "English" and 24 "French"
It is in "Phys305" (32.2\%) and "Phys300" (30.5\%) than the percentage of failures of students being the highest. There is not a significant difference between the percentages of failures of the "English" and "French".

## Electronics

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Included |  | Excluded |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| eelec300 * LANG | 5 | 10.2\% | 44 | 89.8\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec301 * LANG | 13 | 26.5\% | 36 | 73.5\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec302 * LANG | 8 | 16.3\% | 41 | 83.7\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec303 * LANG | 8 | 16.3\% | 41 | 83.7\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec304 * LANG | 0 | .0\% | 49 | 100.0\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec306 * LANG | 7 | 14.3\% | 42 | 85.7\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec323 * LANG | 12 | 24.5\% | 37 | 75.5\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec324 * LANG | 5 | 10.2\% | 44 | 89.8\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec325 * LANG | 6 | 12.2\% | 43 | 87.8\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec327 * LANG | 8 | 16.3\% | 41 | 83.7\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| eelec336 * LANG | 8 | 16.3\% | 41 | 83.7\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| einfo357 * LANG | 22 | 44.9\% | 27 | 55.1\% | 49 | 100.0\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LANG | eelec300 | eelec301 | eelec302 | eelec303 | eelec306 | eelec323 | eelec324 |
| E | 5.00 | 10.00 | 7.00 | 3.00 | 6.00 | 8.00 | 2.00 |
| F |  | 3.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 |
| Total | 5.00 | 13.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 7.00 | 12.00 | 5.00 |


| Sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANG | eelec325 | eelec327 | eelec336 | einfo357 |  |  |  |
| E | 5.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 18.00 |  |  |  |
| F | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 |  |  |  |
| Total | 6.00 | 8.00 | 8.00 | 22.00 |  |  |  |

$44.9 \%$ of students failed for the course "Info 357 " and $26.5 \%$ for "Elec301"It was 31 "English" and 18 "French". The percentages of students "English" stranded is significantly higher than students "French".

## Chemistry



Afif Hayek.; Sch. J. Phys. Math. Stat., 2016; Vol-3; Issue-1 (Feb); pp-22-41

| echim339 * LANG | 32 | $20.0 \%$ | 128 | $80.0 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| echim341 * LANG | 6 | $3.8 \%$ | 154 | $96.3 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim342 * LANG | 7 | $4.4 \%$ | 153 | $95.6 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim343 * LANG | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim344 * LANG | 1 | $.6 \%$ | 159 | $99.4 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim345 * LANG | 6 | $3.8 \%$ | 154 | $96.3 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim366 * LANG | 20 | $12.5 \%$ | 140 | $87.5 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |
| echim367 * LANG | 2 | $1.3 \%$ | 158 | $98.8 \%$ | 160 | $100.0 \%$ |

$36.9 \%$ of failures of students for the course "chim334" and a little less for courses "chim302" and "chim330" (24.4\%) and 31.9\% failure for "chim335".

| Sum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LANG | echim327 | echim368 | echim302 | echim323 | echim330 | echim331 |
| E |  | 2.00 | 21.00 | 9.00 | 19.00 | 13.00 |
| F | 2.00 | 4.00 | 18.00 | 13.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| Total | 2.00 | 6.00 | 39.00 | 22.00 | 39.00 | 33.00 |


| LANG | echim332 | echim333 | echim334 | echim335 | echim337 | echim339 | echim341 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E | 2.00 | 6.00 | 29.00 | 26.00 | 1.00 | 21.00 | 3.00 |
| F | 3.00 | 6.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 2.00 | 11.00 | 3.00 |
| Total | 5.00 | 12.00 | 59.00 | 51.00 | 3.00 | 32.00 | 6.00 |


| Sum | echim344 | echim345 | echim366 | echim367 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LANG | echim342 | echin |  |  |  |
| E | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 14.00 | 2.00 |
| F | 4.00 |  | 4.00 | 6.00 |  |
| Total | 7.00 | 1.00 | 6.00 | 20.00 | 2.00 |

$36.9 \%$ of the failures of students for the course "Chim334" and a little less for courses"Chim302" and "Chim330" (24.4\%) and 31.9\% of failure for "Chim335".

It was 71 "English" and 89 "French". As regards the failures, there is no significant difference between the students "French" and those "English".

## Biochemistry

| Case Processing Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Included |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cases |  |
|  | Excluded |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc334 * LANG | 15 | $6.4 \%$ | 221 | $93.6 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc300 * LANG | 58 | $24.6 \%$ | 178 | $75.4 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc301 * LANG | 31 | $13.1 \%$ | 205 | $86.9 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc303 * LANG | 31 | $13.1 \%$ | 205 | $86.9 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc330 * LANG | 43 | $18.2 \%$ | 193 | $81.8 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc331 * LANG | 9 | $3.8 \%$ | 227 | $96.2 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc340 * LANG | 4 | $1.7 \%$ | 232 | $98.3 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc341 * LANG | 1 | $.4 \%$ | 235 | $99.6 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebioc350 * LANG | 0 | $.0 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol327 * LANG | 30 | $12.7 \%$ | 206 | $87.3 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol347 * LANG | 2 | $.8 \%$ | 234 | $99.2 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol380 * LANG | 57 | $24.2 \%$ | 179 | $75.8 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol381 * LANG | 1 | $.4 \%$ | 235 | $99.6 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol384 * LANG | 9 | $3.8 \%$ | 227 | $96.2 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| ebiol394 * LANG | 1 | $.4 \%$ | 235 | $99.6 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| echim331 * LANG | 35 | $14.8 \%$ | 201 | $85.2 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| echim345 * LANG | 9 | $3.8 \%$ | 227 | $96.2 \%$ | 236 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

In biochemistry, the courses "Organic c300" ( $24.6 \%$ of failures) and "biol 380" ( $24.2 \%$ failure). 102 "English" and 134 "French".

In general, the percentage of failures among students "French" and significantly higher than those of "English" and almost in all courses.

In biochemistry, the courses "bioc300" (the percentage of failures is $24.6 \%$ ) and "biol380" (the percentage of failures is $24.6 \%$ ). It was 102 "English" and 134 "French". In general, the percentages of failures among students "French" is significantly higher than those of "English" and in almost all of the courses.
IV-8) Biology:
Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Included |  | Excluded |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| ebiol328 | 4 | 2.3\% | 168 | 97.7\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol348 | 1 | .6\% | 171 | 99.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol322 | 0 | .0\% | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol342 | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol326 | 1 | .6\% | 171 | 99.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol346 | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol331 | 4 | 2.3\% | 168 | 97.7\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol351 | 0 | .0\% | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol320 | 9 | 5.2\% | 163 | 94.8\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol321 | 63 | 36.6\% | 109 | 63.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol323 | 14 | 8.1\% | 158 | 91.9\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol325 | 9 | 5.2\% | 163 | 94.8\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol327 | 51 | 29.7\% | 121 | 70.3\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol329 | 10 | 5.8\% | 162 | 94.2\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol330 | 1 | .6\% | 171 | 99.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol340 | 0 | .0\% | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol341 | 22 | 12.8\% | 150 | 87.2\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol343 | 0 | .0\% | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol345 | 2 | 1.2\% | 170 | 98.8\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol347 | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol349 | 1 | .6\% | 171 | 99.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebiol350 | 0 | .0\% | 172 | 100.0\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebioc380 | 33 | 19.2\% | 139 | 80.8\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| ebioc381 | 1 | .6\% | 171 | 99.4\% | 172 | 100.0\% |
| estat370 | 15 | 8.7\% | 157 | 91.3\% | 172 | 100.0\% |


| LANG | ebiol328 | ebiol348 | ebiol326 | ebiol331 | ebiol320 | ebiol321 | ebiol323 | ebiol325 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E | 2.00 |  |  | 4.00 | 7.00 | 35.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| F | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 2.00 | 28.00 | 10.00 | 5.00 |
| Total | 4.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 63.00 | 14.00 | 9.00 |

## Sum

| LANG | ebiol327 | ebiol329 | ebiol330 | ebiol341 | ebiol345 | ebiol349 | ebioc380 | ebioc381 | estat370 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E | 27.00 | 9.00 | 1.00 | 8.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 11.00 | 1.00 | 11.00 |
| F | 24.00 | 1.00 |  | 14.00 |  |  | 22.00 |  | 4.00 |
| Total | 51.00 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 22.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 33.00 | 1.00 | 15.00 |

In biology, the course "Biol321" (36.6\% of failures) and "Biol327" (29.7\% of failures).
There is no significant difference between the percentages of students "French" and "English".

Recapitulative table of percentages of failures $(\geq \mathbf{2 0} \%)$ of students for courses in different specialties.

| Specialities | effectif | Cours | Pourcent <br> d'échec $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Statistics | 15 | Stat307 | 26.7 |
| Mathematics | 122 | Math301 | 27.9 |
|  |  | Math302 | 24.6 |
|  |  | Math303 | 23.8 |
|  | Math306 | 21.3 |  |
| Informatics | 97 | Info306 | 48.5 |
|  |  | Info324 | 37.1 |
| Physics | 59 | Phys300 | 30.5 |
|  |  | Phys305 | 32.2 |
| Electronics | 49 | Elec301 | 26.5 |
|  |  | Elec323 | 24.5 |
| Chemistry | 160 | Info357 | 44.9 |
|  |  | Chim302 | 24.4 |
|  |  | Chim330 | 24.4 |
|  |  | Chim331 | 20.6 |
|  |  | Chim334 | 36.9 |
|  |  | Chim335 | 31.9 |
| Biochemistry | 236 | Bioc300 | 20 |
| Biology | 172 | Biol380 | 24.6 |
|  |  | Biol321 | 36.6 |

The greatest percentages of failures are in "info306" (48.5\%) then"Info357" (44.9) and then in "info324"(37.1). In Informatics, the two proportions of failures in the (Info306 and Info324) are remarkable compared to other courses.

The same in biology and physics.
Number of the courses failed by a percentage of students:
Informatics:

| ncouratparetu |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| Valid | . 00 | 29 | 32.6 | 34.9 | 34.9 |
|  | 1.00 | 23 | 25.8 | 27.7 | 62.7 |
|  | 2.00 | 14 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 79.5 |
|  | 3.00 | 8 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 89.2 |
|  | 4.00 | 4 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 94.0 |
|  | 5.00 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 96.4 |
|  | 6.00 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 97.6 |
|  | 7.00 | 2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 83 | 93.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 6 | 6.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 89 | 100.0 |  |  |

In the table above and for all the specialty later, we see at the bottom of each table a percentage for the "Missing System" which concerns each and every student who is not presented to the examination of such a course. So if you excluded the percentage of "Missing System" the remains of the values of the column "Percent" will no longer be percentages ( $32.6 \%$ ) but will be as $32.6 /(100-6.7)$


Therefore, $25.84 \%$ of students have failed by a single course. What makes the following year we will have 25 students in specialty "informatics" will register simply by a single course throughout the academic year. In the same way, 15 students will register for two courses, and so on.
-the stranded are shared between "French = F" and "English = E" with the same proportions.

## * Wilcoxon test(Informatics):

For students of informatics, let us see if there is a significant difference between the averages of course between "French" and "English" by application of the Wilcoxon rank test.

| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LANG | INFO300 | INFO302 | INFO306 | INFO315 | INFO317 | INFO318 | INFO319 | INFO321 | INFO324 |
| INFO327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 65.33 | 57.74 | 43.79 | 69.71 | 54.57 | 59.34 | 53.84 | 56.89 | 46.51 |
| F | 58.54 | 65.00 | 42.73 | 64.05 | 57.61 | 57.97 | 55.47 | 56.24 | 47.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.59 |  |

## Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

| Test Statistics |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | fra - ang |
| Z | $-.306^{2}$ |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .759 |
| a. Based on negative ranks. <br> b. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test |  |

As "Asymp. Gis" $=0.759$ being superior to the risky $\alpha=0.05$ then we accept the assumption of the identity of these two samples. As a result there is no significant difference between the averages of course in computer between "English" and "French".

Same result in mathematics, physics, electronics and chemistry.

## *Wilcoxon test (Biochemistry):

For students of biochemistry, let us see if there is a significant difference between the averages of course between "French" and "English" by application of the Wilcoxon rank test.
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| eport |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 》 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \underset{0}{0} \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline & 0 \\ \hline & 0 \\ -0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline & 0 \\ \hline & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\underbrace{0}_{0}$ | $\underset{y}{0}$ | $\underbrace{0}_{0} \times \frac{0}{0}$ | $\overbrace{0}^{0} \pm \frac{0}{0}$ | $0_{0}^{0}$ | $\underset{y y y}{0}$ |  | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\underset{\sim}{0} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\omega}} \underset{\sim}{x}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | $0$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underbrace{0}_{0} \sim 3$ |
| $\stackrel{5}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & \omega \\ & \omega \\ & \alpha \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} u_{1} \\ i_{1} \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{o}{0} \\ \dot{\omega} \end{gathered}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \substack{0 \\ \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{a}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & \text { un } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{i}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & N \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \dot{\theta} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{U}{0} \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & a \\ & a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \infty \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | N |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline N \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} u \\ \substack{u \\ \infty \\ \infty} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{1} \\ & i_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} u \\ \stackrel{r}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & \dot{u} \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{N}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{y}{c} \\ \underset{y}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & i y \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\omega \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 6 \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & t \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & -2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{1} \\ & \text { ing } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{y} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \stackrel{9}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \} |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline-\overrightarrow{0} \\ \stackrel{y}{\hat{2}} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { un } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | $\sim$ 0 0 $\infty$ | $u$ <br> $u$ <br> $u$ | $\stackrel{\substack{7 \\ \hdashline}}{ }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} u \\ \underset{\sim}{u} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{u} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { f } \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} u \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{0} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & u_{0} \\ & i \\ & u \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \infty \\ + \end{gathered}$ | O <br> íd <br> $\sim$ | $\stackrel{u}{0}$ | un $y$ | u ữ | - | $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | (1) |


| BIOL327 | BIOL347 | BIOL380 | BIOL381 | BIOL384 | BIOL394 | CHIM331 | CHIM345 | DRHO300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 61.17 | 70.72 | 52.18 | 66.94 | 66.61 | 70.31 | 57.67 | 58.00 | 71.88 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 57.05 | 67.94 | 48.81 | 65.49 | 61.79 | 70.21 | 55.97 | 57.50 | 74.26 |
| 58.82 | 69.13 | 50.25 | 66.10 | 63.84 | 70.25 | 56.71 | 57.71 | 73.25 |


| LANG300 | BIOC332 | BIOC352 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 72.74 | 60.47 | 72.62 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 66.47 | 58.30 | 71.92 |
| 69.16 | 59.40 | 72.29 |

## Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | fmeans - ameans |
| $Z$ | $-3.912^{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |
| a. Based on positive ranks. <br> b. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test |  |

As "Asymp. Sig" $=0.000$ being less than the risk $\alpha=0.05$ then we reject the hypothesis of identity of these two samples. As a result there is a significant difference between the averages of course in biochemistry between "English" and French "

Same result in biology.

## Statistics



## Mathematics



## Physics:



Electronics:


## Chemistry:



## Biochemistry:



## Biology



These graphs represent the percentages of successes [(0): failed by 0 course] then those are rates by a single course (1), and so on until the last column (misvalue) which represents the percentage of students who are not be presented to the review at least of any of the courses. The stranded students by a single courses are in proportion that are worth of $20.34 \%$ (Biochemistry) up to $25.64 \%$ (Physics).

## Graph of general averages of all specialties:

| specialities | Math | Stat | Info | Eléctr | Biochim | Chim | Phys | Biolog |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| means | 55.80 | 56.54 | 58.11 | 58.98 | 61.00 | 61.19 | 62.71 | 66.46 |



It is clear that the averages in all specialties are worth by increasing order, of the "math" (55.80) until the biology (66.46). The high average in biology, physics, chemistry and biochemistry will be justified by the notes of manipulations in the laboratory.

## Comparison between the averages of the students "English" and "French" for each of the specialties:

To find out if there is no significant difference between the averages of the students in a same specialty, but shared in two groups ( $1=$ teaching in English; and $2=$ teaching in French). In other term, if the averages of students ("French" or "English") come from the same population, by using of the test of the median.

## 1.Informatics:

Test Statistics ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

|  | moycal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 83 |
| Median | 59.2000 |
| Chi-Square | .008 |
| Df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .931 |

a. Grouping Variable: lang

## 2.Mathematics:

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | moycal |
| N | 103 |
| Median | 56.0000 |
| Chi-Square | 4.312 |
| Df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .038 |

a.Grouping Variable: lang

## Afif Hayek.; Sch. J. Phys. Math. Stat., 2016; Vol-3; Issue-1 (Feb); pp-22-41

As indicated in the first table above, Asymp. Sig $=0.931>\alpha=0.05$ then it accepts the null hypothesis of the identity of these two samples of averages (English and French).

In the second table, there is $0.038<0.05$ so it rejects the null hypothesis of the identity of these two samples and there is a significant difference between the two samples of the averages of students in mathematical license shared according to their languages of learning (English or French).

## 3. Physics:

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | moycal |
| N | 52 |
| Median | 61.5909 |
| Chi-Square | .080 |
| Df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .777 |

a. Grouping Variable: lang

## 4. Electronics:

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | moycal |
| N | 40 |
| Median | 59.1250 |
| Chi-Square | 1.026 |
| df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .311 |

a. Grouping Variable: lang

Similarly, it accepts the null hypothesis of the identity of these two samples of averages in physical license (English and French).

The same in Electronics. the average in both groups come from the same population.

## 5)Chemistry:

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | moycal |
| N | 75 |
| Median | 59.8333 |
| Chi-Square | 1.602 |
| df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .206 |

a. Grouping Variable: lang

## 6.Biochemistry:

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | meancal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 141 |
| Median <br> Chi-Square <br> df <br> Asymp. Sig. | 60.1429 |
|  | 3.868 |
|  | 1 |
|  |  |

a.Grouping Variable: lang
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The average of these two groups come from the same population in Chemistry.. For the two groups (English and French) in biochemistry, it rejects the hypothesis of identity of the averages. And by follows there is a significant difference between the averages of these two groups.

## 7. Biology:

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | meancal |
| N | 118 |
| Median | 67.2143 |
| Chi-Square | 4.145 |
| Df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .042 |

a. Grouping Variable: lang

Similarly, it rejects the hypothesis of the identity of the two averages. And by follows, there is a significant difference between the means of these two groups.

## IX) Test of the median for the eight specialities taken differently:

 1)Info, Math and Statistics:
## Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | Moycal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 92 |
| Median | 60.5000 |
| Chi-Square | 1.592 |
| Df | 1 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .207 |

a. Grouping Variable:specialty
2) Physics and Electronics:

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | Moycal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 202 |
| Median | 57.0500 |
| Chi-Square | $3.431^{2}$ |
| df | 2 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .180 |

b. Grouping Variable: specialty: specialite

## 3) Chemstry, Biochem, Biol

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | Moycal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 332 |
| Median | 62.2738 |
| Chi-Square | $47.549^{2}$ |
| df | 2 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000 |


| Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | Moycal |
| N | 332 |
| Median | 62.2738 |
| Chi-Square | $47.549^{a}$ |
| df | 2 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000 |

b. Grouping Variable: speciality
4) Phys, Elect, Chem, Biochem

| Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | Moycal |
| Median | 306 |
| Chi-Square | 60.1169 |
| df | $2.376^{2}$ |
| Asymp. Sig. | 3 |

b. Grouping Variable:specialty

## 5) Physics, Electronics, Chemistry , Biochemistry and Biology:

## Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | Meancal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 424 |
| Median | 61.9643 |
| Chi-Square | $47.532^{2}$ |
| df | 4 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000 |

b. Grouping Variable: specialty

## 6) Informatics, Mathematics, Statistics, Physics, Electronics, Chemistry, Biochemistry and Biology:

## Frequencies

|  |  | specialities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Info | Math | Stat | Physique | Electronique | Chimie | Biochimie | Biologie |
| $\begin{aligned} \hline \text { moycal } & >\text { Median } \\ & \text { < }=\text { Median } \end{aligned}$ |  | 35 | 28 | 2 | 30 | 17 | 35 | 71 | 95 |
|  |  | 49 | 75 | 13 | 22 | 23 | 39 | 69 | 23 |

Test Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  | Meancal |
| :--- | ---: |
| N | 626 |
| Median | 60.1056 |
| Chi-Square | $78.154^{2}$ |
| df | 7 |
| Asymp. Sig. | .000 |

b. Grouping Variable: specialite

## RESULT:

- Informatics, Mathematics and statistics are identical, even for physics and electronics, but contrery to the chemistry, biochemistry and biology that are not identical.
- Informatics, Statistics, mathematics and physics are not identical.
- Physics Electronics, chemistry and biochemistry are identical.
- Physics Electronics, chemistry, biochemistry and biology are not identical
- Informatics, Statistics, mathematics, physics, electronics, chemistry, biochemistry and biology are not identical.


## CONCLUSION:

- The number of students (license) in the English language exceeds that in the French language except in chemistry and biochemistry.
- Aberrant notes in "Chim330" and "Chim302" and in the two courses "Biol380" and "bioc300".
- The percentage of failures in such courses are worth up to $48.5 \%$
- The number of stranded students by a single course rises sometimes to $25 \%$.
- There is a significant difference between the mean of the "English" and "French" in the same courses in "Biochemistry" and in "biology".
- The general averages by specialty are worth of 55.80/100 (in mathematics) up to 66.46/100 (in Biology).
- The averages of students in each of the specialties "Mathematics, Chemistry and Biochemistry" does not come from the same population.
- "Informatics, Mathematics and Statistics" are identical in notes of their students, it is the same for "Physics, electronics, chemistry, and Biochemistry", but for the specialty "biology" the notes of students seem completely away from all other specialties.


## Opinion of the teachers:

Consultant professors whose courses represent the largest number of failure about the difficulties faced by students in their courses, we identified the following causes:

- Students do not understand the questions.
- Anxiety and stress among students.
- Gaps in the first two academic years.
- The absence in the courses and work practices.
- Weakness in computer knowledge and in the programming.
- The students do not have the habit of analyze and they just remember.
- The answer to the questions is in need of a good expression written in French or English.


## Opinion of the Students

- Not many applications on the course.
- No relationship between the lecture in class and the questions in the exam.
- Lack of references and copies of examinations.
- Clinical terminology is difficult.
- Complicated calculation in the exam.
- Some teachers ask difficult and complicated questions to show the importance of their courses.
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