Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch Acad J Biosci ISSN 2347-9515 (Print) | ISSN 2321-6883 (Online) Journal homepage: <u>https://saspublishers.com</u>

NIH National Library of Medicine National Center for Biotechnology Information NLM ID: 101629416 **∂** OPEN ACCESS

Medicine

Water Hyacinth is a Potential Aquatic Plant Used in Water Treatment: A Short Review

Dr. Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad1*, Dr. Md. Tanwir Alam2, Dr. Shifat Naaz3

¹PG Scholar, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Govt. Tibbi College and Hospital (GTCH), Patna ²Associate Professor, PPvC Co-Ordinator, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, GTCH, Patna ³PG Scholar, Department of Pharmacology, GTCH, Patna

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36347/sajb.2025.v13i07.004

| Received: 02.06.2025 | Accepted: 11.07.2025 | Published: 16.07.2025

*Corresponding author: Dr. Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad

PG Scholar, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Govt. Tibbi College and Hospital (GTCH), Patna

Abstract Review Article

Water hyacinth (WH), Eichhornia crassipes is a rapidly propagating, free-floating aquatic plant known for its impressive capacity to absorb contaminants from polluted water bodies. Its expansive root system, rapid growth rate and resilience to various pollutants make it a viable tool for phytoremediation that works as an eco-friendly and sustainable source of biomass and biochar that has drawn attention for its remarkable phytoremediation capabilities. It is an efficient agent for eliminating pollutants from a variety of water bodies due to its quick development, wide root system and high tolerance to pollutants. This short review explores the mechanisms, effectiveness and potential uses of WH in water treatment are covered, which also emphasises the plant's potential as a justifiable solution for problems with water pollution. Additionally, this review cites research on the water hyacinth's ability to absorb substances, lowering the levels of heavy metals, organic substances and a number of other physiochemical parameters in textile wastewater like TSS (total suspended solids), TDS (total dissolved solids), COD (chemical oxygen demand) and BOD (biological oxygen demand) etc. Novelty Statement: This short review offers a concise but complete available information of water hyacinth's phytoremediation potential, highlighting the plant's capacity to eliminate a wide range of contaminants from different wastewater sources such as heavy metals, nutrients and organic contaminants. This work's uniqueness is, it incorporates treatment efficiency, application techniques, and current experimental data to provide academics and policymakers looking for long-term water treatment solutions with a useful resource. It adds value to existing literature by bridging ecological insights with real-world wastewater management practices.

Keywords: Water hyacinth; Phytoremediation; Heavy metals; Herbal method; Cost effective.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Water pollution is a growing concern that threatens both ecosystems and human health across the globe. As industrialization, urban expansion and agricultural practices continue to intensify, large amounts of pollutants for example heavy metals, excess nutrients and organic compounds are being discharged into waterways [1,2]. In India, water pollution is a major issue. According to studies, 70 and 80 percent of India's water is seriously contaminated and 38 million people especially children are suffering with waterborne illnesses such as cholera, diarrhoea and typhoid each year. More people die from water-borne illnesses globally than from other disease like measles, TB and AIDS combined with children under 5. In addition to impacting children's health, it also lowers India's GDP and causes economic issues. An estimated 80 billion dollars are lost to India each year. The industries are one

of the main causes of water contamination. The wastewater released by the manufacturing units contains a various types of metal ions, like Mg, Zn, Ni, Fe, Co, Pb, Hg and Sr [3]. WH has been proved to enhance overall efficiency at removing several contaminants from wastewater including nitrogen, phosphorus, organic compounds, suspended particles, phenols, pesticides, heavy metals, chemical and biochemical oxygen demand [2]. While conventional treatment methods are effective, they often come with significant costs and high energy demands. In contrast, phytoremediation offers a more sustainable, eco-friendly and cost-effective solution by utilizing the natural capabilities of plants to clean contaminated water [4].

In terms of phytoremediation, *Eichhornia crassipes* represents one among the most promising aquatic plants. Known for its fast growth and potential to thrive in various water conditions, this free-floating plant

Citation: Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad, Md. Tanwir Alam, Shifat Naaz. Water Hyacinth is A Potential Aquatic Plant Used in Water Treatment: A Short Review. Sch Acad J Biosci, 2025 Jul 13(7): 898-907.

can absorb a broad spectrum of pollutants [2,5]. The main mechanisms for reducing contaminant exposure are found in the branched root system, that is where contaminants are mainly absorbed by plants. The root system's massive surface area allows it to absorb and retain the nutrients and water needed for growth, along with other undesirable contaminants [2]. Originally native to South America, water hyacinth has spread aggressively to freshwater habitats across Asia, Africa and North America, often forming dense mats that interfere with aquatic ecosystems and human activities. Historically recognised as a weed, water hyacinth (Jal kumbhi) is problematic in water bodies all over the Globe. The exceptionally fast growth and water-repellent nature of water hyacinth have made it an extremely difficult plant to manage. Based on estimates, water hyacinth can produce large quantity of dry biomass approximately 0.26 tonnes per hectare daily in optimum conditions. [4,6] It is estimated that water hyacinth can reproduce in 4-58 days and double its weight in 6-28 days, depending on the environmental circumstances [4,7,8]. Despite being classified as an invasive plant, water hyacinth possesses extraordinary characteristics that make it an effective tool for water purification. Its branched fibrous root system serves as a natural environment for microorganisms that help break down organic contaminants. Additionally, the plant has demonstrated a strong ability to effectively accumulate and absorb toxic metals. Such as lead, cadmium and arsenic, alongside excess nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus. These characteristics have made it effective for treating a wide range of wastewaters, from industrial discharges and agricultural runoff to household sewage. By capitalizing the natural purification abilities of water hyacinth, researchers and environmentalists continue to explore its potential in large-scale wastewater treatment. Its widespread availability and effectiveness make it a valuable asset in promoting cleaner waterways while addressing the environmental challenges posed by pollution.

Mechanisms of Phytoremediation by Water Hyacinth [9]

Bioaccumulation (Phytoextraction):

Water hyacinth has an incredible ability to cleanse polluted water by absorbing and storing harmful and toxic metals like lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr) and arsenic (As) etc through a meticulous process called bioaccumulation or phytoextraction, the plant takes up these contaminants through its roots and moves them into its tissues, effectively locking them away. Its sprawling root system plays a crucial role by offering a vast surface area for metal absorption, while its rapid growth ensures that significant amounts of pollutants are removed in a short time. According to researches, water hyacinth is a promising natural solution for environmental remediation since it significantly reduces the concentration of heavy metals in wastewater. Despite its reputation as an invasive species, its remarkable filtering properties are turning it into an ecofriendly ally in the fight against water pollution.

Phytostabilization:

It is a crucial step in phytoremediation that focuses on containing contaminants within the root zone of plants, effectively preventing their movement and reducing their availability to water bodies. The process relies on several mechanisms including:

- Root Adsorption and Absorption: Plants with extensive root systems like water hyacinth offer a large surface area for contaminants to adhere. Pollutants in the water or soil attach to the root surfaces or are absorbed into the plant tissues, effectively trapping them in place and preventing their further spread
- Chemical Alterations in the Rhizosphere: The rhizosphere is the area around the roots that helps stabilize contaminants. Various chemical reactions occur here, leading to the precipitation of pollutants into less soluble forms. Additionally, the plant roots release organic compounds (exudates) that can bind with heavy metals, forming stable complexes that further restrict their movement.
- Changes in Metal Toxicity: Water hyacinth has the potential to alter the oxidation states of metals, effectively reducing their toxicity. Like the conversion of hexavalent chromium (Cr⁶⁺), a highly toxic form, into trivalent chromium (Cr³⁺) significantly decreases its solubility and harmful effects, making it less mobile in the environment.
- Microbial Interactions for Enhanced Stability: The rhizosphere is home to diverse microbial communities that interact with pollutants. Beneficial microbes can degrade organic contaminants and further immobilize inorganic ones by facilitating biochemical changes that make pollutants less bioavailable.

Rhizofiltration:

It is a phytoremediation method that uses plant's branched fibrous root to absorb, reduce and precipitate pollutants from contaminated water sources, particularly excess nutrients and heavy metals. Rhizofiltration is nature's way of purifying water, where plant roots act as living filters that trap and absorb harmful contaminants. Water hyacinth, with its dense and fibrous root system, excels in this process, helping to cleanse polluted water bodies.

As water flows through the plant's root zone, pollutants including heavy metals and excess nutrients get either absorbed into the plant tissues or cling to the root surfaces. This natural filtration process effectively removes toxins, improving water quality and restoring balance to aquatic ecosystems. Rhizofiltration with water hyacinth supports the health of surrounding aquatic life by mitigating the amounts of harmful substances. Its ability to thrive in various environmental conditions makes it an invaluable tool for sustainable water treatment. Scientists and environmentalists continue to explore ways to harness its potential while managing its invasive nature responsibly.

Phytodegradation:

Water hyacinth has a remarkable ability to clean polluted water, due to its natural enzymatic systems that break down harmful organic compounds. Through metabolic processes within the plant, contaminants like pesticides, phenols and hydrocarbons are transformed into less toxic forms. Some of these degraded substances get absorbed into the plant's biomass, while others continue to break down further, reducing their environmental impact. This built-in purification system enables water hyacinth to tackle a broad range of organic contaminants, helping to restore water quality in contaminated environments. Its efficiency in breaking down toxins makes it a valuable natural tool for environmental cleanup.

Efficacy in Contaminants Removal

Research has indicated that water hyacinth is effective at eliminating a range of contaminants:

Heavy Metals: More than 80% of Pb, Cu, Cd and As can be eliminated from contaminated water by heavy metals.

According to a study conducted in Bangladesh, the whole plant (WH) totally (100%) removed arsenic while 80 percent of the arsenic was removed by the fibrous roots and 0% was removed by the leaves or leaf stalks [56].

Industrial Effluents: Efficient in lowering Cr (VI) and other metal concentrations in effluent from the mining and textile sectors.

Nutrients and Organic Matter: Improves the general quality of water by lowering nitrate, phosphate, BOD and COD levels.

Applications in Water Treatment

There are several uses for water hyacinth:

Sewage Treatment: Used to extract nutrients and heavy metals from municipal wastewater in stabilisation ponds.

Industrial wastewater: Used to treat industrial effluents and significantly lower pollutant concentrations.

Constructed Wetlands: Integrated into designed systems for passive water treatment are constructed wetlands.

S.	Part used of WH	Heavy	Source	Adsorption capacity	Optimised condition
N		metal			
1	"Dried water hyacinth shoot & root" [10]	Cd ⁺² , Pb ⁺²	Aqueous solution	75% for Cd and >90% for Pb	Dosage of 5.0 g/L and pH 5.0
2	"Eichhornia crassipes biomass" [11]	Cd ⁺² , Pb ^{+2,} Zn ⁺²	Aqueous solution	Sorption capacities (qm) of 26.32, 12.60 & 12.55 mg/g for Pb ⁺² , Cd ⁺² & Zn ⁺²	(C0=10-60 mg/L), 3 h contact time, 30 °C, 2 g/L, 150 rpm and pH 4.84
3	"E. crassipes type Biosorbent" [12]	Cd ⁺² , Zn ⁺²	Batch binary system	0.65 m equiv./g maximum metal uptake	30°C temperature and with non-uniform biosorbent grain sizes
4	"Dry hyacinth roots (DHR)" [13]	As	Spiked drinking water sample	90% Arsenic removal	Concentration of 20 g/L DHR
5	"WH plant" [14]	Fe	Fe rich wastewaters in constructed wetlands	6707 Fe mg/kg dry weight	-
6	"WH plant" [15]	Al	Al rich waste water in constructed wet lands	Highest phytoremediation efficiency of 63%	-
7	"WH" [16]	Mn	Synthetic wastewater in constructed wetlands	Phytoextraction mode of Mn removal	pH (6.2 to 7.1)
8	"WH plant" [17]	Cu, Cd, Pb, Zn	Anaerobic up flow packed bed reactor with WH ponds	98% for Cd, 99% for Cu, 98% for Pb and 84% for Zn	10 days with a hydraulic retention time of one day
9	"WH roots" [18]	Pb^{+2}	Aqueous solutions	-	-
10	"WH plant" [19]	Zn, Cr	Aqueous solutions	95% of Zn and 84% of Cr	-
© 2025 Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences Published by SAS Publishers, India 900					

Table 1: Efficacy in Contaminant Removal [1]

Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad et al, Sch Acad J Biosci, Jul, 2025; 13(7): 898-907

11	"WH plant" [20]	Fe, Zn, Cu,	Aqueous solutions	(>90%) of different metals	-
		Cr, Cd		during 15 days experiment	
12	"WH plant" [21]	Cd, Zn	Aqueous solutions	Metal uptake by the plant	-
				was dependent	
				upon the conc. of the	
				metal & the duration of the	
				exposure time	
13	"Eichhornia	Cd^{+2} , Pb^{+2} ,	Binary & ternary	Metal sorption followed	30 ⁰ C and pH 4.84
	crassipes biomass"	Zn ⁺²	systems	the order	
	[22]			$Pb^{+2} >> Cd^{+2} >> Zn^{+2}$	
14	"Dried powdered	Cr6+	Batch sorption	91.5181 mg/g maximum	pH 2.0, 40°C, 300
	stem and leaves of		process		mg/L meta
	E. crassipes				concentration.
	biomass" [23]				
15	Activated carbon	Hg2+	Aqueous solution	28.4 mg/g	pH 5 for a particle
	derived from E.				size of 125–180 micro
	crassipes [24]				meter
16	"WH plant" [25]	Cd, Zn	Aqueous metal	Cadmium in shoots - 148.0	-
			solution	μ gm/g. roots - 2006 μ g/g.	
				zinc in shoots -1899 µg/g;	
				in roots -9646 μ g/g.	
17	"WH fibre" [26]	Cu, Zn	Aqueous solution	99.42 mg Cu2+ and 83.01	-
			-	mg Zn2+ per 1 g biomass	

Table 2: Enhancing the wastewater quality parameters through the applications of *E. crassipes*. [27]

S.	Pollutant	Wastewater	Experimental conditions	Maximum removal efficiency (%)
Ν		source		
1	"COD, TN, TP" [28]	Synthetic	Constructed wetlands	COD: 60% RE, TN: 68% RE
		wastewater	system	TP: 87% RE, Results obtained using E.
				crassipes
2	"CH ₄ "[29]	Wastewater	Small-scale wastewater	E. crassipes reduced 52.30-83.21% of
		stabilization	stabilization ponds with E.	CH ₄ fluxes at water-atmosphere
		ponds	crassipes under simulate	interface
			treating sewage treatment	
	-		plant effluents for 31 days	
3	"TN, NO ³⁻ -N, NH ₄ +-	Domestic	Domestic wastewater pilot	TN: 63.9% RE
	N" [30]	wastewater	plant	NH ⁴⁺ -N: 81.0% RE
				NO ₃ ⁻ -N: 22.8% RE
				Results obtained using <i>E. crassipes</i>
4	"COD, NH ₃ , NO ₃ ,	Municipal	Reactor tanks	COD: 49% RE, NH ₃ :81% RE
	P" [31]	wastewater		NO ₃ : 92% RE, P: 67% RE
				Results obtained using E. crassipes
5	"BOD, TN, TP" [32]	Wastewater	Constructed wetlands	BOD: 90% RE, TN: 58% RE
		with dairy		TP: 75% RE, Results obtained after 4d
-		effluents	~ .	using E. crassipes
6	"TSS, Color, COD"	Wastewater	Continuous two-stage	Color: 79% RE, COD: 95% RE, <i>P</i> .
	[33]	from coffee	constructed wetland system	karka 3d and E. crassipes 4d
		factories	volumetric flow rate of 4.1	
			L/day. Combination of <i>P</i> .	
	(DOD COD DO -3	Q 11 3	karka & E. crassipes	$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} = $
7	"BOD, COD, PO_4^{-3} ,	Combination	Lab-scale mixed	BOD: 98% RE, COD: 99% RE, PO ₄ ⁻³ :
	NO ₃ " [34]	various	wastewater ponds with <i>P</i> .	73% RE
		wastewater	stratiotes and E. crassipes	NO_3^- : 91% RE <i>P. stratiotes</i> and <i>E.</i>
8	TTEL DOD NOT NI	Madallanai a 1	T1: Textile wastewater	crassipes TSS: T1: 31.71% RE
ð	"TSS, BOD, NO3-N"	Metallurgical, textile and		
	[35]	pharmaceutical	T2: Metallurgical wastewater	TSS T2: 63.91% RE TSS T3: 63.57% RE
		1	T3: Pharmaceutical	BOD: T1: 66.98% RE
		wastewater		
			wastewater	BOD T2: 73.33% RE

Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad et al, Sch Acad J Biosci, Jul, 2025; 13(7): 898-907

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
9"BOD, COD, NO_3.N, TN, PO4^{-3}-P" [36]Municipal wastewaterMixed culture of E. crassipes and Salvinia natansBOD: 84.5% RE COD: 83.2% RE PO4^{-3}-P: 56.6% RE NO_3^N: 26.6% RE TN: 53.0% RE10"TSS, NH4^+-N, COD, PO4^{-3"} [37]Domestic wastewater in situT1: wastewater treatment with microorganisms + plants (E. crassipes and Ipomoea aquatica) T2: wastewater treatment with plants (same plants)Better results in T2 TSS: range 37.8–53.3% RE COD: range 44.4–53.4% RE PO4^{-3}: range 26.8–32.6% RE NH4^+-N: range 26.8–32.6% RE NO3^-: stage 56.7–61.4% RE DO0 : 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TSS: 90,7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4^{-3}-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4^{-3}-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					NO3N: T3: 42.42% RE
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	9	"BOD, COD, NO ₃₋ N,	Municipal	Mixed culture of E.	BOD: 84.5% RE
Image: 10"TSS, NH4*-N, COD, PO4*3" [37]Domestic wastewater in situT1: wastewater treatment with microorganisms + plants (<i>E. crassipes and Ipomoea aquatica</i>) T2: wastewater treatment with plants (<i>same plants</i>)Better results in T2 TSS: range 37.8–53.3% RE COD: range 44.4–53.4% RE PO4*3*: range 56.7–61.4% RE NH4*-N: range 26.8–32.6% RE11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, perform refinery turbidity" [38]Wastewater perochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4*3*, P		TN, PO ₄ ⁻³ -P" [36]	wastewater	crassipes and Salvinia	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				natans	PO ₄ ⁻³ -P: 56.6% RE
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					NO ₃ N: 26.6% RE
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					TN: 53.0% RE
situplants (E. crassipes and Ipomoea aquatica) T2: wastewater treatment with plants (same plants)COD: range 44.4–53.4% RE PO4-3: range 56.7–61.4% RE NH4+-N: range 26.8–32.6%RE11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3-," turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4-3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27– 74.193% PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE	10	"TSS, NH4 ⁺ -N, COD,	Domestic	T1: wastewater treatment	Better results in T2
Ipomoea aquatica) T2: wastewater treatment with plants (same plants)PO4^{-3: range 56.7–61.4% RE NH4+-N: range 26.8–32.6% RE11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3", turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4"3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27– 74.193% PO4"3-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE		PO ₄ ⁻³ "[37]	wastewater in	with microorganisms +	TSS: range 37.8–53.3% RE
11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3-", turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersNH4+-N: range 26.8–32.6%RE11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3-", turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TS: 87% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4-3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE			situ	plants (E. crassipes and	COD: range 44.4–53.4% RE
Interpretationwith plants (same plants)11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3", turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4"3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 66.42-90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4"3-P, TP, TN" [40]13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE				Ipomoea aquatica)	PO ₄ ⁻³ : range 56.7–61.4% RE
11"TDS, BOD, COD, TSS, TS, NO3", turbidity" [38]Wastewater from refinery and petrochemicalLab-scale in 5L containersTDS: 90% RE COD: 54.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4"3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4"3-P, TP, TN" [40]13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE				T2: wastewater treatment	NH4 ⁺ -N: range 26.8–32.6%RE
TSS, TS, NO3 ⁻ , turbidity" [38]from refinery and petrochemicalCOD: 54.3% RE NO3-: 86.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE Turbidity 18% RE TS: 87% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4 ⁻³ -P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4 ⁻³ -P; reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE				with plants (same plants)	
turbidity" [38]and petrochemicalNO3-: 86.3% RE BOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4-3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 86.42-90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE	11	"TDS, BOD, COD,	Wastewater	Lab-scale in 5L containers	TDS: 90% RE
petrochemicalpetrochemicalBOD: 13.7% RE TSS: 55.7% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4^-3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 86.42-90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4^-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE		TSS, TS, NO ₃ ⁻ ,	from refinery		COD: 54.3% RE
Image: Constructed wetlandsTopological and the constructed wetlandsTopological and the constructed wetlandsTopological and the constructed wetlandsImage: Constructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsImage: Constructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsImage: Constructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlandsConstructed wetlands		turbidity" [38]	and		NO3-: 86.3% RE
Image: Constructed wetlandsTurbidity 18% RE TS: 87% RE12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4^3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53-80.93% BOD: reduction range 86.42-90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40-73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4^{-3}-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE			petrochemical		BOD: 13.7% RE
12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4^3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53–80.93% BOD: reduction range 86.42–90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27– 74.193% PO4^-3-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE					TSS: 55.7% RE
12"COD, BOD, TSS, PO4^3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]Domestic wastewaterEngineered attached microbial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)COD: reduction range 75.53–80.93% BOD: reduction range 86.42–90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27– 74.193% PO4^3-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE					Turbidity 18% RE
PO4^-3-P, TP, NH3-N" [39]wastewatermicrobial growth technique (termed Bio-hedge)BOD: reduction range 86.42–90.90% TSS: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27- 74.193% PO4^-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE					TS: 87% RE
[39](termed Bio-hedge)TSS: reduction range 67.40–73.02% NH3-N: reduction range 69.27– 74.193% PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE	12		Domestic	Engineered attached	COD: reduction range 75.53–80.93%
Image: Normal Science NH3-N: reduction range 69.27–74.193% PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23% I3 "COD, TP, TN" [40] Wastewater Constructed wetlands COD: 64.44% RE		PO ₄ ⁻³ -P, TP, NH3-N"	wastewater	microbial growth technique	BOD: reduction range 86.42–90.90%
74.193% PO ₄ -3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23% 13 "COD, TP, TN" [40] Wastewater Constructed wetlands COD: 64.44% RE		[39]		(termed Bio-hedge)	TSS: reduction range 67.40–73.02%
PO4-3-P: reduction range 30.80-41.23% 13 "COD, TP, TN" [40] Wastewater Constructed wetlands COD: 64.44% RE					NH ₃ -N: reduction range 69.27–
13"COD, TP, TN" [40]WastewaterConstructed wetlandsCOD: 64.44% RE					74.193%
					PO_4^{-3} -P: reduction range 30.80–41.23%
from a duck TN: 21.78% RE	13	"COD, TP, TN" [40]	Wastewater	Constructed wetlands	COD: 64.44% RE
			from a duck		TN: 21.78% RE
farm TP: 23.03 RE			farm		TP: 23.03 RE

*BOD- biochemical oxygen demand, COD- chemical oxygen demand, d- days, DO- dissolve oxygen, NO_3^- nitrate, NO_3^- N- nitrate nitrogen, NH3- ammonia, NH_4^+ -N- ammonium, PO_4^{-3} phosphate, RE- removal efficiency, T- treatment, TN- total nitrogen, TP- total phosphorus, TS- total solids, TSS-total suspended solids

Table 3: Applications of <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> in the immobilization and accumulation of heavy metals from
wastowator [27]

S.No.	Pollutant	Wastewater source	Experimental conditions	Maximum removal efficiency
5.110.	Fonutant	wastewater source	Experimental conditions	(%)
1	"Fe, Mn, Cu" [41]	Wastewater from electroplating (battery &	Plants directly from the wastewater area were analyzed	<i>E. crassipes</i> was able to accumulate: *
		aeronautical industry)	to determine their	Fe: 4052.44 μg/g
		• /	bioaccumulation capacity by	Mn: 788.42 μg/g
			measuring the metal content in both water and plants	Cu: 315.50 µg/g
2	"Cd, Cu, As, Al, Pb" [42]	HMs present in steel effluent, with a conc.	Lab-scale study with <i>E.</i> <i>crassipes</i> or <i>P. stratiotes</i>	Better results with <i>E. crassipes</i> Cd: 82.8% RE
	/II,10 [12]	of 250 g/10 L of effluent	samples at 0, 20 and 30 d	Cu: 78.6% RE
				As: 74% RE
				Al: 73% RE Pb: 73% RE
3	"Pb, Cd,	Simulated wastewater:	Electrically stimulated	BCF Cd = 1118.18
	Cu" [43]	supplemented with Pb	phytoremediation of Pb, Cd, and	BCF Cu = 1152.47
		(NO3)2 CuSO4.5H2O,	Cu by applying a voltage of 4 V	Moderate accumulator of Pb
		and(3CdSO4).8H2O	for 2 h daily over 25 days	BCF Pb = 932.26
4	"Cr, Cu"	Simulated wastewater	Lab-scale study with E.	Cu: ~ 40% RE
	[44]	supplemented with	crassipes	Cr: ~ 50% RE
		Cr 1 ppm & Cu 5 ppm		
5	"Fe, Mn"	Combination various	Lab-scale mixed wastewater	Fe: 89% RE
	[33]	wastewater	ponds with	Mn: 74% RE
© 2025	Scholars Academic	Journal of Biosciences Published by	y SAS Publishers, India	902

Mohammad Mashkur Ahmad et al, Sch Acad J Biosci, Jul, 2025; 13(7): 898-907

		wonanniad wasikur Anniad et al, Sch Acad J Bloser, Jul, 2023, 15(7). 876-707				
			Pistia stratiotes and E. crassipes	P. stratiotes and Eichhornia		
				crassipes		
6	"As" [55]	As from spiked drinking	DRWH as a function of time (30	Over 80% of the arsenic		
		water samples	mg roots per ⁻¹ at $pH = 6.0$ and	was taken up within 30		
			200 micro g	minutes exposure.		
			As l ⁻¹ . Solution containing 200	96% of both chemical species		
			micro g As l ⁻¹ within 60 minutes	of arsenic were removed		
			of exposure to a powder	within one hour, leaving		
			produced from dried roots.	solutions containing 9.50 mgl ⁻¹		
				As^{+3} 7.85 mg $l^{-1}As^{+5}$.		
7	"Cd, Cu, Cr,	Wastewater from pulp and	Laboratory-scale experiment	At 50% wastewater		
	Fe, Pb, Zn,	paper industry	using	concentration, E.		
	Mn" [45]	(PPMW)	PPMW at different	crassipes absorbed the heaviest		
			concentrations (25%,	metals in its vegetative tissues		
			50%, 75%, and 100%) with <i>E</i> .			
			crassipes			
8	"Cd, Cu,	Metallurgical, textile, and	T1: Textile wastewater	Cd: T1: 94.87% RE		
	Fe" [35]	pharmaceutical	T2: Metallurgical wastewater	Cd: T2: 95.59% RE		
		wastewater	T3: Pharmaceutical wastewater	Cd: T3: 93.55% RE		
				Cu: T1: 6. 67% RE		
				Cu: T2: 0% RE		
				Cu: T3: 0% RE		
				Fe: T1: 0% RE		
				Fe: T2: 0% RE		
				Fe: T3: 90.91% RE		
9	"Cd, Hg,	Wastewater from refinery	Lab-scale in 5L containers	Cd: 99.0%, RE		
	Zn, Mn, Pb,	and petrochemical		Hg: 95.0% RE		
	Ag" [38]			Zn: 96.3% RE		
				Mn:100% RE		
				Pb: 99.3% RE		
				Ag: 94.3% RE		
10	"Cd, Cu, Fe,	HMs reduction from	Laboratory-scale experiment	Cd: 91.30% RE		
	Mn, Pb, Zn"	highly toxic glass	with using	Cu: 93.55% RE		
	[46]	industry effluent (GIE)	5 diluted concentrations of GIE	Fe: 92.81% RE		
			and <i>E. crassipes</i>	Mn: 93.45% RE		
				Pb: 89.66% RE		
				Zn: 94.44 % RE		
				Treatment most efficiently was		
				at 25%		
				GIE concentration		

*BCF = bioconcentration factor, DW = dry weight, GIE = glass industry effluent, PPMW = wastewater from pulp and paper industry, RE = removal efficiency

Table 4: Organic pollutants removal using E. crassipes [27]

S.No.	Pollutant	Wastewater	Experimental conditions	Maximum removal
		source/medium		efficiency (%)
1	"Ethion"	Nutrient solution	Nutrient solutions supplemented	Uptake and phytodegradation
	(organophosphate	supplemented with	with 10mg/L ampicillin (reduce	69% attributed to plant and
	pesticide) [47]	Ethion	bacterial growth) and Ethion,	12% microbial degradation.
			initial concentrations 0.01, 0.1,	Ethion accumulated in
			and 1 mg/L	shoots: 55–91% Ethion
				accumulated in roots: 74-
				81%
2	"Tebuconazole"	Tebuconazole water	Calcium-modified E. crassipes-	The max. adsorption capacity
	[48]	solution	based biochar (ECCBC)	of ECCBC was 40.5 mg/g
3	"Naphthalene"	Composition of the	T1: E. crassipes coupled with	T1 removal: 100% in 9 d, T2
	[49]	pond: freshwater	natural rhizospheric bacteria, T2:	removal: 45% in 7 d
		with	E. crassipes decoupled of	
			rhizospheric bacteria	

		the addition of 20% of the total volume of wastewater		
4	"Formaldehyde" [450]	Wastewater supplemented with formaldehyde	T1: formaldehyde 100 ppm input – 20aC T2: formaldehyde 200 ppm input – 20aC	T1: 100% in 8 d T2: removal: 92.7% in 10d
5	"Emtricitabine" [51]	Wastewater samples	Amounts accumulate in <i>E.</i> <i>crassipes</i> roots	$11.7 \pm 0.52 \ \mu g/kg$ Hartbeespoort Dam SP, 17.2 $\pm 0.14 \ \mu g/kg$ Springfield SP
6	"Tenofovir" [51]	Wastewater samples	Amounts accumulate in <i>E.</i> <i>crassipes</i> roots	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.582 μg/kg Hartbeespoort Dam SP, 8.65 <u>+</u> 0.58 μg/kg Springfield SP
7	"Oxybenzone Octocrylene Lindane Diuron" [52]	The water solution contains oxybenzone, octocrylene, lindane and diuron	Powdered dead roots from <i>E.</i> crassipes, <i>P.</i> stratiotes and Fallopia japonica	Octocrylene: $90 \pm 2\%$ BE in 2 h Lindane: $88 \pm 0\%$ BE in 2 h Diuron: $90 \pm 1\%$ BE in 2 h Chlordecone $100 \pm 0\%$ BE in 2 h
8	"Efavirenz" [51]	Wastewater samples	Amounts accumulate in E. crassipes roots	$17.2 \pm 0.14 \ \mu g/kg$ Hartbeespoort Dam SP, 29.6 $\pm 0.17 \ \mu g/kg$ Springfield SP
9	"Methylene blue Methyl orange Tetracycline" [53]	Nutrient solution supplemented with Methylene Blue Methyl orange tetracycline	Green HTC produced hydrochar, which was activated with KOH and magnetized with Fe3+ ions to create magnetic carbon materials	Amounts adsorb Methylene blue: 524.20 mg/g Methyl orange: 425.15 mg/g Tetracycline: 294.24 mg/g
10	"Erythromycin, tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole Mixture" [54]	Synthetic wastewater designed to simulate pharmaceutical industry wastewater	T1: inoculum (anaerobic sludge) + <i>E. crassipes</i> + antibiotics Erythromycin 100 mg/L, tetracycline 37.3 mg/L & sulfamethoxazole 100 mg/L	T1: removal: 60% in 12 d

*BE = biosorption efficiency, d = days, ECCBC = calcium-modified E. crassipes-based biochar, RE = removal efficiency, SP = sampling point, T = treatment

BENEFITS AND DRAWBACKS Benefits

Cost-effective: Simpler and cheaper to operate than conventional treatment techniques. Eco-Friendly: Reduces dependency on chemical processes. Utilisation of Biomass: Harvested plants can be turned into compost, animal feed, or biofuels.

Drawbacks

Invasiveness: Local ecosystems may be upset by uncontrolled growth.

Climate Sensitivity: Seasonal and temperature variations may affect performance.

Challenges with Disposal: In order minimise secondary pollution, accumulated biomass needs to be properly handled.

CONCLUSION

Water hyacinth offers a natural and sustainable solution for improving water quality, utilizing its remarkable capacity to absorb and filter pollutants like heavy metals, excess nutrients and organic contaminants. This aquatic plant efficiently mitigates water pollution through phytoremediation, reducing harmful substances while also enhancing oxygen levels in water bodies. Its tremendous growth and adaptability make it a viable, cost-effective option for wastewater treatment, especially in regions with limited access to conventional purification technologies. Despite its advantages, the unchecked spread of *E. crassipes* can disrupt aquatic ecosystems, outcompeting native vegetation and obstructing waterways. Therefore, strategic management practices are necessary to balance its ecological impact while maximizing its benefits. Measures such as controlled harvesting, incorporation into biogas production and use in composting can mitigate its invasive nature and enhance its contribution to environmental sustainability. Ongoing research and technological advancements can refine water hyacinthbased treatment systems, making them more efficient and scalable. Integrating this method into broader water management strategies alongside engineered treatment

solutions can optimize pollution removal while maintaining ecological balance. By exploiting its natural filtration properties responsibly, water hyacinth has ability to perform a vital function in achieving cleaner and healthier water bodies globally.

Disclosure statement: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

REFERENCES

- Priya ES, Selvan PS. Water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) – An efficient and economic adsorbent for textile effluent treatment – A review. Arabian Journal of Chemistry 2017;10:3548-58.
- Khare A, Lal EP. Waste Water Purification Potential of *Eichhornia crassipes* (Water Hyacinth). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(12): 3723-31.
- 3. Kaur E. Waste Water Treatment Using Water Hyacinth. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology 2022;7(2): 148-52.
- Guna V, Ilangovan M, Prasad MGA, Reddy N. Water Hyacinth: A Unique Source for Sustainable Materials and Products. ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2017; 5:4478-90.
- Sindhu R, Binod P, Pandey A, Madhavan A, Alphonsa JA, Vivek N *et al.* Water hyacinth: a potential source for value addition: an overview. Bioresour Technol. 2017; 230:152–162.
- Sai RS, Gangadhar VR, Vijayalakshmi S, Ranjitha J. A novel gum Arabic coated magnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle catalysed transesterification of *Eichhornia crassipes* oils for the production of biodiesel. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res. 2015;32(2):81–84.
- Khatun S, Sutradhar KB. Water hyacinth: a possible alternative rate retarding natural polymer used in sustained release tablet design. Front Pharmacol. 2014; 5:137.
- Magar RB, Khan AN, Honnutagi A. Waste Water Treatment using Water Hyacinth. 32nd Indian Engineering Congress, The Institution of Engineers (India) Chennai, 2017.
- Roy D, Shreekanth D, Pawar D, Mahawar H, Barman KK. Phytoremediation of Arsenic Contaminated Water Using Aquatic, Semi-Aquatic and Submerged Weeds. Biodegradation Technology of Organic and Inorganic Pollutants. YNM: 1-23. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.98961
- Ibrahim HS, Ammar NS, Soylak M, Ibrahim M. Removal of Cd (II) and Pb (II) from aqueous solution using dried water hyacinth as a biosorbent. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc. 2012; 96: 413-20. doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2012.05.039.
- 11. Mahamadi C, Nharingo T. Utilization of water hyacinth weed (Eichhornia crassipes) for the removal of Pb (II), Cd (II) and Zn (II) from aquatic environments: an adsorption isotherm study. Environ Technol. 2010;31(11): 1221-8. doi:10.1080/09593331003646604

- Módenes AN, Espinoza-Quiñones FR, Borba CE, Trigueros DEG, Lavarda FL, Abugderah MM *et al.* Adsorption of Zn (II) and Cd (II) ions in batch system by using the Eichhornia crassipes. Water Sci Technol. 2011;64(9):1857–63. doi:10.2166/wst.2011.730..
- Govindaswamy S, Schupp DA, Rock SA. Batch and continuous removal of arsenic using hyacinth roots. Int J Phytoremediation 2011;13(6):513–27. doi:10.1080/15226510903390478.
- Jayaweera MW, Kasturiarachchi JC, Kularatne RK, Wijeyekoon SL. Contribution of water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms) grown under different nutrient conditions to Fe-removal mechanisms in constructed wetlands. J Environ Manage. 2008;87(3):450–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.01.013.
- Jayaweera MW, Kasturiarachchi JC, Kularatne RK, Wijeyekoon SL. Removal of aluminium by constructed wetlands with water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms) grown under different nutritional conditions. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 2007;42(2):185– 93. doi:10.1080/10934520601011361.
- 16. Kularatne RKA, Kasturiarachchi JC, Manatunge JMA, Wijeyekoon SL. Mechanisms of manganese removal from wastewaters in constructed wetlands comprising water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms) grown under different nutrient conditions. Water Environ Res. 2009;81(2):165–72. doi:10.2175/106143008X370403.
- 17. Sekomo CB, Kagisha V, Rousseau D, Lens P. Heavy metal removal by combining anaerobic upflow packed bed reactors with water hyacinth ponds. Environ Technol. 2012;33(10–12):1455–64. doi:10.1080/09593330.2011.633564.
- Singha B, Das SK. Removal of Pb (II) ions from aqueous solution and industrial effluent using natural biosorbents. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2012;19(6):2212–26. doi:10.1007/s11356-011-0725-8.
- Mishra VK, Tripathi BD. Accumulation of chromium and zinc from aqueous solutions using water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes). J Hazard Mater. 2009;164(2–3):1059–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.020.
- Mishra VK, Tripathi BD. Concurrent removal and accumulation of heavy metals by the three aquatic macrophytes. Bioresour Technol. 2008;99(15):7091–7. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.01.002.
- Hasan SH, Talat M, Rai S. Sorption of cadmium and zinc from aqueous solutions by water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes). Bioresour Technol. 2007;98(4):918–28. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2006.02.042.
- Mahamadi C, Nharingo T. Competitive adsorption of Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions onto Eichhornia crassipes in binary and ternary systems. Bioresour

Technol. 2010;101(3):859–64. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.097.

- Hasan SH, Ranjan D, Talat M. Water hyacinth biomass (WHB) for the biosorption of hexavalent chromium: optimization of process parameters. Bio Resources. 2010;5(2):563–75.
- 24. Kadirvelu K, Kanmani P, Senthilkumar P, Subburam V. Separation of mercury (II) from aqueous solution by adsorption onto an activated carbon prepared from Eichhornia crassipes. Adsorp Sci Technol. 2004;22(3):207–22. doi:10.1260/0263617041503480
- Mohamad HH, Latif PA. Uptake of cadmium and zinc from synthetic effluent by water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes). Environ Asia. 2010;3(Special Issue):36–42.
- 26. Buasri A, Chaiyut N, Tapang K, Jaroensin S, Panphrom S. Biosorption of heavy metals from aqueous solutions using water hyacinth as a low-cost biosorbent. Civil Environ Res. 2012;2(2):17–25.
- 27. Monroy-Licht A, Carranza-Lopez L, Parra-Guerra ACDL, Acevedo-Barrios R. Unlocking the potential of *Eichhornia crassipes* for wastewater treatment: phytoremediation of aquatic pollutants, a strategy for advancing Sustainable Development Goal-06 clean water. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 2024; 31:43561–43582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-33698-9
- Lima MX, Carvalho KQ, Passig FH, Borges AC, Filippe TC, Azevedo JCR *et al.* Performance of different substrates in constructed wetlands planted with Eichhornia crassipes treating low-strength sewage under subtropical conditions. Sci Total Environ. 2018; 630:1365–73. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.342
- 29. He X, Zhang S, Lv X, Liu M, Ma Y, Guo S. Eichhornia crassipes-rhizospheric biofilms contribute to nutrients removal and methane oxidization in wastewater stabilization ponds receiving simulative sewage treatment plants effluents. Chemosphere 2023; 322:138100. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138100.
- Mayo AW, Hanai EE. Modelling phytoremediation of nitrogen-polluted water using water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*). Phys Chem Earth Parts A/B/C. 2017; 100:170–180. doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2016.10.016
- Kutty SRM, Ngatenah SNI, Isa MH, Malakahmad A. Nutrients removal from municipal wastewater treatment plant effluent using *Eichhornia crassipes*. World Acad Sci Eng Technol Int J Environ Chem Ecol Geol Geophys Eng. 2009; 3:414–9.
- 32. Queiroz RCS, Maranduba HL, Hafner MB, Rodrigues LB, de Almeida Neto JA. Life cycle thinking applied to phytoremediation of dairy wastewater using aquatic macrophytes for treatment and biomass production. J Clean Prod. 2020; 267:122006. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122006
- 33. Said NSM, Abdullah SRS, Ismail N'I, Hasan HA, Othman AR. Phytoremediation of real coffee

industry effluent through a continuous two-stage constructed wetland system. Environ Technol Innov. 2020; 17:100502. doi: 10.1016/j.eti.2019.100502

- 34. Gusti Wibowo Y, Tyaz Nugraha A, Rohman A. Phytoremediation of several wastewater sources using *Pistia stratiotes* and *Eichhornia crassipes* in Indonesia. Environ Nanotechnol Monit Manag. 2023; 20:100781. doi: 10.1016/j.enmm.2023.100781
- 35. Ajayi TO. Ogunbayio AO. Achieving environmental sustainability wastewater in treatment by phytoremediation with water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes). I Sustain Dev. 2012;5(7):80-90. doi:10.5539/jsd. v5n7p80.
- 36. Kumari M, Tripathi BD. Effect of aeration and mixed culture of *Eichhornia crassipes* and *Salvinia natans* on removal of wastewater pollutants. Ecol Eng. 2014; 62:48–53. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2013.10.007
- Loan NT, Phuong NM, Nguyet NT. The role of aquatic plants and microorganisms in domestic wastewater treatment. Environ Eng Manag J. 2014;13(8):2031–2038.
- Ugya AY, Imam TS. The efficiency of *Eichhornia* crassipes in the phytoremediation of wastewater from Kaduna Refinery and Petrochemical Company. J Pharm Biol Sci. 2015;10(1):76–80. doi:10.9790/3008-10147680.
- Valipour A, Raman VK, Ahn Y-H. Effectiveness of domestic wastewater treatment using a bio-hedge water hyacinth wetland system. Water. 2015;7(1):329–347. doi:10.3390/w7010329.
- Lu J, Fu Z, Yin Z. Performance of a water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) system in the treatment of wastewater from a duck farm and the effects of using water hyacinth as duck feed. J Environ Sci. 2008;20(5):513–519. doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62088-4.
- Sahu RK, Naraian R, Chandra V. Accumulation of metals in naturally grown weeds (aquatic macrophytes) grown on an industrial effluent channel. CLEAN–Soil, Air, Water. 2007;35(3):261–265. doi:10.1002/clen.200700001
- Aurangzeb N, Nisa S, Bibi Y, Javed F, Hussain F. Phytoremediation potential of aquatic herbs from steel foundry effluent. Braz J Chem Eng. 2014;31(4):881–886. doi:10.1590/0104-6632.20140314s00002734
- Sadasivan HP, Tharayil M. Treatment of heavy metals from water by electro-phytoremediation technique. J Ecol Eng. 2017;18(5):18–26. doi:10.12911/22998993/76208.
- Lissy AM, Madhu G. Removal of heavy metals from wastewater using water hyacinth. ACEEE Int J Transp Urban Dev. 2011;1(1):48–52
- 45. Kumar V, Singh J, Kumar P. Regression models for removal of heavy metals by *Eichhornia crassipes* from wastewater of pulp and paper processing

industry. Environ Sustain. 2020;3(1):35–44. doi:10.1007/s42398-019-00093-x

- 46. Singh J, Kumar V, Kumar P, Kumar P. Kinetics and prediction modelling of heavy metal phytoremediation from glass industry effluent by Eichhornia crassipes. Int J Environ Sci Technol. 2022;19(6):5481–5492. doi:10.1007/s13762-021-03433-9
- Xia H, Ma X. Phytoremediation of ethion by Eichhornia crassipes from water. Bioresour Technol. 2006;97(8):1050–1054. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2005.04.039
- Liu Y, Gao Z, Ji X, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Sun H et al. Efficient adsorption of tebuconazole in aqueous solution by calcium modified water hyacinth-based biochar: adsorption kinetics, mechanism, and feasibility. Molecules 2023;28(8):3478. doi:10.3390/molecules28083478
- Nesterenko-Malkovskaya A, Kirzhner F, Zimmels Y, Armon R. Eichhornia crassipes capability to remove naphthalene from wastewater in the absence of bacteria. Chemosphere 2012;87(10):1186–1191. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.01.060
- Gong Y, Zhou X, Ma X, Chen J. Sustainable removal of formaldehyde using controllable water hyacinth. J Clean Prod. 2018; 181:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.220
- 51. Mlunguza NY, Ncube S, Mahlambi PN, Chimuka L, Madikizela LM. Determination of selected antiretroviral drugs in wastewater, surface water and aquatic plants using hollow fibre liquid phase

microextraction and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J Hazard Mater. 2020; 382:121067. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121067

 Deyris P-A, Pelissier F, Grison CM, Hesemann P, Petit E, Grison C. Efficient removal of persistent and emerging organic pollutants by biosorption using abundant biomass wastes. Chemosphere. 2023; 313:137307. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.127207

10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137307

- 53. Saning A, Herou S, Dechtrirat D, Ieosakulrat C, Pakawatpanurut P, Kaowphong S *et al.* Green and sustainable zero-waste conversion of water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) into superior magnetic carbon composite adsorbents and supercapacitor electrodes. RSC Adv. 2019;9(42):24248–24258. doi:10.1039/C9RA03873F
- 54. Fakhri H, Arabaci DN, Ovez S, Aydin S. Eichhornia crassipes root biomass to reduce antibiotic resistance dissemination and enhance biogas production of anaerobic membrane bioreactor. Environ Technol. 2022;43(26):4168–4179. doi:10.1080/09593330.2021.1946160
- 55. Rmalli SWA, Harrington CF, Ayub M, Haris PI. A biomaterial-based approach for arsenic removal from water. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 2005; 7: 2 7 9 8 2.
- 56. Misbahuddin M, Fariduddin A. Water Hyacinth Removes Arsenic from Arsenic- Contaminated Drinking Water. Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal 2002; 57(6): 516-518. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00039890209602082