

Fixed Point Theorems for Geraghty-Khan Contractions in B-metric Spaces

Liu Jingshuai, Ji Peisheng*

School of Mathematical Sciences, Qingdao University, Shangdong 266071, P. R. China

*Corresponding author

Ji Peisheng

Article History

Received: 16.10.2018

Accepted: 25.10.2018

Published: 30.10.2018

DOI:

10.21276/sjpms.2018.5.5.6



Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of Geraghty-Khan contraction in b -metric spaces. By using the method of the fixed point theory, we prove some fixed point results for this contraction in complete b -metric space.

Keywords: Fixed point, Geraghty-Khan contraction, b -metric space.

INTRODUCTION

The Banach contraction principle introduced by Banach [1] is one of the most important results in mathematical analysis. Indeed, it is widely used as the source of metric fixed point theory. In 1973, Geraghty [2] generalized Banach contraction principle as follow:

Theorem 1.1 [2] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y),$$

where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function satisfying $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow 1$ implies $t_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then T has a unique fixed point. Afterward, some authors have obtained fixed point theorems for some kinds of Geraghty type contractive mappings (see [3, 4, 10, 11, 12]).

Recently, H. Piri *et al.* [5] obtained Khan type fixed point theorems in a generalized metric space. Hossein Piri *et al.* [7] introduced the concept of F-Khan-contractions and proved a fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces. Besides, some authors have proved some Khan type fixed point theorems see [6, 8].

In 1993, Czerwik introduced in [9] the concept of a b -metric space. Since then, several papers dealt with fixed point theory for single-valued in b -metric spaces see [10-14].

In this paper, we will introduce the Geraghty-Khan contraction and we shall prove the existence of new fixed point theorem for this contraction in complete b -metric space. Through this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers.

Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, we recall some basic definitions and lemma.

Definition 2.1. [9] Let X be a (nonempty) set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a b -metric on X , if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions hold:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$ (b -triangular inequality).

Then (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 2.2. [12] Let X be a b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X . Then

- (a) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent if and only if there exists $z \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) = 0$;
- (b) the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$;
- (c) $\{x_n\}$ is complete, if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

The following lemma will be used for proving our main results.

Lemma 1. ([14]) Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with $s \geq 1$, and suppose that sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are convergent to x, y , respectively. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{s^2} d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2 d(x, y),$$

in particular, if $x = y$, then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$. Moreover, for each $z \in X$, we have

$$\frac{1}{s} d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq s d(x, z).$$

MAIN RESULTS

As in [11], for a real number $s > 1$, let F_s denote the class of all functions $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1/s)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\beta(t_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{s} \text{ implies } t_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 3.1. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space with $s > 1$, and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. A mapping T is said to be a Geraghty-Khan contraction, if there exist $\beta \in F_s$ such that for all distinct $x, y \in X$, the following conditions holds:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \begin{cases} \beta(M(x, y)) M(x, y), & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}}\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a Geraghty-Khan contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point x^* and for all $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{Tx_0\}$ is converges to x^* .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X with $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = T^n x_0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_n = x_{n-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x_{n-1} = Tx_{n-1}$, x_{n-1} is a fixed point of T . Therefore, we will assume that $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $0 < d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq M(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We shall divide the proof into two cases.

Case1. Assume that $\max\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n)\} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, from (3.1) we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) M(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max\left\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n) + d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})}{\max\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n)\}}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, x_n)}{\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n)\}}\right\} \\ &= d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \leq \beta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < \frac{1}{s}d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is decreasing. Then there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = r$. We will prove that $r = 0$. Suppose on contrary that $r > 0$. Then, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (3.4) we have

$$r \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))r < r,$$

which is a contradiction. We have $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$. (3.5)

Next, we will show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . We will prove by using a contradiction technique. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Therefore there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for we can find two sub-sequences $\{x_{m_i}\}$ and $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that n_i is the smallest index for which

$$n_i > m_i > i, d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \geq \varepsilon. \text{ This means that } d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) < \varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking the upper limit in (3.6) as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon$. (3.7)

Using b -triangular inequality, we have

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i+1}) + sd(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i}).$$

Taking the upper limit in the above inequality and using (3.5), we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i}). \quad (3.8)$$

In addition, we have $d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \leq sd(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) + sd(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i})$.

By taking the upper limit in the above inequality and using (3.5), we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) \leq s\varepsilon. \quad (3.9)$$

Using b -triangular inequality, we have

$$d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq sd(x_{m_i+1}, x_{m_i}) + sd(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}).$$

Taking the upper limit in the above inequality and using (3.5), we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq s\varepsilon. \text{ Hence } 0 < \varepsilon \leq \max\{d(x_{m_i}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(Tx_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1})\}.$$

Now putting $x = x_{m_i}$ and $y = x_{n_i-1}$ in (3.1), then we obtain

$$d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i}) = d(Tx_{m_i}, Tx_{n_i-1}) \leq \beta(M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}))M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}), \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) &= \max\left\{d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}), \frac{d(x_{m_i}, Tx_{m_i})d(x_{m_i}, Tx_{n_i-1}) + d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{n_i-1})d(x_{n_i-1}, Tx_{m_i})}{\max\{d(x_{m_i}, Tx_{n_i-1}), d(Tx_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1})\}}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}), \frac{d(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i+1})d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i})d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{m_i+1})}{\max\{d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i}), d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i-1})\}}\right\} \\ &\leq \max\{d(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}), d(x_{m_i}, x_{m_i+1}) + d(x_{n_i-1}, x_{n_i})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Taking the upper limit $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.11), by using (3.5) and (3.7) we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10) and using (3.8), (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon}{s} &\leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m_i+1}, x_{n_i}) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta(M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1})) M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) \\ &< \frac{1}{s} \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{m_i}, x_{n_i-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{s} < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x^*) = 0$. (3.13)

We show that x^* is a fixed point of T .

If for each $n \in N$, there exists $i_n \in N$ such that $x_{i_n} = Tx^*$ and $i_n > i_{n-1}$, then we have

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{i_{n+1}} = Tx^*.$$

This proves that x^* is a fixed point of T .

Suppose now, there exists $N \in N$ such that $x_n \neq Tx^*$. This implies $d(x_n, Tx^*) > 0$, $\forall n > N$. For all $n > N$, we have $\max\{d(x_n, Tx^*), d(Tx_n, x^*)\} > 0$.

From (3.1), we get

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) = d(Tx_n, Tx^*) \leq \beta(M(x_n, x^*)) M(x_n, x^*), \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, x^*) &= \max\left\{d(x_n, x^*), \frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(x^*, Tx^*)d(x^*, Tx_n)}{\max\{d(x_n, Tx^*), d(Tx_n, x^*)\}}\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{d(x_n, x^*), \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(x^*, Tx^*)d(x^*, x_{n+1})}{\max\{d(x_n, Tx^*), d(x_{n+1}, x^*)\}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So from (3.5), (3.13) and by lemma 1, taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to each side of (3.14), we get $d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq 0$ and hence $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. Now, we show that T has a unique fixed point. For this we assume that y^* is another fixed point of T in X such that $d(x^*, y^*) > 0$. Therefore

$$\max\{d(x^*, Ty^*), d(Tx^*, y^*)\} = d(x^*, y^*) > 0.$$

From (3.1), we have

$$d(x^*, y^*) = d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \leq \beta(M(x^*, y^*)) M(x^*, y^*), \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x^*, y^*) &= \max\left\{d(x^*, y^*), \frac{d(x^*, Tx^*)d(x^*, Ty^*) + d(y^*, Ty^*)d(y^*, Tx^*)}{\max\{d(x^*, Ty^*), d(Tx^*, y^*)\}}\right\} \\ &= d(x^*, y^*). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, y^*) &= d(Tx^*, Ty^*) \\ &\leq \beta(d(x^*, y^*)) d(x^*, y^*) \\ &< \frac{1}{s} d(x^*, y^*), \end{aligned}$$

since $s > 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$. This complete the proof.

Case 2 Assume that there exists $m \in N$ such that

$$\max\{d(x_{m-1}, Tx_m), d(Tx_{m-1}, x_m)\} = 0.$$

By condition (3.1), it follows that $d(Tx_{m-1}, Tx_m) = 0$ and hence $x_m = Tx_m$. This completes the existence of a fixed point of T . The uniqueness follows as in Case 1.

Corollary 3.2 Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \begin{cases} k \max\{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}}\}, & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, and for some $k \in [0, 1/s)$. The T has a unique fixed point x^* and for all $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{Tx_0\}$ is converges to x^* .

Proof. It is sufficient to take $\beta(t) = k$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ in Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3 Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \begin{cases} \delta d(x, y) + \gamma \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}}, & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\} = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, and for some $\delta, \gamma \in [0, 1/s)$ such that $\delta + \gamma < 1/s$. The T has a unique fixed point x^* and for all $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{Tx_0\}$ is converges to x^* .

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \delta d(x, y) + \gamma \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}} \\ \leq (\delta + \gamma) \max\{d(x, y), \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

So by taking $k = \delta + \gamma$ in Corollary 3.2, the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.4 ([13]) Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \begin{cases} \delta d(x, y) + \gamma \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(Tx, y)}, & \text{if } d(x, Ty) + d(Tx, y) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } d(x, Ty) + d(Tx, y) = 0, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, and for some $\delta, \gamma \in [0, 1/s)$ such that $\delta + \gamma < 1/s$. The T has a unique fixed point x^* and for all $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{Tx_0\}$ is converges to x^* .

Proof. Since

$$\frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{d(x, Ty) + d(Tx, y)} \leq \frac{d(x, Tx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Tx)}{\max\{d(x, Ty), d(Tx, y)\}}.$$

So from Theorem 3.3, the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. Banach S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund. math.* 1922 Jan 1;3(1):133-81.
2. Geraghty M A. On contractive mappings [J]. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.* 1973, 40(2): 604-608.
3. Đukić D, Kadelburg Z, Radenović S. Fixed points of Geraghty-type mappings in various generalized metric spaces[C]//*Abstract and Applied Analysis.* Hindawi. 2011, 2011.
4. Popescu O. Some new fixed point theorems for α -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces [J]. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications.* 2014, 2014(1): 190.
5. Piri H, Rahrovi S, Kumam P. Khan type fixed point theorems in a generalized metric space [J]. *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 2016, 16: 211-217.
6. Piri H, Rahrovi S, Kumam P. Generalization of Khan fixed point theorem [J]. *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 2017, 17: 76-83.
7. Piri H, Rahrovi S, Marasi H. Fixed point theorem for F-Khan-contractions on complete metric spaces and application to the integral equations [J]. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications.* JNSA, 2017, 10(9): 4564-4573.
8. Ansari A H, Saleem N, Fisher B. C-Class Function on Khan Type Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Space [J]. *Filomat.* 2017, 31(11): 3483-3494.
9. Czerwik S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces[J]. *Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis.* 1993, 1(1): 5-11.
10. Shahkoobi R J, Razani A. Some fixed point theorems for rational Geraghty contractive mappings in ordered b-metric spaces [J]. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications.* 2014, 2014(1): 373.
11. Huang H, Paunovic L, Radenovic S. On some new fixed point results for rational Geraghty contractive mappings in ordered b-metric spaces [J]. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 2015, 8: 800-807.
12. Boriceanu M. Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces [J]. *Int. J. Mod. Math.* 2009, 4(3): 285-301.
13. Sarwar M, Rahman M U. Fixed point theorems for Ćirić's and generalized contractions in b-metric spaces [J]. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications.* 2015, 7(1): 70-78.
14. Aghajani A, Abbas M, Roshan J. Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b-metric spaces [J]. *Mathematica Slovaca.* 2014, 64(4): 941-960.