

Outcomes of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Performed Within the First Week Following ERCP

Dr. Suttam Kumar Biswas^{1*}, Dr. Mustafizur Rahman², Dr. Subrata Sarkar³, Dr. Netay Kumar Sharma⁴

¹Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Community Based Medical College, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

²Consultant, Surgery, Mymensingh Medical College Hospital, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

³Junior Consultant, Surgery, Gouripur Upazila Health Complex, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

⁴Associate Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Community Based Medical College Mymensingh, Bangladesh

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36347/sasjs.2025.v11i10.010>

| Received: 07.08.2025 | Accepted: 01.10.2025 | Published: 10.10.2025

*Corresponding author: Dr. Suttam Kumar Biswas

Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Community Based Medical College, Mymensingh, Bangladesh.

Email: suttamkumarbiswas@gmail.com, <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4135-0513>

Abstract

Original Research Article

Background: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) is a standard intervention for choledocholithiasis. However, the optimal timing of LC post-ERCP remains debated, with concerns that early surgery may be complicated by inflammation and adhesions. **Aim of the study:** To compare the perioperative and postoperative outcomes of LC performed within the first week following ERCP versus LC performed after the first week. **Methods:** This prospective observational study included 44 adult patients who underwent ERCP for choledocholithiasis, cholangitis, or biliary sludge and were scheduled for subsequent LC. Patients were divided into two groups: LC within the first week post-ERCP (n = 22) and LC after the first week (n = 22). Baseline demographics, comorbidities, intraoperative parameters (operative time, adhesions, blood loss, conversion rate, bile duct injury), postoperative complications, hospital stay, readmission, and patient-centered outcomes were recorded. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v26, with p < 0.05 considered significant. **Result:** Baseline characteristics, including age, gender, BMI, ASA grade, and comorbidities, were comparable between groups. Operative time (67.18 ± 14.83 vs. 83.55 ± 17.92 min, p = 0.002) and intraoperative blood loss (median 50 vs. 75 mL, p = 0.01) were significantly lower in the early LC group. Rates of conversion to open surgery, adhesions, and bile duct injury were lower in the early group, though not statistically significant. Postoperatively, early LC was associated with shorter hospital stay (2.00 ± 0.70 vs. 3.18 ± 1.03 days, p < 0.001) and higher rates of favorable outcomes (90.91% vs. 68.18%, p = 0.04), early return to work (72.73% vs. 45.45%, p = 0.05), and patient satisfaction (9.09 ± 0.63 vs. 8.00 ± 1.00 , p = 0.001). Overall complication rates were lower in the early group (9.09% vs. 27.27%), though not statistically significant. **Conclusion:** Performing LC within the first week after ERCP is safe and confers significant advantages, including reduced operative time, blood loss, hospital stay, and improved patient-centered outcomes, without increasing the risk of major complications. Early LC should be considered as the preferred approach in patients undergoing ERCP for choledocholithiasis.

Keywords: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, ERCP, early surgery, choledocholithiasis, postoperative outcomes, adhesions, operative time.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) remains the first-line therapeutic approach for most bile duct lesions (BDLs), including choledocholithiasis and post-cholecystectomy bile duct injuries [1–3]. It provides both diagnostic and therapeutic benefits through procedures such as endoscopic sphincterotomy, biliary stenting, or a combination thereof, which facilitate bile drainage into the duodenum, reduce intraductal pressure, and promote spontaneous healing of bile duct defects [4,5]. Cholelithiasis is the

leading cause of biliary tract disorders and remains the predominant etiological factor for acute pancreatitis, contributing to approximately 35–60% of global cases [6]. According to the revised Atlanta classification, around 80% of pancreatitis cases are categorized as mild in severity and resolve with conservative management [7]. However, patients recovering from acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP) often experience recurrent biliary complications—such as cholangitis, biliary colic, or recurrent pancreatitis—if the underlying gallstones are not addressed [8,9]. Consequently, definitive management through cholecystectomy is critical to

prevent recurrent attacks and associated morbidity. Although there is broad agreement on delaying cholecystectomy in cases of severe acute biliary pancreatitis until resolution of inflammation and peripancreatic collections [10], the timing of cholecystectomy after ERCP in mild to moderate disease remains controversial. ERCP with endoscopic sphincterotomy (ES) has been established as the standard of care for patients with concomitant gallbladder and common bile duct stones [11]. International guidelines consistently recommend performing a subsequent laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) in all surgically fit patients after successful ductal clearance to prevent recurrent biliary events. Recent randomized clinical trials have shown that performing LC soon after ERCP significantly reduces recurrence rates of biliary complications. In contrast, postponing LC for 6–8 weeks, a practice still followed in many centers, resulted in recurrent biliary events in up to 36% of patients during the waiting period [12]. Nevertheless, debate continues regarding whether LC is necessary immediately following endoscopic ductal clearance. Some studies suggest that endoscopic management alone may be sufficient as a definitive treatment in select patients with high surgical risk or limited symptoms [13]. However, numerous retrospective and prospective studies have shown that deferring cholecystectomy substantially increases the likelihood of recurrent biliary symptoms, cholangitis, or pancreatitis—reported in more than 24% of patients when the gallbladder is left in situ [14]. Additionally, LC performed after ERCP and sphincterotomy has been shown to be technically more demanding, with conversion-to-open surgery rates ranging between 8% and 55%, compared to less than 5% in uncomplicated gallstone disease [11]. The increased operative difficulty is primarily attributed to inflammatory changes, adhesions, and fibrosis that develop around the hepatoduodenal ligament and Calot's triangle following ERCP. Given these inconsistencies, determining the optimal interval between ERCP and LC remains a subject of clinical importance. Evidence suggests that performing LC within the first week after ERCP may offer advantages in reducing operative complexity, minimizing hospital stay, and preventing recurrent biliary events. This study aims to evaluate the operative difficulty, conversion rate, perioperative complications, hospital stay, and incidence of recurrent biliary events among patients undergoing LC within one week following ERCP, compared with later intervals.

METHODOLOGY & MATERIALS

This prospective observational study was conducted at Department of Surgery, Community Based Medical College, Mymensingh, Bangladesh January 2021 to December 2022.

Study Population and Patient Selection

Patients aged 18 years or older who underwent ERCP for choledocholithiasis, cholangitis, or biliary

sludge and were planned for subsequent LC were screened for inclusion.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Age ≥ 18 years.
2. Underwent ERCP for choledocholithiasis, cholangitis, or biliary sludge.
3. Planned for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
4. Provided written informed consent.

Exclusion criteria:

1. Previous upper abdominal surgery precluding safe laparoscopic access.
2. Known coagulopathy or bleeding disorders.
3. Severe cardiopulmonary comorbidities contraindicating general anesthesia.
4. Pregnancy.
5. Incomplete clinical, operative, or follow-up data.
6. Concurrent hepatobiliary malignancy.

After applying these criteria, a total of 44 patients were included and categorized into two groups according to the timing of LC: LC within the first week post-ERCP ($n = 22$) and LC after the first week post-ERCP ($n = 22$).

Data Collection

Baseline demographic data including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension) were recorded. The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification was assigned for all patients. Indication for ERCP, laboratory findings, and perioperative risk factors were also documented.

Surgical Procedure

All LCs were performed under general anesthesia using a standardized four-port technique by experienced surgeons. Intraoperative data included operative time, conversion to open surgery, presence and severity of adhesions, intraoperative blood loss, and bile duct injuries. Adhesions were graded as mild, moderate, or severe based on intraoperative findings.

Postoperative Care and Outcome Assessment

Postoperative monitoring included assessment for complications such as wound infection, bile leak, and pancreatitis. Length of postoperative hospital stay and readmission within 30 days were recorded. Overall outcome was assessed using a composite measure, with a favorable outcome defined as absence of major complications, hospital stay ≤ 3 days, and high patient-reported satisfaction.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Continuous variables were expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR), and categorical variables

as frequencies and percentages. Between-group comparisons were performed using the independent t-test or Mann–Whitney U test for continuous variables, and the Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULT

A total of 44 patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) after ERCP were included, with 22 patients in the LC within 1st week group and 22 in the LC after 1st week group. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics, including age, gender, BMI, ASA grade, and comorbidities, were comparable between the two groups ($p > 0.05$ for all comparisons) (Table 1). The most common indication for ERCP was choledocholithiasis in both groups. (Table 2) showed that conversion to open surgery occurred in 4.55% of early LC cases versus 13.64% in delayed LC, though this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.3$). Moderate to severe adhesions were observed in 18.18% of early LC patients compared to 40.91% in the delayed

group ($p = 0.09$). Operative time was significantly shorter in the early LC group (67.18 ± 14.83 min) than in the delayed group (83.55 ± 17.92 min, $p = 0.002$). Similarly, median intraoperative blood loss was lower in early LC (50 mL, IQR 30–70) than in delayed LC (75 mL, IQR 50–110; $p = 0.01$). (Table 3) indicated that overall complications were fewer in the early LC group (9.09%) than in the delayed group (27.27%), though this difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.12$). Wound infection occurred in 4.55% of early LC versus 13.64% of delayed LC ($p = 0.3$). Postoperative hospital stay was significantly shorter in the early LC group (2.00 ± 0.70 days) compared to the delayed group (3.18 ± 1.03 days, $p < 0.001$). Readmission within 30 days was rare, with only one case in the delayed group. (Table 4) favored early LC, with 90.91% of patients achieving a favorable outcome compared to 68.18% in the delayed group ($p = 0.04$). Early return to work (≤ 7 days) was observed in 72.73% of early LC patients versus 45.45% of delayed LC patients ($p = 0.05$). Mean patient satisfaction scores were also higher in the early LC group (9.09 ± 0.63) compared to the delayed group (8.00 ± 1.00 , $p = 0.001$).

Table 1: Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of study population (N = 44).

Variable	LC within 1st week (n = 22)		LC after 1st week (n = 22)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Age (years)					
Mean ± SD	44.8 ± 11.9		46.1 ± 12.3		0.67
Gender					
Male	10	45.45	11	50.00	0.76
Female	12	54.55	11	50.00	
BMI (kg/m ²)					
Mean ± SD	26.9 ± 3.5		27.5 ± 4.0		0.54
ASA grade					
ASA I	10	45.45	9	40.91	0.82
ASA II	8	36.36	9	40.91	
ASA III	4	18.18	4	18.18	
Comorbidities					
Diabetes mellitus	5	22.73	6	27.27	0.73
Hypertension	6	27.27	7	31.82	0.75
Indication for ERCP					
Choledocholithiasis	18	81.82	17	77.27	0.71
Cholangitis	3	13.64	4	18.18	0.68
Biliary sludge	1	4.55	1	4.55	—

Table 2: Intraoperative Outcomes

Parameter	LC within 1st week (n = 22)		LC after 1st week (n = 22)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Conversion to open surgery	1	4.55	3	13.64	0.3
Adhesions (moderate/severe)	4	18.18	9	40.91	0.09
Bile duct injury	0	0.00	1	4.55	0.31
Operative time (min)					
Mean ± SD	67.18 ± 14.83		83.55 ± 17.92		0.002*
Intraoperative blood loss (mL)					
median (IOR)	50.00 (30.00–70.00)		75.00 (50.00–110.00)		0.01*

Table 3: Postoperative outcomes

Outcome	LC within 1st week (n = 22)		LC after 1st week (n = 22)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Postoperative complications	2	9.09	6	27.27	0.12
Wound infection	1	4.55	3	13.64	0.3
Bile leak	0	0.00	1	4.55	0.31
Postoperative pancreatitis	1	4.55	2	9.09	0.55
Postoperative hospital stay (days)					
Mean $\pm$ SD	2.00 $\pm$ 0.70		3.18 $\pm$ 1.03		<0.001*
Readmission within 30 days	0	0.00	1	4.55	0.31

Table 4: Comparison of overall outcome scores among the study population

Outcome	LC within 1st week (n = 22)		LC after 1st week (n = 22)		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Favorable outcome	20	90.91	15	68.18	0.04*
Early return to work (≤ 7 days)	16	72.73	10	45.45	0.05*
Mean patient satisfaction (0–10)					
Mean $\pm$ SD	9.09 $\pm$ 0.63		8.00 $\pm$ 1.00		0.001*

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) remains a subject of ongoing debate regarding the optimal timing to achieve the best clinical outcomes [14]. Our finding of significantly lower operative time (Mean $\pm$ SD: 67.2 $\pm$ 14.8 min vs 83.6 $\pm$ 17.9 min, the mean age of patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) within the first week following ERCP was 44.8 $\pm$ 11.9 years, with a slightly higher proportion of females (54.55%) and a mean BMI of 26.9 kg/m². Comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension were present in 22.73% and 27.27% of patients, respectively, and the majority were classified as ASA I or II. In comparison, the study by Jaiswal *et al.* reported a slightly higher mean age for early LC patients (49.94 $\pm$ 14.79 years) and a comparable gender distribution (female 63.15%), but a lower BMI (23.03 kg/m²) [15]. The mean operative time was significantly shorter in the early group (67.18 $\pm$ 14.83 min) than in the delayed group (83.55 $\pm$ 17.92 min, p = 0.002), indicating easier dissection and fewer adhesions. Similarly, Özkardes *et al.* (2014) reported a shorter but statistically insignificant operative time for early LC (67.00 $\pm$ 28.52 min vs. 71.33 $\pm$ 24.07 min, p = 0.202). The conversion rate to open surgery in our study was lower in early LC (4.55%) than in delayed LC (13.64%), whereas Özkardes *et al.* found a higher conversion in early cases (13.3%) compared to none in delayed LC (p = 0.112). Moderate to severe adhesions were more frequent in delayed LC (40.91%) than early LC (18.18%), reflecting increased technical difficulty in delayed surgery. Intraoperative blood loss was also significantly greater in delayed LC (75 mL vs. 50 mL, p = 0.01). Although Özkardes *et al.* did not assess blood loss, their study showed shorter hospital stay (5.20 $\pm$ 1.40 vs. 7.80 $\pm$ 1.65 days, p = 0.04) and lower cost (p = 0.03) in early LC [16]. The early LC group had a mean satisfaction score of 9.09 $\pm$ 0.63 and 72.73% returned to

work within 7 days, compared to a mean satisfaction score of 8.00 $\pm$ 1.00 and 45.45% in the delayed LC group. Cao *et al.* reported that early surgery has a decreased risk of wound infections (RR 0.57, 95 % CI 0.35–0.93, p = 0.01) compared with delayed surgery but no difference in mortality, bile duct injuries, bile duct leaks and the risk of conversion to open surgery. Of patients in the delayed group, 9.7 % failed initial non-operative management and underwent emergency LC [17]. Our study did not observe any bile duct injuries in the early LC group, whereas one case occurred in the delayed LC group (4.55%, p = 0.31). Immediate cholecystectomy performed within 24 h of admission did not prove to reduce post-operative complications with relative risk (RR) of 1.89 and its 95% confidence interval (CI) [0.76; 4.71]. When the timing was based on the onset of symptoms, cholecystectomy performed within 72 h of symptoms was found to significantly reduce post-operative complications compared to delayed cholecystectomy with RR = 0.60 [95% CI 0.39;0.92] [18].

Limitations of the study:

This study is limited by its single-center, prospective observational design and relatively small sample size, which may reduce generalizability. Selection bias cannot be entirely excluded, and the timing of LC was not randomized, potentially influencing outcomes. Long-term follow-up was not assessed, limiting evaluation of late complications or recurrence. Additionally, operative difficulty and adhesions were subjectively graded by surgeons, introducing potential observer bias. Multicenter randomized trials are warranted to validate these findings.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy performed within the first week following ERCP is safe and

feasible, offering superior perioperative and patient-centered outcomes compared with delayed surgery. Early intervention is associated with reduced operative time, lower intraoperative blood loss, fewer adhesions, shorter hospital stay, and higher rates of favorable outcomes and patient satisfaction, without increasing the risk of major complications. These findings support prioritizing early LC in patients undergoing ERCP for choledocholithiasis, as timely surgery optimizes surgical efficiency, minimizes postoperative morbidity, and enhances recovery and functional outcomes, reinforcing the growing evidence for early post-ERCP cholecystectomy as standard practice.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

REFERENCES

- Kim KH, Kim TN. Endoscopic management of bile leakage after cholecystectomy: a single center experience for 12 years. *Clin Endosc*. 2014 May;47(3):248-53. doi:10.5946/ce.2014.47.3.248. Epub 2014 May 31. PubMed PMID: 24944989; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4058543.
- Pencev D, Brady PG, Pinkas H, Boulay J. The role of ERCP in patients after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1994 Sep;89(9):1523-7. PubMed PMID: 8079931.
- Agarwal N, Sharma BC, Garg S, Kumar R, Sarin SK. Endoscopic management of postoperative bile leaks. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*. 2006 May;5(2):273-7. PubMed PMID: 16698590.
- Dolay K, Soyulu A, Aygun E. The role of ERCP in the management of bile leakage: endoscopic sphincterotomy versus biliary stenting. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2010 Jun;20(5):455-9. doi: 10.1089/lap.2009.0308. PubMed PMID: 20518695.
- Aksoz K, Unsal B, Yoruk G, Buyrac Z, Haciyanli M, Akpinar Z, Alper E. Endoscopic sphincterotomy alone in the management of low-grade biliary leaks due to cholecystectomy. *Digestive Endoscopy*. 2009 Jul;21(3):158-61.
- Alexakis N, Lombard M, Raraty M, Ghaneh P, Smart HL, Gilmore I, Evans J, Hughes M, Garvey C, Sutton R, Neoptolemos JP. When is pancreatitis considered to be of biliary origin and what are the implications for management?. *Pancreatol*. 2007 Jul 1;7(2-3):131-41.
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, Tsiotos GG, Vege SS. Classification of acute pancreatitis—2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. *Gut*. 2013 Jan 1;62(1):102-11.
- Bechien Wu BU, Banks PA. Clinical management of patients with acute pancreatitis. *Gastroenterology*. 2013 May 1;144(6):1272-81.
- Koizumi M, Takada T, Kawarada Y, Hirata K, Mayumi T, Yoshida M, Sekimoto M, Hirota M, Kimura Y, Takeda K, Isaji S. JPN Guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis: diagnostic criteria for acute pancreatitis. *Journal of hepatobiliary-pancreatic surgery*. 2006 Feb;13(1):25-32.
- Dedemadi G, Nikolopoulos M, Kalaitzopoulos I, Sgourakis G. Management of patients after recovering from acute severe biliary pancreatitis. *World journal of gastroenterology*. 2016 Sep 14;22(34):7708.
- Yamashita Y, Takada T, Kawarada Y, Nimura Y, Hirota M, Miura F, Mayumi T, Yoshida M, Strasberg S, Pitt HA, de Santibanes E. Surgical treatment of patients with acute cholecystitis: Tokyo Guidelines. *Journal of hepatobiliary-pancreatic surgery*. 2007 Jan;14(1):91-7.
- Reinders JS, Goud A, Timmer R, Kruij PM, Witteman BJ, Smakman N, Breumelhof R, Donkervoort SC, Jansen JM, Heisterkamp J, Grubben M. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy improves outcomes after endoscopic sphincterotomy for choledochocystolithiasis. *Gastroenterology*. 2010 Jun 1;138(7):2315-20.
- Abdelmeguid SA, Abdelhameed HA, Ali AEM, et al. Outcome of laparoscopic cholecystectomy following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography does time interval matter? *Egypt J Surg*. 2013;32:261–262.
- Poprom N, Suragul W, Muangkaew P, Vassanasiri W, Rungsakulkij N, Mingphruedhi S, Tangtawee P. Timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in cholelithiasis patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of hepatobiliary-pancreatic surgery*. 2023 Feb 28;27(1):20-7.
- Jaiswal SP, Upadhyay PS, Paudel S, Thapaliya I, Awale L, Adhikary S, Pandit N, Luitel P. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute mild biliary pancreatitis: a prospective comparative study. *International Journal of Surgery Open*. 2024 Dec 1;62(6):708-12.
- Özkardes AB, Tokaç M, Dumlu EG, Bozkurt B, Çiftçi AB, Yetişir F, Kılıç M. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a prospective, randomized study. *International surgery*. 2014 Jan 1;99(1):56-61.
- Cao AM, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Early cholecystectomy is superior to delayed cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 2015 May 1;19(5):848-57.
- Borzellino G, Khuri S, Pisano M, Mansour S, Allievi N, Ansaloni L, Kluger Y. Timing of early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute calculous cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *World Journal of Emergency Surgery*. 2021 Mar 25;16(1):16.