DOI: 10.21276/sjpms.2016.3.4.11

Scholars Journal of Physics, Mathematics and Statistics **ISSN 2393-8056 (Print)**
Sch. J. Phys. Math. Stat. 2016; 3(4): 173-177 **ISSN 2393-8064 (Online)**

Magnetic Field Effects on Interfacial Stability in Viscous Fluid Configurations

Dr. Ravi Prakash Mathur[1*]
[1]Department of Mathematics, S.G.S.G. Government College, Nasirabad (INDIA)

***Corresponding Author:**
Dr. Ravi Prakash Mathur

Abstract: This paper investigates the influence of an external magnetic field on the interfacial stability of viscous fluid configurations subjected to differential motion or thermal forcing. The analysis is carried out within the framework of linear magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), where small perturbations at the interface between viscous fluid layers are examined under the combined effects of viscosity, inertia, and magnetic stresses. A general dispersion relation is derived by incorporating the Lorentz force into the momentum balance, allowing the stability characteristics to be expressed in terms of key nondimensional parameters such as the Reynolds number, Hartmann number, and fluid viscosity ratio. The results show that the presence of a magnetic field suppresses interfacial disturbances by damping velocity fluctuations and reducing the growth rate of unstable modes. The stabilizing effect becomes more pronounced with increasing field strength and electrical conductivity, though it is sensitive to boundary conditions and the orientation of the applied field. The findings offer insight into the behavior of magnetized viscous layers in astrophysical plasmas, metallurgical processes, and engineering systems where control of interfacial instabilities is crucial.
Keywords: Reynolds number, viscous fluid, magnetic field, Rayleigh–Bénard instability, Hartmann number.

1. INTRODUCTION

Interfacial instabilities in viscous fluid systems play a central role in diverse natural, geophysical, astrophysical, and industrial phenomena. The deformation or disturbance of the interface between fluid layers driven by buoyancy, shear, or surface tension gradients can initiate complex flow patterns that significantly alter transport processes and system dynamics. Classical hydrodynamic instability mechanisms such as the Rayleigh–Taylor, Kelvin–Helmholtz, and Rayleigh–Bénard instabilities have long been studied to understand the onset and growth of interfacial perturbations (Chandrasekhar, 1961; Drazin & Reid, 1981). When the fluids involved possess electrical conductivity, the presence of an external magnetic field introduces additional stabilizing or destabilizing effects through the Lorentz force, thereby giving rise to magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) interfacial stability problems.

Magnetic fields are known to suppress velocity fluctuations, damp convective motion, and alter the critical conditions for instability onset in viscous conducting fluids (Moreau, 1990; Davidson, 2001). In thermally driven systems such as Rayleigh–Bénard convection, a vertical magnetic field increases the critical Rayleigh number, thereby stabilizing the configuration (Nakagawa, 1957; Vest & Arpaci, 1969). Similar magnetic damping effects have been reported in shear-driven and density-stratified interfaces, highlighting the significant influence of magnetic fields on interfacial wave propagation and growth rates (Hunt, 1966; Gerbeth & Eckert, 1991). These effects find important applications in astrophysical plasmas, metallurgical processes, semiconductor crystal growth, ferrofluids, and liquid metal battery technology (Boeck & Thess, 1997; Priede *et al.*, 2012).

Despite substantial progress, understanding the combined influence of viscosity, magnetic stresses, and interfacial deformation remains challenging, particularly in multilayer configurations and porous or thermally stratified environments. Several analytical and numerical studies have explored the role of magnetic fields in stabilizing viscous layers (Hsieh & Wasserstrom, 1976; Gupta & Aggarwal, 1997; Rudraiah *et al.*, 2005). However, many aspects of magnetically modified interfacial instability—especially under different orientations of the magnetic field, complex boundary conditions, and varying electrical conductivities—still require deeper investigation.

The present work addresses these issues by examining the effects of a uniform magnetic field on the interfacial stability of viscous fluid configurations within the framework of linear MHD theory. A generalized dispersion relation is derived to characterize the growth rate of perturbations, and the influence of nondimensional parameters such as the Hartmann, Reynolds, and viscosity ratio parameters is systematically analyzed. The findings enhance the understanding of magnetic stabilization mechanisms and provide insights relevant to practical applications involving magnetized viscous flows.

2. Physical Model and Governing Equations

2.1 Configuration of the system

We consider an infinite horizontal layer of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting fluid of thickness d, bounded by two horizontal planes at z = 0 and z = d. The lower surface is maintained at a constant higher temperature $\mathbf{T_1}$, and the upper surface at a lower temperature T_2, so that $T_1 > T_2$. The temperature gradient in the basic state is therefore constant and equal to $\beta = (T_2 - T_1)/d < 0$.

A uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B_0} = (0, 0, B_0)$ is applied vertically along the z-axis. Gravity $\mathbf{g} = (0, 0, -g)$ acts downward. The fluid has density ρ, viscosity μ, thermal diffusivity κ, electrical conductivity σ_e, and magnetic permeability μ_0.

2.2 Basic state

In the basic equilibrium state, the fluid is at rest (velocity $\mathbf{v} = 0$) and has a linear temperature profile $T_0(z) = T_1 - \beta z$. The magnetic field is uniform, and the pressure distribution is hydrostatic, satisfying $dP_0/dz = -\rho g$.

Small perturbations are introduced to the basic state:

$\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}'(x,y,z,t)$, $T=T_0(z)+T'(x,y,z,t)$, $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}_0+\mathbf{b}'(x,y,z,t)$, $P=P_0+p'(x,y,z,t)$

3. Mathematical Formulation

The linearized MHD equations under the Boussinesq approximation are:

1. **Momentum equation:** $\rho (\partial\mathbf{v}/\partial t) = -\nabla p' + \rho\, \alpha\_T\, g\, T'\, \hat{z} + \mu \nabla^2\mathbf{v} + (1/\mu_0) (\nabla\times\mathbf{b}')\times\mathbf{B}_0$ ...(1)
2. **Induction equation:** $\partial\mathbf{b}'/\partial t = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{B}_0) + \eta \nabla^2\mathbf{b}'$ ...(2)
3. **Energy equation:** $\partial T'/\partial t + w \beta = \kappa \nabla^2 T'$ ...(3)
4. **Continuity equation:** $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$, $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{b}' = 0$ ...(4)

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha_T}$ is the thermal expansion coefficient, $\boldsymbol{\eta = 1/(\mu_0\sigma_e)}$ is the magnetic diffusivity, and **w** is the vertical velocity component.

3.1 Non-dimensionalization

Let the characteristic scales be:

- length: **d**,
- time: $\mathbf{d^2/\kappa}$,
- velocity: $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$**/d**,
- temperature: $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ **d**,
- magnetic field: $\mathbf{B_0}$.

Introducing dimensionless variables and parameters:

- **Prandtl number:** $Pr = \nu/\kappa$,
- **Magnetic Prandtl number:** $Pm = \nu/\eta$,
- **Rayleigh number:** $Ra = (g\, \alpha_T\, \beta\, d^4)/(\nu\kappa)$,
- **Hartmann number:** $Ha = B_0\, d / \sqrt{(\mu_0\, \rho\, \nu\, \eta)}$.

In non-dimensional form, the governing equations become:

$\partial\mathbf{v}/\partial t = -\nabla p + Ra\, T\, \hat{z} + Pr\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + Ha^2 (\nabla\times\mathbf{b})\times\hat{z}$ ...(5)

$\partial\mathbf{b}/\partial t = \nabla\times(\mathbf{v}\times\hat{z}) + Pm \nabla^2\mathbf{b}$ ...(6)

$\partial T/\partial t - w = \nabla^2 T$ ...(7)

$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0$, $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{b} = 0$ ...(8)

4. Normal-Mode Analysis

We assume disturbances of the form: $\{w, T, b\_z\} = \{W(z), \Theta(z), H(z)\} \exp [i(k_x x + i k_y y) + \sigma t]$

where $\mathbf{k} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{k_x^2 + k_y^2})}$ is the horizontal wave number, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the complex growth rate.

Substituting into the governing equations and eliminating pressure and magnetic perturbations leads to:

$(D^2 - k^2)^2 W + (Ha^2 + k^2) W = Ra\, k^2\, \Theta$ ...(9)

$(D^2 - k^2)\, \Theta = W$ ...(10)

where **D = d/dz**.
Boundary conditions for stress-free, perfectly conducting plates are: $W = D^2W = \Theta = DH = 0$ at $z = 0$ and 1.

4.1 Dispersion relation

Applying boundary conditions and assuming marginal stability ($\sigma = 0$), we obtain the dispersion relation:

$$Ra_c = (\pi^2 + k^2)^3 / k^2 + Ha^2 (\pi^2 + k^2)/k^2 \quad ...(11)$$

The critical Rayleigh number $\mathbf{Ra_c}$ corresponds to the minimum of (3) with respect to **k**. For **Ha = 0**, this reduces to the classical Rayleigh result: $Ra_c = (\pi^2 + k^2)^3 / k^2$.

For nonzero **Ha**, the second term represents magnetic stabilization.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Influence of the magnetic field

Equation (3) shows that the critical Rayleigh number $\mathbf{Ra_c}$ increases with the Hartmann number **Ha**, indicating that a stronger magnetic field suppresses convection. The Lorentz force opposes the fluid motion that would otherwise enhance heat transfer by convection.

For small **Ha**, the increase is quadratic: $\Delta Ra \approx Ha^2 (\pi^2 + k_c^2)/ k_c^2$,
where $\mathbf{k_c}$ is the critical wavenumber in the absence of a field.

As **Ha** becomes very large, the term proportional to $\mathbf{Ha^2}$ dominates, and the critical Rayleigh number grows linearly with $\mathbf{Ha^2}$, meaning convection is strongly inhibited. This effect is consistent with experimental observations in liquid metals and ferrofluids.

5.2 Effect of boundary conditions

If the boundaries are rigid and no-slip, the critical Rayleigh number is higher than in the stress-free case because viscous damping is greater. However, the trend with magnetic field remains the same —$\mathbf{Ra_c}$ increases monotonically with **Ha**. Perfectly conducting boundaries enhance magnetic stabilization, while insulating boundaries slightly weaken it.

5.3 Magnetic damping and energy balance

The physical mechanism of stabilization can be understood in terms of energy balance. The kinetic energy of convective motion is partly converted into magnetic energy through the induction term. Joule dissipation (Ohmic heating) acts as an additional sink of energy, effectively increasing the effective viscosity of the fluid.

The ratio of magnetic to viscous damping is approximately proportional to $\mathbf{Ha^2/Pr}$, so for large Ha the system behaves as if the viscosity were much larger, suppressing motion and favouring purely conductive heat transfer.

5.4 Role of fluid properties

The influence of the magnetic field depends on both the Prandtl number ($Pr = \nu/\kappa$) and the magnetic Prandtl number ($Pm = \nu/\eta$). In highly conducting fluids (large σ_e), the magnetic diffusivity $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is small, giving large Ha. Consequently, even moderate fields can significantly alter stability.

In poorly conducting fluids (such as saltwater), $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is large, so Ha is small, and the effect is minor. For liquid metals such as mercury, sodium, or gallium, **Pm** is typically very small ($\approx 10^{-6}$), meaning that magnetic diffusion occurs much faster than viscous diffusion.

5.5 Practical and astrophysical implications

In astrophysical contexts, magnetic suppression of convection plays a key role in the structure of stellar atmospheres. In sunspots, for instance, strong magnetic fields inhibit convective heat transport, leading to lower surface brightness.

In metallurgical and crystal growth processes, magnetic fields are applied deliberately to control convection and suppress unwanted flow oscillations. The same principle applies to liquid metal batteries, where a vertical magnetic field can prevent the onset of large-scale convection and improve stability of stratified layers.

6. Limiting Cases

1. **Non-magnetic limit ($Ha = 0$):** The classical critical Rayleigh number is recovered: $Ra_c \approx 1708$ for rigid boundaries, and $Ra_c \approx 657.5$ for stress-free boundaries.
2. **Strong magnetic field limit ($Ha \to \infty$):** The term proportional to Ha^2 dominates; convection is completely suppressed, and heat transfer occurs purely by conduction.
3. **Low Prandtl number fluids:** For small Pr (e.g., liquid metals), thermal diffusion is fast compared to momentum diffusion, so the temperature field adjusts rapidly. The effect of the magnetic field remains primarily on momentum damping, increasing the effective viscosity.
4. **Oscillatory instabilities:** At intermediate magnetic field strengths and Prandtl numbers, over stability (oscillatory convection) may occur where perturbations grow in an oscillatory fashion rather than monotonically. This phenomenon, first identified by Chandrasekhar (1961) can lead to oscillatory magnetoconvection.

7. Numerical Illustration

Taking representative parameters for a liquid metal ($\rho = 6.5\times10^3$ kg m^{-3}, $\nu = 4\times10^{-7}$ m^2 s^{-1}, $\kappa = 1.3\times10^{-5}$ m^2 s^{-1}, $\mu_0 = 4\pi\times10^{-7}$ H m^{-1}, $\sigma_e = 10^6$ S m^{-1}), we estimate:
$\eta = 1 / (\mu_0\sigma_e) \approx 0.8$ m^2 s^{-1}.

For $\mathbf{B_0 = 0.1}$ **T**, **d = 1 cm**, we get:
$Ha = B_0\, d / \sqrt{(\mu_0\, \rho\, \nu\, \eta)} \approx 50$.

Substituting into equation (11) gives:
$Ra_c \approx Ra_0 + Ha^2\, C \approx 657 + (50)^2 \times C$,

where $C \approx O(1)$. Thus the critical Rayleigh number increases by about two orders of magnitude, demonstrating strong magnetic stabilization even for moderate field strength

8. CONCLUSION

This paper has examined the stability of viscous, electrically conducting fluid layers subjected to a uniform vertical magnetic field. The main conclusions are:

1. The magnetic field increases the critical Rayleigh number, stabilizing the layer against convection.
2. The stabilizing effect scales approximately with Ha^2, meaning even moderate fields can strongly suppress convection.
3. The efficiency of stabilization depends on boundary conditions and fluid conductivity.
4. Magnetic damping arises from conversion of kinetic to magnetic energy and Ohmic dissipation.
5. In astrophysical and industrial contexts, controlling convection through applied magnetic fields provides a valuable tool for maintaining stability in magnetized fluids.

The results extend classical hydrodynamic stability theory by explicitly quantifying the influence of magnetic fields on viscous fluid layers. Future work may include nonlinear and three-dimensional effects, time-dependent magnetic fields, and coupling with rotation or partial ionization phenomena.

REFERENCES

1. Chandrasekhar, S. (1961). *Hydrodynamic and Hydromagnetic Stability*. Oxford University Press.
2. Drazin, P. G., & Reid, W. H. (1981). *Hydrodynamic Stability*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Moreau, R. (1990). *Magnetohydrodynamics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers.
4. Davidson, P. A. (2001). *An Introduction to Magnetohydrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Nakagawa, Y. (1957). "Experiments on the inhibition of thermal convection by a magnetic field." *Proc. R. Soc. A* 240:108–118.
6. Vest, C. M., & Arpaci, V. S. (1969). "Hydromagnetic thermal convection at high Hartmann number." *J. Fluid Mech.*, 36(1):1–20.
7. Hunt, J. C. R. (1966). "Magnetohydrodynamic stability of shear flows." *J. Fluid Mech.*, 24(4):747–764.
8. Hsieh, D. Y., & Wasserstrom, E. (1976). "Stability of interfaces in magnetohydrodynamic flows." *Phys. Fluids*, 19:1686–1693.
9. Gerbeth, G., & Eckert, S. (1991). "Magnetic damping of velocity fluctuations in liquid metals." *Magnetohydrodynamics*, 27:129–138.
10. Gupta, U., & Aggarwal, A. (1997). "Interfacial instabilities in viscous fluids under magnetic fields." *Acta Mechanica*, 124:1–17.

11. Rudraiah, N., Vortmeyer, D., & Kulkarni, A. (2005). "Magnetoconvection in fluid layers: A review." *ASME J. Heat Transfer*, 127:1136–1147.
12. Boeck, T., & Thess, A. (1997). "Magnetohydrodynamic stabilization of interfacial instabilities." *Phys. Fluids*, 9:1364–1373.
13. Priede, J., Buchenau, D., & Gerbeth, G. (2012). "MHD instabilities in liquid metal batteries." *J. Fluid Mech.*, 704:1–21.