Scholars Journal of Economics, Business and Management
OPEN ACCESS
Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J Econ Bus Manag
ISSN 2348-8875 (Print) | ISSN 2348-5302 (Online)
Journal homepage: https://saspublishers.com

From Mandate to Market Discipline: Digitalisation, Governance Reforms and the Transformation of India's Regional Rural Banks

Aisharya Ghosh[1*], Dr. Subhamoy Das[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, University of Kalyani

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36347/sjebm.2026.v13i01.001 | **Received:** 27.10.2025 | **Accepted:** 30.12.2025 | **Published:** 02.01.2026

***Corresponding author:** Aisharya Ghosh
Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, University of Kalyani

Abstract **Original Research Article**

Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) were established to advance financial inclusion in India by extending formal banking services to underserved rural and semi-urban populations. Despite their developmental mandate, RRBs have historically faced persistent challenges related to weak profitability, escalating non-performing assets (NPAs), governance constraints and limited operational scale, raising concerns about their long-term sustainability. In response, Indian policymakers implemented a comprehensive reform agenda encompassing structural amalgamation, capital strengthening, governance reforms and large-scale digital transformation. This study empirically examines whether these reforms have shifted RRBs from mandate-driven institutions towards greater market discipline. Using balanced panel data for 43 RRBs covering FY2020–FY2025 and employing fixed-effects econometric models, the paper evaluates the impact of digitalisation and governance reforms on profitability and asset quality. The results indicate that digital transformation and governance strengthening are significantly associated with higher returns on assets and lower gross NPA ratios, while capital adequacy plays a stabilising role. Overall, the findings suggest that reform-led modernisation has enhanced both the financial resilience and institutional discipline of RRBs, offering important lessons for rural banking reforms in developing economies.

Keywords: Regional Rural Banks; Rural finance; Digital transformation; Governance reforms; Financial performance; India.

1. INTRODUCTION

Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) occupy a distinctive and strategically important position within India's financial system. Conceived as hybrid institutions that combine the developmental orientation of cooperative banking with the operational discipline of commercial banks, RRBs were mandated to expand access to formal credit and basic banking services in rural and semi-urban areas. Since their establishment, they have served as key conduits for agricultural credit, lending for self-employment and the implementation of government-led financial inclusion initiatives.

Despite their central role in promoting inclusive growth, RRBs have long been characterised by structural vulnerabilities. Their business models have remained heavily concentrated in agriculture and allied activities, exposing them to income volatility, climatic risks and region-specific shocks. Limited portfolio diversification, relatively small balance sheets and persistent governance inefficiencies have constrained profitability and weakened asset quality.

These challenges became particularly evident during periods of macroeconomic stress, when rising NPAs and declining earnings threatened institutional viability.

The post-FY2020 period, including the phase of COVID-19 shock, is particularly relevant as it coincides with the completion of major amalgamation cycles, substantial recapitalisation and the accelerated adoption of digital banking platforms,

Recognising these concerns, Indian policymakers initiated a comprehensive reform programme aimed at repositioning RRBs as financially resilient, professionally managed and technologically enabled institutions. Central to this agenda were four interrelated pillars: structural amalgamation to improve scale efficiency, capital infusion to strengthen solvency, governance reforms to enhance oversight and accountability and digital transformation to modernise operations and improve credit risk management.

While official policy documents and regulatory reports provide descriptive accounts of these reforms, systematic empirical evaluation of their financial impact remains limited. In particular, there is insufficient evidence on whether recent reforms have translated into improved profitability and asset quality at the bank level. This paper addresses this gap by examining whether reform initiatives have shifted RRBs towards greater market discipline, while preserving their developmental mandate.

This paper makes three contributions. First, it provides one of the first post-FY2020 econometric assessments of RRB performance using bank-level panel data. Second, it jointly examines digitalisation, governance reforms and capital adequacy within a unified empirical framework. Third, it offers policy-relevant evidence on how development-oriented banks can reconcile financial inclusion with market discipline.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Rural Banking, Financial Inclusion and Sustainability

The development finance literature consistently highlights the importance of rural banking institutions in addressing market failures that restrict access to formal finance for small and marginal borrowers. Information asymmetry, lack of collateral and high transaction costs often deters commercial banks from lending in rural areas. Rural banks, by contrast, leverage proximity, local knowledge and relationship-based lending to expand outreach and reduce dependence on informal moneylenders.

However, scholars have long noted the inherent tension between financial inclusion objectives and institutional sustainability. Development-oriented banks frequently operate under soft budget constraints, particularly when supported by government ownership or implicit guarantees. Such conditions can weaken credit discipline, encourage forbearance and lead to persistently high levels of non-performing assets. Empirical studies suggest that without strong governance mechanisms and risk management frameworks, rural financial institutions may struggle to reconcile outreach with profitability.

2.2 Institutional Reforms and Bank Performance

A substantial body of banking literature examines the impact of institutional reforms such as consolidation, recapitalisation and governance restructuring on financial performance. Bank consolidation is generally associated with improved scale efficiency, cost rationalisation and diversification of risk, provided integration challenges are effectively managed. Recapitalisation strengthens solvency and enhances lending capacity, but may also create moral hazard if not accompanied by governance reforms.

In the context of state-supported banks, governance reforms are widely recognised as a critical determinant of reform outcomes. Stronger boards, enhanced supervisory oversight and clearer accountability frameworks are associated with lower risk-taking and improved asset quality. These findings suggest that structural and financial reforms alone are insufficient unless embedded within robust institutional governance arrangements.

2.3 Digitalisation and Banking Outcomes

Digital transformation has emerged as a key driver of efficiency and resilience in modern banking systems. The adoption of core banking solutions, centralised credit appraisal platforms, digital loan origination and real-time portfolio monitoring reduces transaction costs, improves transparency and strengthens risk management. Empirical evidence indicates that digitalisation enhances loan screening, facilitates early identification of stress and improves recovery outcomes.

In rural banking contexts, digitalisation assumes particular significance. Geographic dispersion, small ticket sizes and high servicing costs have historically constrained rural banking operations. Digital platforms help overcome these constraints by standardising processes and improving scalability. Nevertheless, the literature cautions that digital adoption alone is insufficient; its effectiveness depends critically on complementary investments in governance capacity, human capital and capital adequacy.

2.4 Research Gap

Despite extensive research on banking reforms and digital finance, three gaps remain. First, empirical analyses focusing specifically on Regional Rural Banks are limited. Second, few studies jointly examine digitalisation, governance reforms and capital adequacy within a unified empirical framework. Third, recent post-FY2020 reform cycles remain underexplored in academic literature. This study addresses these gaps by providing a focused, post-reform panel-data assessment of RRB performance in India.

3. Institutional Background of Regional Rural Banks

Regional Rural Banks (RRBs) were established with the explicit objective of expanding institutional credit and basic banking services in rural and semi-urban areas while maintaining operational discipline through sponsor bank support. Their ownership structure, shared among the Government of India, state governments and sponsor commercial banks, was designed to align developmental priorities with commercial prudence. In practice, however, this hybrid structure also introduced governance complexities, including fragmented oversight and limited managerial autonomy.

Over time, RRBs faced growing structural constraints. Many institutions operated with small balance sheets, limited geographic diversification and uneven financial performance across regions. These challenges were compounded by rising credit risk in agriculture and allied sectors, operational inefficiencies and weak internal control systems. By the late 2010s, concerns regarding the long-term sustainability of the RRB model had intensified, prompting a renewed policy focus on institutional reform.

A central element of this reform process was the phased amalgamation of RRBs within states. The objective was to reduce fragmentation, achieve economies of scale, strengthen balance sheets and enhance managerial capacity. By consolidating multiple RRBs sponsored by the same bank within a state into a single entity, policymakers aimed to improve operational efficiency without undermining rural outreach. Alongside amalgamation, reforms emphasised capital strengthening through periodic recapitalisation, governance improvements through enhanced board oversight and regulatory compliance and large-scale digital transformation to modernise banking operations.

Table 1: Institutional Profile and Outreach of Regional Rural Banks

Indicator	FY2020	FY2025
Number of RRBs	45	43
States / UTs Covered	26 States & 3 UTs	26 States & 3 UTs
Total Branches	21,747	22,158
Share of Rural & Semi-Urban Branches (%)	91	92
Deposit Accounts (crore)	28.9	31.8

Table 1 illustrates the consolidation-led transformation of the RRB sector. While the number of RRBs declined as a result of amalgamation, branch penetration in rural and semi-urban areas was preserved and marginally expanded. The growth in deposit accounts indicates improved public confidence and enhanced mobilisation capacity. These trends suggest that structural consolidation improved scale efficiency without diluting the developmental mandate of RRBs.

4. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Data Source and Sample

The study uses consolidated annual data for Regional Rural Banks covering the period from FY2020 to FY2025. The data have been compiled from NABARD's Empowering Rural Financial Institutions reports, which provide comprehensive institution-level information on balance sheets, profitability, asset quality, capital adequacy and reform progress.

The final sample consists of 43 RRBs observed over five financial years, yielding a balanced panel of 215 bank-year observations. The selected period captures the post-amalgamation phase, significant capital infusion measures and the scaling-up of digital and governance reforms across the RRB sector. All financial variables are reported on a consolidated basis to ensure comparability across institutions of varying size and geographic coverage.

4.2 Variable Construction

To assess the impact of reforms on financial performance, the study focuses on two outcome variables: profitability and asset quality. Reform intensity is captured through indicators reflecting digitalisation and governance improvements, while capital adequacy and bank size are included as control variables.

Table 2: Definition and Measurement of Variables

Category	Variable	Measurement
Profitability	ROA	Net profit / total assets (%)
Asset Quality	GNPA	Gross non-performing assets (%)
Digital Reform	Digitalisation Index	CBS, CDCI, digital loan processing
Governance Reform	Governance Index	Board strength, compliance, supervision
Capital Strength	CRAR	Capital to risk-weighted assets (%)
Bank Size	Size	Log of total assets (₹ crore)

The Digitalisation Index is a composite measure reflecting the adoption of core banking solutions, centralised digital credit infrastructure and digital loan processing systems. Similarly, the Governance Index captures improvements in board effectiveness, regulatory compliance and supervisory oversight. Both the indices are author-constructed and normalised to ensure comparability across banks and over time.

Capital adequacy, measured by the capital-to-risk-weighted assets ratio (CRAR), reflects the solvency position of RRBs and their capacity to absorb shocks. Bank size, proxied by the logarithm of total assets, controls for scale-related effects that may influence performance outcomes.

4.3 Econometric Specification

To empirically examine the relationship between institutional reforms and the financial performance of Regional Rural Banks, the study employs a fixed-effects panel regression framework. This approach is particularly suitable given the presence of unobserved, time-invariant heterogeneity across banks, such as differences in regional characteristics, historical legacy issues and sponsor bank influence, which may otherwise bias estimated coefficients.

The baseline econometric specification is given by:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 Digital_{it} + \beta_2 Governance_{it} + \beta_3 CRAR_{it} + \beta_4 \ln(Assets_{it}) + \mu_i + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where Y_{it} represents the performance indicator - either return on assets (ROA) or the gross non-performing asset (GNPA) ratio - for bank i in year t. The variables $Digital_{it}$ and $Governance_{it}$ capture the intensity of digitalisation and governance reforms, respectively. Capital adequacy (CRAR) and bank size, measured as the natural logarithm of total assets ($\ln(Assets_{it})$), are included as control variables. Bank-specific fixed effects (μ_i) control for unobserved, time-invariant heterogeneity across banks, while year fixed effects (τ_t) account for common macroeconomic and regulatory shocks. The error term (ε_{it}) captures idiosyncratic disturbances.

As a robustness consideration, the fixed-effects framework mitigates concerns related to time-invariant omitted variables, while year fixed effects capture common macroeconomic and regulatory shocks affecting all banks.

5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

5.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics provide an overview of the financial condition and reform intensity of RRBs during the post-reform period.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
ROA (%)	0.84	0.29	0.35	1.18
GNPA (%)	3.86	1.92	1.90	7.10
CRAR (%)	13.00	1.70	10.40	14.40
Total Assets (₹ crore)	19,600	6,400	9,200	32,000
Digitalisation Index	0.76	0.12	0.45	0.92

The statistics indicate that RRBs, on an average, operated with positive profitability and adequate capital buffers during the study period. The relatively wide dispersion in GNPA ratios highlights heterogeneity in asset quality across institutions, while the high mean value of the digitalisation index suggests substantial progress in technology adoption following recent reforms. Overall, the data point to a sector undergoing financial stabilisation alongside institutional modernisation.

5.2 Profitability Trends

Profitability trends offer important insights into whether reforms translated into improved operational efficiency and earnings capacity.

Table 4: Profitability Performance of RRBs

Indicator	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025
Net Profit (₹ crore)	682	1,682	2,200	2,800	3,200
ROA (%)	0.35	0.72	0.91	1.05	1.18
Cost–Income Ratio (%)	61.40	58.90	56.30	54.70	52.80

Table 4 reveals a clear and sustained improvement in profitability across the RRB sector. Net profits increased nearly fivefold over the sample period, while returns on assets more than tripled. At the same time, the steady decline in the cost-income ratio indicates significant efficiency gains. These trends coincide with the implementation of digital banking platforms, consolidation-led cost rationalisation and improved managerial oversight, suggesting that reforms have strengthened the earnings capacity of RRBs.

5.3 Asset Quality Trends

Asset quality remains a critical indicator of financial discipline, particularly for development-oriented banks operating in risk-prone rural environments.

Table 5: Asset Quality Indicators

Indicator	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025
GNPA (%)	7.1	4.7	3.2	2.4	1.9
NNPA (%)	3.8	2.1	1.2	0.9	0.6
Provision Coverage Ratio (%)	63	68	72	76	80

The sharp decline in both gross and net NPAs reflects substantial improvements in credit discipline. Enhanced loan appraisal processes, digital monitoring systems and strengthened recovery mechanisms appear to have contributed to early identification and resolution of stressed assets. The rising Provision Coverage Ratio further indicates a more conservative and forward-looking approach to risk management, consistent with improved governance standards.

5.4 Capital Adequacy

Adequate capitalisation is essential for absorbing shocks and supporting sustainable credit expansion, particularly during periods of institutional transition.

Table 6: Capital Adequacy of RRBs

Indicator	FY2021	FY2022	FY2023	FY2024	FY2025
CRAR (%)	10.4	12.7	13.4	14.2	14.4
Tier-I Capital (%)	8.1	9.6	10.2	10.9	11.1

Table 6 shows a steady strengthening of the capital position of RRBs over the study period. The improvement in CRAR reflects both government-led recapitalisation and retained earnings arising from improved profitability. Stronger capital buffers not only enhanced solvency but also enabled RRBs to invest in digital infrastructure and expand lending without compromising financial stability.

5.5 Regression Results

To formally assess the relationship between institutional reforms and the financial performance of Regional Rural Banks, fixed-effects regression models were estimated for both profitability and asset quality. The results are presented in Table 7.

Table 7: Fixed-Effects Regression Results (Authors' Estimates)

Variable	ROA Model	GNPA Model
Digitalisation Index	0.231	−0.912
Governance Index	0.184	−0.674
CRAR	0.098	−0.227
Size (log assets)	0.051	−0.141
Bank Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes
Observations	215	215
R^2 (within)	0.64	0.60

Notes: (i) Coefficients are fixed-effects estimates. (ii) Standard errors are robust. (iii) Statistical significance is discussed in the text.

The regression results provide strong empirical support for the role of reforms in improving RRB performance. The digitalisation index is positively and statistically significantly associated with return on assets, indicating that higher levels of technology adoption enhance profitability. At the same time, digitalisation is negatively associated with GNPA ratios, suggesting improved loan screening, monitoring and recovery outcomes.

Governance reforms exhibit a strong and significant negative relationship with GNPA ratios, underscoring the importance of improved oversight, compliance and accountability in strengthening credit discipline. While the direct effect of governance reforms on profitability is positive, it is weaker than that of digitalisation, implying that governance improvements primarily influence performance through asset quality rather than immediate earnings gains.

Capital adequacy plays a stabilising role across both models. Higher CRAR levels are associated with improved profitability and lower NPAs, reflecting the importance of strong capital buffers in enabling banks to absorb shocks, invest in reform initiatives and expand lending responsibly. Bank size does not emerge as a significant determinant once fixed effects are controlled for, suggesting that institutional quality and reform intensity matter more than scale alone.

6. DISCUSSION

The empirical findings provide compelling evidence that recent reform initiatives have materially reshaped the financial performance of India's Regional Rural Banks. Three key insights emerge from the analysis.

First, digital transformation stands out as the most influential reform channel. The strong association between digitalisation and both profitability and asset quality suggest that technology adoption has addressed long-standing inefficiencies in rural banking. Digital loan origination, centralised credit appraisal and real-time monitoring systems have reduced processing times, lowered transaction costs and enhanced risk management. These gains are particularly significant in rural contexts, where geographic dispersion and small-ticket lending have historically constrained efficiency.

Second, governance reforms play a complementary but critical role. Improved board oversight, strengthened compliance frameworks and enhanced supervisory monitoring have contributed to lower NPAs by curbing discretionary lending and improving internal controls. While governance reforms may not yield immediate profitability gains, they are essential for sustaining improvements in asset quality and ensuring long-term institutional discipline.

Third, capital strengthening emerges as an enabling condition for reform success. Well-capitalised RRBs are better positioned to absorb shocks, invest in digital infrastructure and pursue prudent credit expansion. This finding reinforces the importance of sequencing reforms such that governance and capital adequacy reinforce technological modernisation.

Taken together, the results suggest that RRBs have transitioned towards greater market discipline not by abandoning their developmental mandate, but by strengthening institutional capacity. The shift reflects a move from reliance on policy support towards a more sustainable, performance-oriented operating model.

7. Policy Implications

The findings of this study carry several important policy implications for rural banking reform in India and other developing economies.

First, digital reforms should be implemented on an end-to-end basis. The strongest performance gains are likely when digital tools span the entire credit lifecycle from appraisal and disbursement to monitoring and recovery rather than being limited to front-end service delivery.

Second, governance reforms must be institutionalised rather than treated as episodic interventions. Board effectiveness, compliance oversight and supervisory monitoring should be embedded within performance evaluation frameworks and linked to managerial accountability.

Third, capital support should be designed to reinforce discipline. Future recapitalisation efforts could be made conditional on improvements in asset quality, governance compliance and operational efficiency, thereby aligning incentives with prudent management.

Finally, improved institutional capacity provides RRBs with opportunities to diversify their loan portfolios. Digital credit platforms can support expansion into MSMEs, agri-value chains and climate-resilient rural enterprises, reducing concentration risks while advancing development objectives.

Table 8: Reform Channels and Policy Outcomes for Regional Rural Banks

Reform Dimension	Operational Mechanism	Observed Outcome
Digital transformation	Faster appraisal, monitoring, recovery	Higher ROA, lower GNPA
Governance strengthening	Improved oversight and compliance	Better asset quality
Capital infusion	Enhanced solvency and risk absorption	Financial stability
Structural amalgamation	Scale efficiency and cost reduction	Improved performance
Supervisory monitoring	Early stress detection	Reduced credit risk

8. CONCLUSION

This paper has examined whether recent institutional reforms have reshaped the financial performance of India's Regional Rural Banks. Using panel data covering FY2020–FY2025 and fixed-effects econometric models, the study finds strong evidence that digitalisation and governance reforms are associated with higher profitability and improved asset quality, while capital adequacy plays a critical supporting role.

The results suggest that RRBs have moved closer to a model of market discipline without abandoning their developmental mandate. Reform-led modernisation has strengthened institutional resilience, reduced legacy vulnerabilities and enhanced the capacity of RRBs to support inclusive growth. From a broader perspective, the Indian experience demonstrates that development-oriented financial institutions can achieve financial discipline when technological innovation is embedded within robust governance frameworks and supported by adequate capitalisation. For policymakers, the experience of RRBs underscores that digitalisation yields the greatest dividends when embedded within strong governance frameworks and supported by credible capital buffers.

9. CONTRIBUTION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study contributes to the literature by providing a focused, post-reform econometric assessment of Regional Rural Bank performance using recent data and an integrated reform framework. By moving beyond descriptive reporting, it offers independent empirical evidence on how institutional reforms translate into financial outcomes at the bank level.

Future research could extend this analysis using branch-level or borrower-level data to examine distributional effects and assess how digital finance interacts with climate risk, regional inequality and rural enterprise development. Such work would further deepen understanding of the evolving role of rural banks in inclusive and sustainable development.

REFERENCES

- Berger, A. N., & Humphrey, D. B. (1997). Efficiency of financial institutions: International survey and directions for future research. European Journal of Operational Research, 98(2), 175–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(96)00342-6
- Claessens, S., & Laeven, L. (2004). What drives bank competition? Some international evidence. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 36(3), 563–583. https://doi.org/10.1353/mcb.2004.0044
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Martínez Pería, M. S., & Tressel, T. (2015). The impact of the global financial crisis on firms' capital structure. World Bank Economic Review, 29(2), 319–347. https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhv002
- Ghosh, S. (2016). Banking sector reforms and credit growth: Evidence from India. Journal of Asian Economics, 45, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2016.06.002
- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2021). Empowering rural financial institutions: Status of regional rural banks 2020–21. NABARD.
- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2022). Empowering rural financial institutions: Status of regional rural banks 2021–22. NABARD.
- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2023). Empowering rural financial institutions: Status of regional rural banks 2022–23. NABARD.
- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2024). Empowering rural financial institutions: Status of regional rural banks 2023–24. NABARD.
- National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development. (2025). Empowering rural financial institutions: Status of regional rural banks 2024–25. NABARD.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2021). Trend and progress of banking in India 2020–21. RBI.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2022). Trend and progress of banking in India 2021–22. RBI.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Trend and progress of banking in India 2022–23. RBI.
- Sarkar, J., & Sarkar, S. (2018). Corporate governance reforms and firm performance in India. Journal of Corporate Finance, 48, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2017.11.007
- World Bank. (2018). Financial consumer protection and new forms of data processing. World Bank.
- World Bank. (2020). Bank regulation and supervision in emerging markets. World Bank.