Ghana Alternative Medicine Journal (GAMJ)
Abbreviated Key Title: Gha alt Med Jrnl
ISSN 2756-7176 (Print) Open Access
Journal homepage: https://saspublishers.com/journal/gamj/home

OPEN ACCESS



The African Naturopathic Federation: A New Continental Platform for Education, Research, Regulation and Professional Cooperation

Prof. Raphael Nyarkotey Obu, Esq[1]*

[1]PhD Candidate in Health Law, Policy and Development, Africa Research University, Zambia

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36347/gamj.2026.v07i01.002 | **Received:** 27.09.2026 | **Accepted:** 21.11.2026 | **Published:** 24.11.2026

***Corresponding author:** Prof. Raphael Nyarkotey Obu, Esq
PhD Candidate in Health Law, Policy and Development, Africa Research University, Zambia

Abstract **Review Article**

Africa's diverse naturopathic communities face challenges related to educational comparability, professional identity, uneven regulatory arrangements, limited research capacity and the need to protect indigenous knowledge while ensuring patient safety. Established on 12 March 2026, the African Naturopathic Federation (ANF) seeks to create a continental platform through which professional bodies, educators, researchers and advocates can address these challenges collaboratively. This commentary introduces the Federation's origins and declared priorities; examines its potential contributions to education, competency assessment, research, legal and policy reform, and international collaboration; and proposes an accountability-oriented framework for its development. The paper distinguishes the Federation's stated aspirations from established regulatory powers: ANF is a professional federation, not a supranational licensing authority, and its proposed accreditation and examinations cannot replace national statutory processes. Its success will depend on inclusive governance, transparent educational standards, independent evidence review, collaboration with public authorities and measurable patient-safety outcomes. The emerging continental initiative offers an opportunity for Africa to contribute to the global development of naturopathy while respecting the diversity of African health systems and the universal requirements of scientific scrutiny.

Keywords: African Naturopathic Federation; African Naturopathy; professional regulation; competency-based education; Africa.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

1. INTRODUCTION: Why a Continental Naturopathic Voice Matters

Health professions commonly develop regional and international networks to exchange knowledge, develop educational guidance and engage policymakers. For naturopathy in Africa, the case for such coordination arises from the diversity of educational pathways, regulatory arrangements, clinical settings and indigenous therapeutic traditions. Those differences should not be erased in pursuit of uniformity; they create a need for transparent definitions, mutual learning and proportionate quality assurance. The formation of the African Naturopathic Federation (ANF) is therefore significant not because a new federation can itself confer legal recognition, but because it provides a potential platform for professional dialogue and institution-building (African Naturopathic Federation [ANF], 2026a; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO], 2026).

The World Health Organization's Global Traditional Medicine Strategy 2025–2034 calls for stronger evidence, safety, appropriate regulation and meaningful health-system integration. This is relevant policy context, rather than a WHO endorsement of the ANF or of every naturopathic intervention (World Health Organization [WHO], 2025). A credible African federation should respond with testable goals: better training, stronger ethical practice, research capacity and greater clarity about when conventional medical referral is required.

2. Formation and Early Continental Development

ANF was established at a virtual inaugural meeting on 12 March 2026 involving 21 founding participants from 11 African countries. The initiative was conceived by the present author, Prof. Raphael Nyarkotey Obu, following professional discussions about fragmented standards and the practical difficulties of securing appropriate regulatory recognition. Ghana,

Nigeria, Namibia, The Gambia, Togo, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe, Benin, the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Tanzania among the original countries represented (ANF, 2026a, 2026b). The FAO subsequently reported the establishment and broad aims of the new federation on 7 April 2026 (FAO, 2026).

3. Vision, Mission and the Seven Strategic Priorities

The Federation is a pan-African body advancing naturopathic education, research, policy and professional development. Its published 2026–2031 strategy identifies seven broad priorities: (1) professional regulation and recognition; (2) education and capacity building; (3) research and knowledge advancement; (4) policy and advocacy; (5) continental collaboration; (6) public awareness and engagement; and (7) the responsible connection of indigenous knowledge with global standards (ANF, 2026c). These priorities are interconnected. Regulation without competence is weak; competence without evidence is incomplete; and research without ethical engagement with knowledge-holding communities risks reproducing historic inequities.

ANF may propose model curricula, voluntary frameworks and policy options, but professional licensure, legal scope of practice, use of protected titles and clinical disciplinary powers remain matters for the competent authorities in each jurisdiction. A continental voice should complement, not displace, national law.

4. Education, Competency and the Proposed Continental Examination

A first practical contribution could be an African naturopathic education reference framework specifying entry requirements, teaching hours, supervised clinical exposure, assessment, patient-safety competencies and continuing professional development. This will differentiate educational awards from legal permission to practise. International comparisons are useful: the World Naturopathic Federation (WNF) describes emerging 2,500-hour practitioner and 4,000-plus-hour naturopathic doctor pathways, while expressly stating that it does not itself accredit programmes (WNF, n.d.). African frameworks should be context-sensitive and transparent about how any proposed thresholds relate to competency, the local legal environment and measurable clinical outcomes. ANF will work with recognized professional accreditation bodies to help Naturopathic schools in Africa with quality assurance and professional accreditation arrangement.

ANF has expressed an ambition to develop continental accreditation mechanisms and a professional examination board (ANF, 2026a, 2026c). This is a proposal requiring feasibility study, stakeholder consultation, quality assurance and legitimate governance. A future voluntary examination might assess foundational sciences, clinical reasoning, infection prevention, informed consent, documentation, red-flag recognition, referral and professional ethics. It will not be advertised as conferring automatic national licensure. Independent examination governance, appeals and safeguards against conflicts of interest would be essential.

5. Research, Clinical Safety and Indigenous Knowledge

Africa's naturopathic scholarship should address real regional priorities, including noncommunicable diseases, renal and cardiometabolic health, maternal and child health, health literacy, nutrition and the safety of commonly used products. A federation can coordinate multicentre research questions, evidence maps, registries, adverse-event reporting and partnerships with universities and laboratories. The WHO research agenda for traditional, complementary and integrative medicine underscores the need to connect research priorities to the information required by communities, clinicians and policymakers (WHO, 2026).

Respect for African indigenous knowledge must be accompanied by scientific evaluation and appropriate benefit sharing. Historical use alone cannot establish clinical efficacy or exclude toxicity, interactions or contamination. Appropriate work may include pharmacognosy, standardisation, toxicology, observational studies, controlled trials where feasible, systematic reviews and implementation research. Community participation, consent, acknowledgement of knowledge holders and biodiversity protections should be built into research governance from the outset.

6. Legal Recognition, Health Systems and Regulatory Pluralism

The legal status of naturopathic practice varies across jurisdictions; traditional medicine and complementary medicine may be regulated together, separately or through overlapping laws. It would be misleading to assume a single African regulatory model already exists. ANF's strongest initial contribution may therefore be comparative policy analysis: mapping statutory definitions, qualification protections, licensing procedures, inspection arrangements, advertising rules, professional discipline and referral obligations. Model legislation can provide options, but each proposal must be tested against constitutional provisions, professional practice statutes, patient rights and local institutional capacity.

Health-system integration should be conditional on demonstrated competence, acceptable safety and clear referral relationships. ANF can advance discussion with ministries of health, educational regulators, professional councils, researchers and patient organisations. ANF will avoid presenting organisational membership as equivalent to governmental recognition or as authorisation to manage conditions outside a practitioner's lawful scope.

7. Continental Partnership and Global Professional Dialogue

ANF's value will also be measured by its ability to build reciprocal links within Africa and internationally. Collaboration with existing naturopathic bodies, universities, professional educators and public-health stakeholders can support faculty development, research methods training, clinical education and common ethical safeguards. International engagement should not require Africa to function only as a recipient of imported curricula. African food systems, community health practices, ecological realities and indigenous knowledge can generate original research questions while remaining subject to shared scientific standards.

The Federation can also provide a constructive forum for discussing the emerging concept of African Naturopathy, including the proposed four-school account of European Nature Cure, North American codified naturopathy, Indian naturopathy and yoga, and a developing African school. This taxonomy is a scholarly proposal, not an official consensus classification of ANF members or of the international profession. Organisational representation and philosophical agreement must remain distinct.

8. Governance, Accountability and a Measurable Roadmap

For its continental promise to become credible, ANF needs published membership criteria; an inclusive constitution; elections and term limits; financial reporting; conflict-of-interest declarations; procedures for complaints and appeals; safeguards for students and patients; and meaningful participation by women, younger professionals and diverse linguistic regions. Policy statements and proposed examinations should be opened to public consultation and independent technical review.

A practical monitoring framework could report annually on the number of countries with verified member organisations; published education and regulatory mappings; consultations held; independent peer-reviewed outputs; faculty-development programmes; ethics and safety initiatives; and whether projects have been evaluated for usefulness. These indicators would make claims of continental impact more verifiable than ceremonial announcements alone. ANF should publish corrections when membership, appointments, proposed events or strategic targets change.

9. Challenges and Critical Questions

Several challenges deserve acknowledgement. Naturopathy is not defined uniformly across countries, and African indigenous healthcare traditions are highly diverse. Some proposed professional activities may overlap with regulated occupations or traditional medicine law. Standards may be difficult to implement where educational infrastructure and clinical supervision are limited. There are also risks of commercial influence, insufficient independent research and overstated therapeutic claims. A responsible federation must welcome these critiques rather than regard them as opposition to African intellectual participation.

The key question is not whether African naturopathy deserves a seat in international debate; African practitioners and scholars can participate in that debate. The harder question is whether ANF can translate that participation into better educational quality, public accountability and safer clinical practice. Outcomes, transparent methods and regulatory legitimacy should be the measures of success.

10. CONCLUSION

The African Naturopathic Federation, established in March 2026, represents an emerging effort to create a shared continental platform for naturopathic education, research, policy dialogue and professional cooperation. Its importance lies in the possibility of coordinating work across different African settings without erasing their historical and legal diversity. The Federation should be judged neither simply by its ambitions nor by its name, but by its ability to strengthen evidence, competence, ethical practice, indigenous knowledge protection and constructive engagement with health authorities. If these commitments guide its growth, ANF can make a substantive contribution to African healthcare scholarship and the global naturopathic conversation.

DECLARATIONS

Author positionality and competing interests. The author is the founding president of the African Naturopathic Federation and President of Nyarkotey College of Holistic Medicine, Ghana. This institutional involvement is declared because the paper discusses the Federation's creation and future direction. The commentary presents an authorial perspective, not an independent outcome evaluation of ANF.

Funding. No external funding is declared for preparation of this commentary.

Ethical approval. Not applicable; this article does not report primary research involving human participants.

Data availability. No primary dataset was generated or analysed.

REFERENCES

- African Naturopathic Federation. (2026a). About ANF: Unifying naturopathic medicine across Africa. https://www.africannaturopathicfederation.org/about
- African Naturopathic Federation. (2026b). Our story: From vision to federation.

https://www.africannaturopathicfederation.org/about/story

- African Naturopathic Federation. (2026c). ANF strategic plan 2026–2031. https://www.africannaturopathicfederation.org/strategy
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2026, April 7). Newly-created federation champions “green doctors” across Africa. https://www.fao.org/forest-farm-facility/news/detail/Newly-created-federation-champions-green-doctors-across-Africa/en
- World Health Organization. (2025). Global traditional medicine strategy 2025–2034. https://www.who.int/teams/integrated-health-services/traditional-complementary-and-integrative-medicine/global-strategies
- World Health Organization. (2026). WHO global research priorities and agenda for traditional, complementary and integrative medicine 2025–2034. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240124738
- World Naturopathic Federation. (n.d.). Educational members. https://worldnaturopathicfederation.org/educational-members/