Scholars Journal of Dental Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J Dent Sci ISSN 2394-4951 (Print) | ISSN 2394-496X (Online) Journal homepage: <u>https://saspublishers.com</u>

Treatment of Oesophageal Cancer with NiTi Stents- An Overall Review

Dr. Mary Sheloni Missier^{1*}, Dr. Ashwin Mathew George¹, Dr. Ashok Vardhan N²

¹Department of Orthodontics, Saveetha Dental College, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, No.162, Poonamallee High Rd, Velappanchavadi, Maduravoyal, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600077, India ²Paninneya Mahavidyalaya Institute of Dental Sciences, Kamala Nagar Rd Number 5, VR Colony, Kamala Nagar, Kothapet, Hyderabad, Telangana 500060, India

DOI: <u>10.36347/sjds.2021.v08i01.007</u>

| **Received:** 01.01.2021 | **Accepted:** 10.01.2021 | **Published:** 13.01.2021

*Corresponding author: Dr. Mary Sheloni Missier

Abstract

Esophageal cancer is known to be one of the most commonly affecting disease world wide. People with esophageal cancer develop various symptom. One among those symptoms is esophageal cancer. There are various treatment for esophageal cancer but those treatments were found to have many disadvantages. In recent field of science with various advancements in technology self expanding metal stents (SEMS) and self expanding plastic stents (SEPS) were used. There are various literature stating the uses of other palliative therapy, although it had the same uses it was found to have many disadvantages and are traumatic when compared to SEMS and SEPS. Therefore we conclude that SEMS and SEPS are less traumatic when compared to other palliative treatment.

Keywords: Esophageal cancer, SEMS, SEPS.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Esophagus is a muscular tube through which the food passes from the throat to the stomach by means of peristaltic movements. There are various factors such as age, gender, race which play an important role in the prevalence of esophageal cancer. The epidemiology ratio states that the males are mostly affected than females which occurs in the ratio of 3:1. Based on age group it is stated people >45 yrs are mostly affected. Most of the patient do not recognize the prevalence of esophageal cancer until almost 50% of the constriction of the luminal diameter of the esophagus is present. According to the survey on the prevalence of esophageal cancer among the population of united states the estimation ranges to up to 14,550 of new cases were diagnosed with esophageal cancer in the year 2006 out of which 13,770 of death rates were reported [1]. Majority of the cancers were diagnosed at their later stage where it would have advanced to distant metastasis [2]. Esophageal cancer is mainly caused due to some irritation which may be caused due to some factors such as Habits (alcohol consumption, smoking, tobacco), Reflux disorder such as GERD, Plummervinson syndrome, Achalasia, Barrett's esophagus, Overweight [3].

The Symptoms of esophageal cancer are Swallowing difficulty, Chest pain, Weight loss,

Hoarseness of voice, Vomiting, Chronic cough [4]. Among these symptoms dysphagia is considered as the main symptom of oesophageal malignancy which is caused mainly due to complete or partial obstruction of esophagus. Such patients are given palliative therapy in order to maintain good oral intake, treat dysphagia, to eliminate regurgitation, to reduce their stay in hospital & to relieve pain. There are various palliative therapy such as chemotherapy, photodynamic therapy, laser ablation, esophageal stents, sclerotherapy are used to treat esophageal cancer. Among them the osephageal stents mainly SEMS (self expanding metal stents) are the most commonly used palliative therapy [5].

Malignant Strictures and Fistulas

Inspite of the improved operative technique, and better perioperative care, various literature gives us the detail that there was only 5 yrs of survival rate for esophageal cancer and it rate to about 20% approximately [6]. In a study done on 1,242 patients it was found that there was no improvement seen with specific chemotherapy [3]. Kozarek *et al.*, [7] stated that the esophageal obstruction and fistulas can be treated with rigid prostheses under the guidance of endoscope and fluoroscope but it required dilation very often [7]. It also required maping the location and angulation of the tumour these procedures were traumatic and had higher rate of complication [8, 9].

Citation: Mary Sheloni Missier *et al.* Treatment of oesophageal cancer with NiTi stents- An Overall Review. Sch J Dent Sci, 2021 Jan 8(1): 48-51.

∂ OPEN ACCESS

Review Article

Knyrim *et al.*, in his study stated that for treatment of malignant dysphagia SEMS seems to be advantageous compared to other treatment [10]. On comparing all the treatment for dysphagia the SEMS group had better effect and were discharged earlier compared to other treatment [11]. Higher complication rates were seen with plastic prostheses group when compared to SEMSs group [12].

Studies state that covered SEMSs are better compared to uncovered stents [13, 14]. Vakil et al., in his study compared covered and uncovered SEMS [15], to study the various complication and to check which is better. Sarnovic et al., compared two types of SEMSs (uncovered and partially covered) for treatment on 152 patients (uncovered 54 and partially covered 98) suffering from inoperable malignant stenosis [13]. Sabharwal et al., in his study he compared the different rates of complications on 53 patients suffering from inoperable distal esophageal cancer [14]. Siersema et al., compared different types of partially covered stents on 100 consecutive patients with gastroesophageal carcinoma [16]. All the studies showed similar output stating that the degree of improvement rate of dysphagia and the rate of complication were similar in all the types of stent used.

Self-Expanding Plastic Stents

Various studies stated that SEPSs are safe and effective in treating malignant dysphagia [17, 18]. Dormann *et al.*, in his study with 33 subjects (27 esophageal and 6 GEJ cancers) stated that there was improvement in dysphagia post SEPS placement [19]. Szegedi *et al.*, in his study he used SEPSs for treating malignant dysphagia they showed improved dysphagia scores [20]. Conio *et al.*, did a prospective randomized controlled trial with 101 subjects (82 squamous cell cancer and 19 adenocarcinoma) by treating them with SEPSs or SEMSs and they were successful in placing the stents for 98 [17].

There are various prospective studies which have excluded high cervical strictures patients, some retrospective studies have demonstrated the feasibility of stent placement and they were found to be effective [21, 22]. Verschuur *et al.*, in his study he treated 104 patients (66 primary esophageal carcinoma and 38 recurrent cancer after gastric tube interposition) having a malignant stricture less than 8mm with SEMS or SEPS [23]. Shim *et al.*, in his study used modified nitinol prothesis to treat oesophageal cancer they showed higher success rate [24, 25]. Laasch *et al.*, in his study used anti-reflux Z stent [26] and compared it with Flamingo Wallstent the patients treated with anti-reflux Z stent showed better results compared to the other group.

SEMS are nitinol stents (alloy of nickel and titanium). Earlier before SEMS came into field rigid prosthesis were used to treat esophageal obstruction which were traumatic. SEMS came into field 20 yrs ago and many studies were conducted using it which proved that SEMS are non traumatic palliative treatment to treat esophageal obstruction.

Structure of NiTi stent

NiTi stent is a compressed sheath which is characterised by a monofilament, fine mesh that are partially covered or fully covered with polyurethane with a proximal flare of 26 mm, body diameter 18 mm, length of 80,100 or 120 mm [4]. Proximal flare is to prevent migration. The purpose of double layer configuration is that the outer uncovered ninitol wire of the stent is such that it helps the stent to fix to the esophageal wall.



Advantages of SEMS:

Non traumatic Dysphagia score improvement Increased survival Lesser complication than other prosthesis and plastic stents High ability to adapt to the anatomical structures Commercially available SEMS:

STENTS	MATERIAL
Ultraflex	Nitinol
Wallflex	Nitinol
Evolution	Nitinol
Alimaxx-E	Nitinol
Niti-S	Nitinol
Dostent	Nitinol

Types of SEMS:

Partially Covered stents Uncovered stents Studies prove that partially covered stents are preferred than uncovered stents due to the disadvantage of tumour in growth in uncovered stent resulting in recurrent dysphagia, restenosis and GERD.

CONCLUSION

There are various literature stating the uses of other palliative therapy, although it had the same uses it was found to have many disadvantages and are traumatic when compared to SEMS and SEPS. Therefore we conclude that SEMS and SEPS are less traumatic when compared to other palliative treatment.

REFERENCES

- 1. American Cancer Soceity. American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures 2006. American Cancer Society: Atlanta, Georgia, 2006.
- Das A, Singh V, Fleischer DE, Sharma VK. A comparison of endoscopic treatment and surgery in early esophageal cancer: an analysis of surveillance epidemiology and end results data. American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2008 Jun 1;103(6):1340-5.
- 3. Homs MY, vd Gaast A, Siersema PD, Steyerberg EW, Kuipers EJ. Chemotherapy for metastatic carcinoma of the esophagus and gastroesophageal junction. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2006(4).
- Homs MY, Steyerberg EW, Kuipers EJ, van der Gaast A, Haringsma J, van Blankenstein M, Siersema PD. Causes and treatment of recurrent dysphagia after self-expanding metal stent placement for palliation of esophageal carcinoma. Endoscopy. 2004 Sep;36(10):880-6.
- 5. Sharma P, Kozarek R, Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Role of esophageal stents in benign and malignant diseases. American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2010 Feb 1;105(2):258-73.
- 6. Sundelöf M, Ye W, Dickman PW, Lagergren J. Improved survival in both histologic types of oesophageal cancer in Sweden. International journal of cancer. 2002 Jun 10;99(5):751-4.
- Kozarek RA. Gastrointestinal dilation and stent placement. In: Yamada T, Alpers DH, Kaplowitz N, Laine L, Owyang C, Powell D (eds). Textbook of Gastroenterology, 4th edn, Lippincott, Williams & Wilkers: Philadelphia, 2003, 2988–99.
- 8. Parker CH, Peura DA. Palliative treatment of esophageal carcinoma using esophageal dilation and prosthesis. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 1991; 20: 717–29.
- 9. Függer R, Niederle B, Jantsch H, Schiessel R, Schulz F. Endoscopic tube implantation for the palliation of malignant esophageal stenosis. Endoscopy. 1990 May;22(03):101-4.
- 10. Knyrim K, Wagner HJ, Bethge N, Keymling M, Vakil N. A controlled trial of an expansile metal stent for palliation of esophageal obstruction due

to inoperable cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. 1993 Oct 28;329(18):1302-7.

- 11. Roseveare CD, Patel P, Simmonds N, Goggin PM, Kimble J, Shepherd HA. Metal stents improve dysphagia, nutrition and survival in malignant oesophageal stenosis: a randomized controlled trial comparing modified Gianturco Z-stents with plastic Atkinson tubes. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology. 1998 Aug;10(8):653-7.
- 12. Eickhoff A, Knoll M, Jakobs R, Weickert U, Hartmann D, Schilling D, Eickhoff JC, Riemann JF. Self-expanding metal stents versus plastic prostheses in the palliation of malignant dysphagia: long-term outcome of 153 consecutive patients. Journal of clinical gastroenterology. 2005 Nov 1;39(10):877-85.
- 13. Saranovic DJ, Djuric-Stefanovic A, Ivanovic A, Masulovic D, Pesko P. Fluoroscopically guided insertion of self-expandable metal esophageal stents for palliative treatment of patients with malignant stenosis of esophagus and cardia: comparison of uncovered and covered stent types. Diseases of the Esophagus. 2005 Sep 1;18(4):230-8.
- 14. Sabharwal T, Hamady MS, Chui S, Atkinson S, Mason R, Adam A. A randomised prospective comparison of the Flamingo Wallstent and Ultraflex stent for palliation of dysphagia associated with lower third oesophageal carcinoma. Gut. 2003 Jul 1;52(7):922-6.
- 15. Vakil N, Morris AI, Marcon N, Segalin A, Peracchia A, Bethge N, Zuccaro G, Bosco JJ, Jones WF. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial of covered expandable metal stents in the palliation of malignant esophageal obstruction at the gastroesophageal junction. The American journal of gastroenterology. 2001 Jun 1;96(6):1791-6.
- 16. Siersema PD, Hop WC, Van Blankenstein M, van Tilburg AJ, Bac DJ, Homs MY, Kuipers EJ. A comparison of 3 types of covered metal stents for the palliation of patients with dysphagia caused by esophagogastric carcinoma: a prospective, randomized study. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2001 Aug 1;54(2):145-53.
- 17. Conio M, Repici A, Battaglia G, De Pretis G, Ghezzo L, Bittinger M, Messmann H, Demarquay JF, Blanchi S, Togni M, Conigliaro R. A randomized prospective comparison of selfexpandable plastic stents and partially covered self-expandable metal stents in the palliation of malignant esophageal dysphagia. American Journal of Gastroenterology. 2007 Dec 1;102(12):2667-77.
- Decker P, Lippler J, Decker D, Hirner A. Use of the Polyflex stent in the palliative therapy ofesophageal carcinoma. Surgical endoscopy. 2001 Dec 1;15(12):1444.

© 2021 Scholars Journal of Dental Sciences | Published by SAS Publishers, India

- Dormann AJ, Eisendrath P, Wigginghaus B, Huchzermeyer H, Devière J. Palliation of esophageal carcinoma with a new self-expanding plastic stent. Endoscopy. 2003 Mar;35(03):207-11.
- Szegedi L, Gál I, Kósa I, Kiss GG. Palliative treatment of esophageal carcinoma with selfexpanding plastic stents: a report on 69 cases. European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology. 2006 Nov 1;18(11):1197-201.
- 21. Eleft Heriadis E, Kotzampassi K. Endoprosthesis implantation at the pharyngo-esophageal level: problems, limitations and challenges. World J Gastroenterol. 2006; 12: 2103–8.
- 22. Macdonald S, Edwards RD, Moss JG. Patient tolerance of cervical esophageal metallic stents. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2000; 11:891–8.
- 23. Verschuur EM, Kuipers EJ, Siersema PD. Esophageal stents for malignant strictures close to

the upper esophageal sphincter. Gastrointest Endosc. 2007; 66:1082–90.

- Shim CS, Jung IS, Bhandari S, Ryu CB, Hong SJ, Kim JO, Cho JY, Lee JS, Lee MS, Kim BS. Management of malignant strictures of the cervical esophagus with a newly-designed self-expanding metal stent. Endoscopy. 2004 Jun;36(06):554-7.
- 25. Dua KS, Kozarek R, Kim J, Evans J, Medda BK, Lang I, Hogan WJ, Shaker R. Self-expanding metal esophageal stent with anti-reflux mechanism. Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2001 May 1;53(6):603-13.
- Laasch HU, Marriott A, Wilbraham L, Tunnah S, England RE, Martin DF. Effectiveness of open versus antireflux stents for palliation of distal esophageal carcinoma and prevention of symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux. Radiology. 2002 Nov;225(2):359-65.