

Research Article**Quality of Life in Relation to Severity of Anemia in End Stage Renal Disease Patients on Maintenance Hemodialysis****B. Kishore Kumar¹, B. Vijai Anand Babu^{2*}**¹Assistant Professor of Medicine, Govt. Medical College, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India²Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Govt. Medical College, Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh, India***Corresponding author**

Dr. B. Vijai Anand Babu

Email: vijaianandbangi@gmail.com

Abstract: Anemia affects 90% of end stage renal disease (ESRD) patients on maintenance hemodialysis. The aim and objective of the study was to evaluate the relationship of severity of anemia and quality of life (QOL) in ESRD patients on hemodialysis. It was a cross sectional study carried out in a Tertiary care teaching hospital. Face-to-face interviews using a Kidney Disease Quality of Life - Short Form (KDQOL-SF™ v 1.3) questionnaire (consisted of SF-36 and kidney disease questionnaires) were conducted during November 2009 and October 2011 with 60 patients receiving hemodialysis at tertiary care hospital. QOL measures were compared in 4 hemoglobin (Hb) level groups of $\geq 4-6$, $>6-8$, $>8-10$, $>10-12$ g/dl. Scores of QOL and Hb levels were analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed by Chi-square test. It was found that Increasing Hb levels increases QOL by affecting some components of both kidney domain scores and SF36 scores while others were not affected. Highest scores were observed in Hb group of $>10 - 12$ g/dl.**Keywords:** Anemia, QOL, ESRD.

INTRODUCTION

Anemia commonly contributes to poor quality of life (QOL) in patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD). Although hemodialysis (HD) has a therapeutic effect patients encounter many physical, psychological, and social stress full factors that lead to a decrease in their QOL [1]. Studies over the past decade documented consistent relationship between increasing levels of hemoglobin (Hb) and improvement in the QOL [2, 3], but equally good number of studies reported an increased risk of mortality and cardiovascular events in those treated to achieve higher hematocrit levels [4-7]. Today, the target hemoglobin levels remain uncertain and the management of anemia of ESRD is at cross roads [8-12]. In this study beside of determining the quality of life and its dimensions and severity of anemia in hemodialysis patients, an attempt is made to evaluate their relationship with each other and arrive at safe target Hb level.

METHODOLOGY

Present study is a prospective, descriptive, and exploratory, cross sectional clinical study done on ESRD patients admitted to the medical wards of a tertiary care hospital which predominantly serves the poorer strata of the society of three states viz., Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka. The study was conducted during November 2009 to October 2011 on 60 ESRD patients on maintenance hemodialysis having

anemia, but excluded the patients who were under 18 years, hemoglobin more than 12 g/dl and those who could not answer the KDQOL-SF™v1.3 questionnaire and/or not willing to participate in the study. The study was approved by institutional review board.

After obtaining informed consent, participants were administered the study questionnaire as a face-to-face interview which included the KDQOL-SF™v1.3 (which consisted of SF-36 and kidney disease questionnaires). The scores of quality of the KDQOL questionnaire were transformed onto 0 to 100 possible ranges, with higher scores always reflecting better quality of life. The KDQOL questionnaire was used for measuring a variety of health-related quality of life domains, the questionnaire included 36 generic items (the SF-36) which include overall health rating items and 2 domains; (a) the physical domain consisted of physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, pain, and general health perceptions and (b) the mental domain consisted of emotional well being or mental health, role limitations due to emotional health problems, social functioning, and energy/fatigue or vitality. The particular health-related concerns of individuals with kidney disease and on dialysis were: symptom/problems, effects of kidney disease on daily life, burden of kidney disease, work status, cognitive function, and quality of social interaction, sexual function, and sleep. Items also included were 3

additional quality of life scales: social support, dialysis staff encouragement and patient satisfaction. Patient specific information regarding demographics and clinical laboratory data were collected at baseline and on an ongoing basis at the time of the face to face interview. The demographic data which were obtained included age, gender, marital status, underlying disease (diabetes, hypertension, myocardial infarction). All patients who agreed to participate in the study were medically stable and were face to face interviewed to complete the KDQOL- KDQOL-SF™v1.3. The Hb% of the patients was estimated by Sahli's laboratory method. Patients were randomized to different Hb levels and separated the study population into 4 Hb level groups i.e., $\geq 4-6$, $>6-8$, $>8-10$, $>10-12$ g/dl. Scores of QOL and Hb levels were examined for the relationship and statistical significance using Chi-square test. The P-value of <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The demographic data regarding age, gender. Risk factors of the 60 patients included in this study are shown in Table 1. Significant representation of all four Hb levels of HD patient was present in the cohort. The mean age of the patients was $48.28 \pm$ yrs. Out of 60 patients 43 (71.66%) were males and 17(28.33%) were females. Male to female ratio was 2.5:1. 46.66% patients had diabetes mellitus, 73.33% had hypertension. 5% had Ischemic heart disease and 6.66% had other risk factors. These percentages were similar in all four Hb level groups. The basic characteristics of all 4 groups of patients were not significantly different ($p>0.05$).

The mean Hb levels for all patients were 7.54 ± 2.03 . The mean Hb levels were 4.98 ± 0.56 , 6.85 ± 0.56 , 8.91 ± 0.58 and 10.73 ± 0.49 in $\geq 4-6$, $>6-8$, $>8-10$ and $>10-12$ group respectively as shown in Table 2. Creatinine and blood urea and GFR level were similar in all four Hb level groups. The laboratory parameters of all 4 groups of patients were not significantly different ($p>0.05$) except for Creatinine ($p=0.036$).

Table 1: Demographic data & risk factors by Hb groups

Parameter	Hb groups				p-value
	$\geq 4-6$ (n=14)	$>6-8$ (n=22)	$>8-10$ (n=14)	$>10-12$ (n=10)	
Age (yrs mean \pm sd)	45.28 ± 11.91	47.95 ± 15.09	53.42 ± 11.02	50.3 ± 15.57	0.342
Gender (%)					0.293
Male	64.28	68.18	78.57	80	
female	35.71	31.81	21.42	20	
Risk factors (%)					
Diabetes	50	40.90	42.85	60	0.673
Hypertension	85.71	63.63	78.57	70	0.908
IHD	7.14	4.54	0	10	0.809
others	7.14	4.54	7.14	10	0.673

Table 2: Laboratory parameters by Hb groups

Data	Hb groups				p-value
	$\geq 4-6$ (n=14)	$>6-8$ (n=22)	$>8-10$ (n=14)	$>10-12$ (n=10)	
Hb (g/dl)	4.98 ± 0.56	6.85 ± 0.56	8.91 ± 0.58	10.73 ± 0.49	0.066
Creatinine (mg/dl)	11.96 ± 5.93	10.95 ± 4.44	8.95 ± 2.72	7.97 ± 1.52	0.035
Blood urea (mg/dl)	168.57 ± 85.27	179.90 ± 76.90	163.21 ± 37.04	135.3 ± 51.60	0.167
GFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	7.05 ± 3.44	7.55 ± 4.22	7.69 ± 3.20	8.22 ± 2.03	0.129

The scores of quality of life in KDQOL SF-36 questionnaire were transformed onto a 0-100 scale range shown in Table 3 & 4 between symptom/problem, effects of kidney disease, burden of kidney disease, work status, cognitive function, quality of social interaction, sexual function, sleep, social support, dialysis staff encouragement, patient satisfaction and the SF-36 items which include overall

health rating items and 2 domains; 1) the physical domain components consisted of physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health problems, pain, and general health perceptions and 2) the mental domain components consisted of emotional well being or mental health, role limitations due to emotional health problems, social functioning, and energy/fatigue or vitality. The better status patients had the higher

score. For our hemodialysis patients; we compared the quality of life measured in 4 groups of patients

according to the Hb level ≥ 4 -6g/dl, >6 -8 g/dl, >8 -10 g/dl and >10 -12 g/dl.

Table 3: Kidney disease specific parameter score and Hb groups

Parameter	Hb groups				p-value
	≥ 4 -6 (n=14)	>6 -8 (n=22)	>8 -10 (n=14)	>10 -12 (n=10)	
Symptom/problem list (12items) 14a-k, l	37.35	54.35	68.89	79.16	0.000
Effects of kidney disease (8items) 15a-h	27.00	40.05	40.17	46.87	0.159
Burden of kidney disease (4items) 12a-d	2.23	7.38	16.51	30.00	0.000
Work status (2items) 20, 21	14.28	15.90	17.85	50.00	0.014
Cognitive function (3items) 13b, d, f	54.28	62.12	63.80	66.66	0.000
Quality of social interaction (3items) 13a, c, e	50.95	53.33	60.00	80.00	0.073
Sexual function (2items) 16a, b	38.39	47.15	40.17	38.75	0.114
Sleep (4items) 17,18a-c	25.00	47.15	35.00	46.00	0.114
Social support (2items) 19a, b	33.33	42.42	39.28	56.66	0.159
Dialysis staff encouragement (2items) 24a, b	91.07	96.02	89.28	91.25	0.483
Patient satisfaction (1item) 23	47.61	59.08	57.14	46.66	0.105

As shown in Table 3, it was found that at the different Hb levels, there were significant differences in the kidney symptom / problem scores ($p=0.000$), the burden of kidney disease scores ($p=0.000$), the work satisfaction scores ($p=0.014$) and the cognitive function scores ($p=0.000$). About SF-36, all of the physical domains were significantly different: physical function scores ($p=0.000$), role physical scores ($p=0.045$), pain scores ($p=0.000$) and general health component scores ($p=0.004$) in the different Hb level groups as shown

in Table 4. For mental domain components, the differences between 4 groups of Hb levels were significant in the variety of quality of life domains: emotional wellbeing score ($p=0.006$), role emotion scores ($p=0.000$), social function scores ($p=0.000$) and energy/fatigue scores ($p=0.026$). But the difference was not significant statistically in physical component summary ($p=0.352$), mental component summary ($p=0.236$) and overall general health ($p=0.058$) scores.

Table 4: SF-36 parameter scores and Hb groups

Parameter	Hb groups				p-value
	≥ 4 -6 (n=14)	>6 -8 (n=22)	>8 -10 (n=14)	>10 -12 (n=10)	
Physical functioning (10items) 3a-j	33.57	31.59	51.42	85.00	0.000
Role-physical (4items) 4a-d	12.50	15.90	17.85	50.00	0.045
Pain (2items) 7, 8	20.17	14.77	55.17	69.50	0.000
General health (5 item) 1,11a-d	13.21	17.95	26.07	43.50	0.004
Emotional well being (5items) 9b, c, d, f, h	31.42	34.18	39.14	70.40	0.006
Role-emotional (3items) 5a-c	21.42	21.21	35.71	100	0.000
Social function (2items) 6, 10	14.28	21.59	28.57	50.00	0.000
Energy/fatigue (4items) 9a, e, g, i	23.57	27.95	36.07	65.00	0.026
Physical component summary	19.86	20.05	37.62	61.87	0.352
Mental component summary	22.67	26.23	34.87	71.35	0.236
Overall health	26.42	43.18	46.42	66.00	0.058

DISCUSSION

Anemia affects almost all patients with end stage renal disease, reduces quality of life, and is a risk factor for early death [13, 14]. Higher hemoglobin (Hb) targets have been widely advocated because of data from observational studies showing that higher Hb is associated with improved survival and quality of life [15-17], but higher Hb targets may cause access thrombosis and hypertension and are costly [18]. Earlier Food and drug administration in United States (US FDA) suggested that increase in Hb levels to values

more than 12 g/dl may be associated with increased morbidity and mortality and asserted a safe Hb target of 10 g/dL, but in 2007 US FDA again recommended a Hb level of 10 to 12 g/dl [9]. Amidst these controversies coupled with the paucity of such studies in this region of the country, the present study has been under taken to evaluate various KDQOL domains at different Hb levels in a cohort of 60 ESRD patients on maintenance hemodialysis at our institution. An attempt is also made to arrive at safe Hb target level.

The improvement in various quality of life domains was mostly associated with increasing Hb levels in the KDQOL-SF 36 scores. The highest scores mostly occurred in the >10-12 group. Table-3 clearly shows that at the different Hb levels, there were statistically significant differences in the kidney symptom / problem scores, the burden of kidney disease scores, the work satisfaction scores and the cognitive function scores. But for effects of kidney disease, quality of social interaction, sexual function, sleep, social support, and dialysis staff encouragement and patient satisfaction scores the difference was not significant.

About SF-36, all of the physical domains were significantly different: physical function, role physical, pain and general health component in the different Hb level groups as shown in Table 4. For mental domain components, the differences between four Hb level

groups were significant in the variety of quality of life domains: emotional wellbeing, role emotion, social function and energy/fatigue. But the difference was not significant statistically in physical component summary, mental component summary and overall general health scores.

Table 5 & 6 below compares the results of our study with previous studies. Our study is in correlation with Alexander M *et al.* [22], in not showing any association between increasing Hb levels and emotional status, sexual activity or cognition. As in Nancy G [24] study target range of 11-12 g/dl yielded significant improvements in both physical and mental health related QOL evaluations. Compared to all previous studies more number of KDQOL SF-36 parameters has shown statistically significant improvement with increasing Hb levels especially at Hb levels of 10-12 g/dl.

Table5: Comparison of kidney disease specific parameter with previous studies

Author	Year	Symptom / Problem list	Effect of Kidney dis.	Burden of kidney dis.	Work status	Cognitive function	Quality of social life	Sexual life	Sleep	Social support	Dialysis staff Encourage.	Patient satisfaction
Carmichael P <i>et al.</i> [19]	2000	ns	++	++	ns	ns	ns	ns	++	ns	++	ns
Rachael L <i>et al.</i> [20]	2005	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fernando V. [21]	2005	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alexander M <i>et al.</i> [22]	2007	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Joshi VJ <i>et al.</i> [23]	2010	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	++	++
Nancy G. [24]	2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Present study	2012	++	ns	++	++	++	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

++ p<0.01 + p<0.05 ns=not significant

Table 6: Comparison of SF-36 kidney parameter with previous studies

Author	Year	Physical Functioning	Role physical	Pain	General health	Emotional wellbeing	Role emotion	Social functioning	Energy/fatigue	Physical comp.sum.	Mental comp.sum.	Overall health
Carmichael P <i>et al.</i> [19]	2000	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rachael I <i>et al.</i> [20]	2005	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fernando V [21]	2005	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Alexander M <i>et al.</i> [22]	2007	++	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	++	ns	ns	ns
Joshi VJ <i>et al.</i> [23]	2010	++	ns	ns	ns	++	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	++
Nancy G [24]	2011	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Present study	2012	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	ns	ns	ns

++ p<0.01 + p<0.05 ns=not significant

CONCLUSION

Early treatment of anemia targeting Hb between >10-12 g/dl in ESRD patients on maintenance hemodialysis increase the scores of some of the KDQOL SF 36 domains and definitely improve the QOL of these patients. Small sample size, nonrandomized, unselected cohort are the limitations of our study To draw better

conclusions further studies need to be randomized, longitudinal, conducted on properly selected larger cohort over longer period.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the chairman and the principal of our institution for permitting to undertake the study and

we are indebted to the ESRD patients on hemodialysis for their extreme cooperation throughout the study. We also acknowledge the immense help received from the authors whose articles are cited in the references.

REFERENCES

1. Saul N; Anemia in chronic kidney disease: Causes, diagnosis, treatment. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 2006; 73(3): 289-297.
2. Levin NW; Quality of life and hematocrit level. *Am J Kidney Dis.*, 1992; 20(1 Suppl 1): 16-20.
3. Levin N, Lazarus J, Nissenson A; National Cooperative rHu Erythropoietin Study in patients with chronic renal failure – an interim report. The National Cooperative rHu Erythropoietin Study group. *Am J Kidney Dis.*, 1993; 22(2 Suppl 1): 3.
4. Drueke TB, Locatelli F, Clyne N, Eckardt KU, Macdougall IC, Tsakiris D *et al.*; Normalization of hemoglobin level in patients with chronic kidney disease and anemia. *N Engl J Med.*, 2006; 355(20): 2071-2084.
5. Phrommintikul A, Hass SJ, Elsik M, Klum H; Morality and target hemoglobin concentrations in anemic patients with chronic kidney disease treated with erythropoietin; a meta analysis. *Lancet*, 2007; 369: 381-388.
6. Besarba A, Bolton WK, Browne JK, Egrie JC, Nissenson AR, Okamoto DM *et al.*; The effects of normal as compared with low hematocrit values in patients with cardiac disease who are receiving hemodialysis and epoetin. *N Engl J Med.*, 1998; 339(9): 584-590.
7. Sing A, Szczech L, Tang K, Barnhart H, Sapp S, Wolfson M; Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med.*, 2006; 355(20): 2085-2098.
8. Fishbane S, Nissenson AR; The new FDA label for erythropoietin treatment: how does it affect hemoglobin target? *Kidney Int.*, 2007; 72 (7): 806-813.
9. Canadian Erythropoietin Study Group; Association between recombinant human erythropoietin and quality of life and exercise capacity of patients receiving hemodialysis. *Canadian Erythropoietin Study Group. BMJ*, 1990; 300(6724): 573-578.
10. Foley R; Erythropoietin; physiology and molecular mechanisms. *Heart Fail Rev.*, 2008; 13(4): 405-414.
11. Hays R, Kallich J, Mapes D, Coons S, Amin N; *Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF™)* In: Carter W, editors. *A manual for Use and Scoring*, 1997: 1-43.
12. Foley RN; Effects of normalizing hemoglobin level in hemodialysis patients with asymptomatic cardiomyopathy. *Kidney Int.*, 2000; 58(3): 1325-1335.
13. Klang B, Bjorvell H, Clyne N; Quality of life in pre dialytic uremic patients. *Qual Life Res.*, 1996; 5: 109-116.
14. Mann JF; What are the short term and long term consequences of CRF patients? *Nephrol Dial Transplant.*, 1999; 14 (2): 29-36.
15. Humphries JE; Anemia of renal failure – use of erythropoietin. *Med Clin North Am.*, 1992; 76(3): 711-725.
16. Mc Mohan LP, Masson K, Skinner SL, Burge CM, Grigg LE, Becker GJ; Effects of hemoglobin normalization on quality of life and cardiovascular parameters in end stage renal failure. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.*, 2000; 15(9): 1425-1430.
17. Keown PA; Quality of life in end stage renal disease patients during recombinant human erythropoietin therapy. The Canadian Erythropoietin Study Group. *Contrib. Nephrol.*, 1991; 88: 81-86.
18. Tonelli M, Winkelmayer WC, Jindal KK, Owen WF, Manns BJ; The cost-effectiveness of maintaining higher hemoglobin targets with erythropoietin in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int.*, 2003; 64(1): 295-304.
19. Carmichael P, Popoola J, John I, Stevens PE, Carmichael AR; Assessment of quality of life in a single centre dialysis population using the KDQOL-SFTm questionnaire, *Quality of Life Research*, 2000; 9(2): 195-205.
20. Rachael L; Quality of life in CKD: A cross sectional analysis in renal research institute-CKD study. *AJKD*, 2005; 45 (4): 656, 66.
21. Fernando V; Quality of life benefits of early treatment of anemia. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.*, 2005; 15(3): 23-28.
22. Alexander Marcus *et al.*; Association of anemia correction with HRQOL in patients not on dialysis. *CMRO*, 2007; 23 (12): 2997-3008.
23. Joshi VJ; Validation of the kidney disease quality of life-short form: A cross-sectional study of a dialysis-targeted health measure in Singapore. *BMC Nephrology*, 2010; 11: 36.
24. Nancy G; Effect of higher Hb levels on health related quality of life parameters. *Nephrol Nurs J.*, 2003; 30(1): 75-78.