

Research Article

Study on the Effect of Isolation Period in the Aggressive Behavior of *Trichogaster fasciata*

T. K. Mandal and B. Nandi*

Department of Zoology, Malda College, Malda-732101, West Bengal, India

Corresponding author

B. Nandi

Email: nandi.tidyut@yahoo.com

Abstract: We tested the effect of isolation period on the aggressiveness of an anabantid fish *Trichogaster fasciata*. A pair of male fishes were placed in an aquarium (12" x 9" x 9"), isolated by a removable opaque partition. The fishes were of comparable size and weight (varying not more than 10 % in weight). Chemical conditions of all aquaria were similar (temperature 30-32°C; pH 6.8-7.1; dissolved oxygen content 5.8-6.4 ppm). After 5, 10, 15 and 20 days the opaque partition was removed and the fish were left to interact for 30 minutes. The aggressive drive was analyzed by different agonistic behavior. Total duration, latency of orientation and mouth biting increased with the increased period of isolation. But lateral spread, circling chasing and air gulping decreased with the increased period of isolation. This study showed that long-term social isolation though increased total duration of aggressiveness but except mouth biting all other aggressive motor patterns decreased in *Trichogaster fasciata*.

Keywords: Aggressive behavior, *Trichogaster fasciata*, agonistic display, anabantid fish

INTRODUCTION

Within group living or colonial animals, to be successful individuals need to know specific details about their environment and their status relative to other individuals. Animals gain such information either directly through interactions, or indirectly through observation [1].

Aggression is defined as threats or harmful actions directed toward another individual. In animals, aggressive behaviors are a means of communication. Animals use aggressive displays, threats and attacks to resolve competitive disputes over resources (territory, food) or to increase their reproductive potential. Aggression serves various adaptive functions, such as the establishment of dominance relationships and hierarchies and the competition for key resources such as food, shelter, or mates and territories [2], and therefore plays a major role in Darwinian fitness. Development of a stable and peaceful dominance hierarchy benefits everyone because fighting is energetically costly, potentially injurious and therefore not to be done on a regular basis. However, it goes without saying that the low-ranking subordinates are not necessarily living the happiest existence. Their access to food is limited, so their growth rate is slower than that of dominants [3-5].

Fishes are very excellent model to study ethology because of their well visible constructive behavior, simple and few highly stereotype motor patterns and can easily maintained in the laboratory. Ethological studies of fish behavior are limited to only a few groups, namely on *Gasterosteus* (Sticklebacks), cichlids, catfish, siamese fighting fish, zebrafish, centrarchid, cyprinodontidae, salmonids [6-11]. But

there are few studies on the ethology of anabantid fishes.

Anabantid fishes are excellent model for comparative ethological studies for several physiological and behavioral reasons. The 16 known genera contain about 50 species distributed throughout most of the southern Asia, India and Central Africa. Fishes of the family Anabantidae, often called labyrinth fishes, possess an additional respiratory organ the labyrinth in the upper part of gill chamber, which functions in air breathing.

Trichogaster fasciata is an anabantid fish of North India, reaching a maximum length of about 4¹/₂ inches. The males are slightly larger than the females, the colour patterns possessed by the male and females are in general, somewhat similar, but the males are much more brilliantly coloured than the females during breeding seasons (April to May). The general habitat of this fish are coromandal coast as far south as the river Krishna, the estuaries of the Ganges, Cachar and Assam, Punjab, N.W. Provinces and British Burma. They occur in stagnant water and even in slightly fresh water; their most typical habitats are wet rice paddy fields and village tanks and ponds. The aim of our present study was to see the effect of isolation period in the aggressive behavior of *Trichogaster fasciata*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Trichogaster fasciata were collected from the local water bodies of Malda district of West Bengal and transferred to the laboratory, where they were acclimatized prior to our study. Only male fishes were used in the experiment to avoid variability in social interactions due to sexual bias.

After acclimatization a pair of fishes were placed in an aquarium (12" x 9" x 9"), isolated by a removable opaque partition. The fishes were of comparable size and weight (varying not more than 10 % in weight). Chemical conditions of all aquaria were similar (temperature 30-32°C; pH 6.8-7.1; dissolved oxygen content 5.8-6.4 ppm). After 5, 10, 15 and 20 days the opaque partition was removed and the fish

were left to interact for 30 minutes, a duration that exceeded the necessary time to determine a clean winner of the contest. After each interaction, the fish were separated again by placing back the partition. The following agonistic behavioral interactions (agonistic display) were observed according to the ethogram presented in Table 1, in our present work.

Table 1: Ethogram of aggressive behavior of *Trichogaster fasciata* during dyadic interaction

Behavioral Pattern	Description
Frontal Displays	After removal of the partition the fishes oriented and moved forward towards each other with erects dorsal and anal fins and flares its body flank toward the opponent. During that the colour of the fishes became darker. Generally both the fishes touched each other with their filiform pelvic fins. In our experiment latency to orientation was observe. It is the time taken for frontal display after removal of partition.
Circling	Two fish approach one another in opposite directions and with erected fins, and in an antiparallel position circle each other usually ascending in the water column. It can last from a few seconds to minutes.
Lateral Spread	In lateral spread both the fish oriented side by side with their all fins in stretched condition, mouth may remain open or close. The fish undulated their tail vigorously (tail beating), moved forward and surpassed each other. Generally in most of the time fish engaged in tail beating in anti-parallel orientation i.e. with their heads in opposite direction. Occasionally they fought in parallel orientation i.e. with their heads in the same direction.
Mouth Bite	Fish opens and closes its mouth in contact with the body surface of its opponent, usually near the more ventral or posterior parts of the body.
Chase	The fish swims rapidly toward the opponent from a distance with an intention of attack but failed to touch the body of the opponent. During the straight forward thrust the fins (dorsal and anal) remained closed which were stretched on coming closure to its opponent. Mouth remained opened or closed.
Air gulping	It is very common non-aggressive motor pattern. During fighting fishes took O ₂ from the air.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total duration of fight increased significantly with increasing period of isolation. The time to latency of orientation increased significantly with increased period of isolation (Table 2). Tail beating (anti parallel and

parallel), circling, chasing and air gulping decreased significantly between 10, 15 and 20 days isolated groups (Table 2). The mean mouth beating scores in different isolate groups increased with increased periods of isolation (Table 2).

Table 2: Effects of isolation period on aggressive behavior of *Trichogaster fasciata*. Values are means with SE of 5 experiments.

		D	A	Y	S
		5	10	15	20
	Total Duration (Min.)	7.2±1.45	12.04±1.89	15.8±2.19	21.07±1.16
	Latency of Orientation(Min.)	1.46±0.61	3.52±0.87	5.60±0.78	7.35±0.61
Lateral Spread	Parallel/minute	0.66±0.17	0.68±0.10	0.45±0.10	0.32±0.03
	Anti Parallel/minute	5.81±1.45	5.96±1.52	2.20±0.46	1.20±0.15
	Circling/minute	0.66±0.20	0.67±0.15	0.27±0.20	0.15±0.05
	Mouth Biting/minute	2.23±0.61	2.93±0.57	2.97±0.67	3.10±0.25
	Chase/minute	0.97±0.26	0.99±0.28	0.82±0.25	0.74±0.12
	Air gulping/minute	1.27±0.33	1.34±0.32	0.78±0.22	0.50±0.12

The effect of increasing period of isolation increased total duration of aggressive behavior. But among the different aggressive motor patterns, only mouth biting increase with the increased period of isolation. Other aggressive and non-aggressive motor

patterns like tail beating, circling, chasing and air gulping increased up to 10 days and then decreased.

Frank & Wilhelmi [12] found an increase in the duration of fighting and modification of the agonistic profile in *Xiphophorus helleri* after 14 days of

isolation. However, after longer isolation (4 weeks), Frank *et al.* [13] recorded a reduction in the aggressive motivation in the same species. Hinkel and Maier (1974) [14] found increased aggressiveness in *Betta splendens* only after 72 hrs. of social deprivation. They also found a reduction of the latency for attacks and an increase in the time spent on attacks against the fishes mirror image. Cichlid fishes can also display varied responses. In *Haplochromis burtoni*, for example, social isolation increases aggressiveness over short periods, but these effects diminished over longer periods [15]. Moreover, the angelfish, *Pterophyllum scalare*, does not alter its aggressiveness when isolated for short periods [16]. This theme was revised by Gomez-Laplaza and Morgan [17] for teleost fishes.

Lorenz's theory of 'Specific Action Potentials' states that isolation would have a tendency to lower the threshold for the Stimuli effective in releasing a particular activity and would serve to accentuate the activity. Thus in this study one might expect that with longer period of isolation the aggressive behavior would increase and the threshold to different aggressive activities would decrease. Result of our study showed that one aggressive motor pattern i.e. mouth biting increased with increases period of isolation, but no decrease in the latency to aggressive motor patterns is seen in *Trichogaster fasciata*. In short, this study showed that long-term social isolation decreases aggressiveness in *Trichogaster fasciata*, and that not all anabantid fishes display a similar effect.

REFERENCES

- McGregor PK and Peake TM; Communication networks: Social environments for receiving signaling behavior. *Acta Ethologica*, 2000; 2:71-81.
- Felicity A and Huntingford AKT; *Animal Conflict*, Chapman & Hall, London, 1987.
- Koebele BP; Growth and the size hierarchy effect: an experimental assessment of three proposed mechanisms; activity differences, disproportional food acquisition, physiological stress. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 1985; 12: 181-188.
- Metcalfe NB; Intraspecific variation in competitive ability and food intake in salmonids; consequences for energy budgets and growth rates. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 1986; 28: 525-531.
- Brown GE and Brown JA; Does kin-biased territorial behavior increase kin-biased foraging in juvenile salmonids?. *Behavioral Ecology*, 1996; 7: 24-29.
- Billy AJ and Lilley NR; The effects of early and late androgen treatments on the behavior of *Sarotherodon mossambicus* (pisces: cichlidae). *Hormones and Behavior*, 1985; 19: 311-330.
- Hogan JA and Bols RJ; Primary of aggressive motivation in *Betta splendens*. *Animal Behaviour*, 1980; 28: 135-142.
- Todd NE, Sica A and Trahey R; Aggression, interactions, and preference for males in female Siamese fighting fish (*Betta splendens*). *Journal of Behavioral and Neuroscience Research*, 2008; 6: 15-28.
- Oliveira RF, Silva JF and Simoes JM; Fighting Zebrafish: Characterization of aggressive behavior and winner-loser effects. *Zebrafish*, 2011; 8(2): 73-81.
- Brown JA and Colgan PW; The ontogeny of social behavior in 4 species of centrarchid fish. *Behaviour*, 1985; 92 : 254-276.
- Francis RC; Experimental effects of agonistic behavior in the paradise fish, *Macropodus opercularis*. *Behaviour*, 1983; 85: 292-313.
- Franck D and Wilhelmi U; Veranderungen der aggressive Handlungsbereitschaft männlicher schwertträger, *Xiphophorus helleri*, nach sozialer isolation (Fische, Poeciliidae). *Experientia*, 1973; 29: 896-897.
- Franck D, Hannes RP, Lanffermann H and Ribowski A; Effects of social isolation on aggressiveness in fish with special reference to the swordtail (*Xiphophorus helleri*). *Behavioural Processes*, 1985; 10: 415-427.
- Hinkel TJ and Maier R; Isolation and aggression in Siamese fighting fish (*Betta splendens*). *Psychology Reports*, 1974; 34: 1323-1326.
- Ferno A; The effect of social isolation on the aggressive and sexual behavior in a cichlid fish, *Haplochromis burtoni*. *Behaviour*; 65: 43-61.
- Gomez-Laplaza LM and Morgan E; Social isolation, aggression, and dominance in attacks in juvenile angelfish, *Pterophyllum scalare*. *Aggressive Behavior*, 19: 213-222.
- Gomez-Laplaza LM and Morgan E; Laboratory studies of the effects of short-term isolation on aggressive behavior in fish. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour Physiology*, 2000; 33: 63-102.