

## Research Article

# Presumptive and Definitive Identification of *Aeromonas* from infected *Pangasius hypophthalmus* in Culture Ponds of West Godavari and Krishna Districts of Andhra Pradesh

M. Phani Kumar\*, K. Sree Ramulu

Department of Zoology, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam- 530 003, Andhra Pradesh, India.

### \*Corresponding author

M. Phani Kumar

Email: [phdphani@gmail.com](mailto:phdphani@gmail.com)

---

**Abstract:** The paper deals with the presumptive identification of *Aeromonas* definitive identification of three species of *Aeromonas* ie *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Aeromonas caviae* and *Aeromonas sobria* in various organs of *Pangasius hypophthalmus* infected with red disease in culture ponds of West Godavari and Krishna districts of Andhra Pradesh.

**Keywords:** *Pangasius hypophthalmus*, Red disease, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Aeromonas caviae* and *Aeromonas sobria*

## INTRODUCTION

Commercial aquaculture production of catfish, *Pangasius hypophthalmus* has increased rapidly in recent years and has contributed significantly to food security and economic development. It is well adapted to low oxygen water and can survive on a low diet fishmeal. In India, Andhra Pradesh is the leading state with 25,000 ha under *Pangasius hypophthalmus* culture in freshwater sector. The culture of *Pangasius hypophthalmus* can be considered as a unique aquatic farming system in many ways. Production is the fastest growth recorded in any aquaculture sector, ever, based on a single species, superseding the production per unit for any form of primary production [1]. Bacteria always present in the water environment, multiply and invade to fish and spread the disease when reaching the suitable condition [2]. *Aeromonas hydrophila* has been recovered from a wide range of freshwater fish species worldwide [3]. *A. hydrophila* has been associated with tail and fin rot, haemorrhagic septicaemia and epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) [3, 4].

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Diseased *Pangasius* fish samples were collected from culture ponds of Kaikaluru and Bhimavaram of Krishna and west Godavari districts in Andhra Pradesh, India. The collected fish exhibited red ulcerations/lesions on the body followed by reddening at the tips of paired and unpaired fins. In some of the samples there was loss of scales appearing reddish white patches with deep wound like appearance. The vital organs like kidney and liver were observed for structural changes and later cut for further examination along with body slime on *Aeromonas* selective agar

plates. Tests used for identification of *Aeromonas* are categorized as Presumptive identification tests: the test includes *Aeromonas* isolation media [5], Growth in Nutrient broth, Motility test, Grams staining, Oxidase test, Catalase test.

**Definitive identification tests:** This involves the identification of *Aeromonas*, up to *Species* level from samples found positive using a set of biochemical tests.

**Tests related to carbohydrate Metabolism:** Sugar fermentation, Methyl red test, proskauer Voges test, TSI.

**Tests related to Aminoacid & protein metabolism:** Indole test, Lysine, ornithine decarboxylase & arginine dehydrolase test.

**Tests for both carbohydrate & protein metabolism:** citrate Utilization

**Other tests –** Salt tolerance test, Nitrate reduction test

## RESULTS

Through presumptive identification, *Aeromonas* is identified and through definitive identification, *Aeromonas Species A. caviae*, *A. hydrophila*, and *A. sobria* are identified.

## DISCUSSION

This disease often occurs during the change from the dry to rainy season and during the flood season in MRD [6]. In the present findings more number of

infections was recorded in rainy seasons. This is similar with the findings of Khoi *et al.* [6]. In the present study, it was observed that the signs of Red disease associated with often fraying and reddening of fins, accompanied by irregular, variably sized areas of de-pigmentation as well as reddish pigmentation that can develop anywhere on the body surface. The skin overlying these sites is eventually lost, exposing the muscle below. These open sores or ulcers may remain superficial or they can be extensive and invade deeply into muscle, revealing underlying bone in some cases. These ulcers often have ragged white margins bordered by a narrow zone of hemorrhage. Similar conditions of Red Disease were also reported in the rural carp culture by several authors [7]. Chronic motile *aeromonad* infections manifest themselves primarily as ulcerous forms of disease, in which dermal lesions with focal hemorrhage and inflammation are apparent. Both the dermis and epidermis are eroded and the underlying musculature becomes severely necrotic [8]. The liver may become pale or have a greenish coloration while the kidney may become swollen and friable. These organs are apparently attacked by bacterial toxins and lose their structural integrity. The liver of infected fish showed the same symptoms as discussed by Huizinga *et al.* [8].

Samples found positive for *Aeromonas* were selected for species identification and accordingly biochemical tests were conducted as per *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology*. The putative isolates so selected were short rods, gm negative and were glucose fermentors. Further it is noted that all isolates set for species test were identified as *Aeromonas hydrophila* thus confirming this work done by many researchers in this direction [9-11]. The study indicates that motile aeromonad septicemias are generally mediated by stress. Elevated water temperature [11], a decrease in dissolved oxygen concentration, or increases in ammonia and carbon dioxide concentrations have been shown to promote stress in fish and trigger motile aeromonad and *Pseudomonas* infections coinciding the work of Walters and Plumb [10]. The monitoring of environmental variables can therefore enable one to forecast stressful situations and possibly avoid problems before they arise. As stated by Rychlicki and Zarnecki [9] wherever this red disease occurs pond fish should not be handled but transferred only after water temperature is high enough for fish to be active and feeding normally. Mortalities can be reduced dramatically (80-90%) when fish, at the time of colder months were given a suitable antibiotic.

**Table: 1 Presumptive Identification of *Aeromonas* in infected *Pangasius hypophthalmus***

| Culture plate | GROWTH ON <i>Aeromonas</i> MEDIUM (colony characteristics) | BROTH CHARACTERS |             | MOTILITY | STAINING | OXIDISE | CATALASE | RESULT                   |
|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|--------------------------|
|               |  | Test tube        | Small Flask |          |          |         |          |                          |
| 1             | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 2             | Medium green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                       | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | - ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 3             | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 4             | Medium green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                       | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | - ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 5             | medium green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                       | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 6             | irregular green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                    | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | - ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 7             | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 8             | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 9             | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 10            | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 11            | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | + ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |
| 12            | small green colony <i>Aeromonas</i>                        | + ve             | + ve        | + ve     | - ve     | + ve    | - ve     | <i>Aeromonas</i> present |

Table: 2 Definitive Identification of *Aeromonas* from the infected *Pangasius hypophthalmus*

| Culture plate | Colony picked (Isolates) | DECARBOXYLASE |      |      | TSI        |           |                  | SF       |          |          |          | ST   |      |      |      | MOF | I    | MR   | VP   | NIT  | C    | SPECIES       |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|------|------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|
|               |                          | ARG           | LYS  | ORN  | ACID BUL T | ALK SAL T | H <sub>2</sub> S | G        | S        | L        | M        | 0%   | 3%   | 8%   | 11%  |     |      |      |      |      |      |               |
| 1             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | - ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 2             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | - ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 3             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | - ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 4             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | - ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.caviae      |
| 5             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | + ve | + ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.sobria      |
| 6             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | + ve | + ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.sobria      |
| 7             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | + ve | + ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 8             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | + ve | + ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.sobria      |
| 9             | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.caviae      |
| 10            | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 11            | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.hydrophilla |
| 12            | Aeromonas                | - ve          | + ve | + ve | + ve       | - ve      | + ve             | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | A+G<br>+ | + ve | + ve | - ve | - ve | I   | + ve | + ve | - ve | + ve | + ve | A.sobria      |

SF: Sugar fermentation, G: Glucose, S: Sucrose, L: Lactose, M: Maltose, A<sup>+</sup>: acid production, G<sup>+</sup>: gas production,

MR: Methyl red test, VP: Proskauer Voges test, TSI: Triple sugar iron I: Indole test, LYS and ORNI: Lysine, Ornithine decarboxylase, ARG: arginine dehydrolyase test. C: citrate Utilization, MOF: Marine oxidation fermentation (I: inert) i.e. Neither Oxidation nor Reduction, ST: Salt tolerance test, NIT: Nitrate reduction test.

REFERENCES

1. Phuong NT, Oanh DTH; Striped catfish (*Pangasianodon hypophthalmus*) aquaculture in Viet Nam: an unprecedented development within a decade. In: De Silva, S.S., Davy, F.B. (Eds.), Success Stories in Asian Aquaculture. Springer, NACA and IDRC, Dordrecht, Bangkok and Ottawa, 2009;133-149.
2. Valerie I, Roberts RJ, Bromage NR; Bacterial disease of fish, 1<sup>st</sup> edition. Institute of Aquaculture, Oxford, Blackwell Scientific Publications, U.K. 1993.
3. Austin B, Adams C; Fish pathogens. In: Austin B, Altwegg M, Gosling PJ, Joseph S (eds) The genus *Aeromonas*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1996; 197–243.
4. Roberts RJ; Epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS): progress since 1985. In: Flegel TW, MacRae IH (eds) Diseases in Asian aquaculture III. Asian Fisheries Society, Manila, 1997;125–128.
5. Holt JG, Krieg NR, Sneath PHA, Staley JT, Williams ST; Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, Ninth Edition. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland, 1994; 787.
6. Khoi; Farming system practices of seafood production in Vietnam: the case study of *Pangasius* small-scale farming in the Mekong River Delta. ASEANbusiness Case studies, Center for ASEAN studies, No. 27, Antwerpen, Belgium. 2008.
7. Faruk MAR, Alam MJ, Sarker MMR, Kabir MB; Status of fish disease and health management practices in rural freshwater aquaculture of Bangladesh. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 2004; 7 (12): 2092-2098.
8. Huizinga HW, Esch GW, Hazen TC; Histopathology of red-sore disease (*Aeromonas hydrophila*) in naturally and experimentally infected largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides* (Lacépède). Journal of Fish Diseases, 1979; 2: 263 - 277.
9. Rychlicki Z, Zarnecki S; Die Zator Karpfenaufzuchtmethod und deren Einfluss auf die Beseitigung der Bauchwassersucht. Z. Fisch, 1957; 5: 423 - 442.
10. Walters GR, Plumb JA; Environmental stress and bacterial infection in channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus* Rafinesque. Journal of Fish Biology, 1980; 17: 177 - 185.
11. Esch GW, Hazen TC; Stress and body condition in a population of largemouth bass: implications for red-sore disease. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society, 1980; 109: 532- 536.