Pelvic Textiloma Mimicking a Hydatid Cyst: A Case Report
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Abstract

Textiloma, is a rare but well-known postoperative complication. We report the case of a 39 year-old female patient operated 5 years for an ovarian cyst. The postoperative course was uneventful until 2019 when she presented a bowel obstruction. Investigations revealed a pelvic cyst which was thought to be a hydatid cyst, but operative findings showed textiloma.
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INTRODUCTION

Textiloma, also called “gossypiboma”, is a rare but well-known postoperative complication. It is used to describe a foreign body made up of compress (s) or surgical drape (s) found at a surgical site [1]. With a frequency of 22%, gynecological textilomas represent the second most common textilomas after intraperitoneal textilomas (52%) [2]. Due to its varied clinical and radiological presentations, textiloma can be confused with several focal lesions, thus representing a diagnostic dilemma [3]; also a precise history, combined with clinical and radiological data are essential to suspect its diagnosis. Thus we report the observation of a 39-year-old patient with a history of pelvic surgery for an ovarian cyst, in which the diagnosis of a pelvic textiloma, whose appearance was similar to that of a hydatid cyst, was made.

OBSERVATION

A 39-year-old patient had been urgently admitted for a non-febrile intestinal occlusion developing for 3 days. This syndrome consisted of abdominal distension, inability to have a bowel and gas and vomiting without other associated clinical signs. The patient had a history of pelvic surgery for an ovarian cyst dating from 5 years before her admission but without documents on the pathology and the nature of the surgery performed.

After conditioning, an unprepared abdominal x-ray (ASP) was performed showing larger than high hydro-aeric levels pointing to a hail-like digestive occlusion (Figure 1).

Considering the aspect of the abdominal plain radiograph, an abdominal computed tomography (CT) with and without injection of contrast was carried out subsequently revealing a distension of the intestinal loops with or without the presence of fluid levels. CT also showed a voluminous pelvic cystic formation measuring 7.5 x 10 x 8.5 cm, thin-walled, with hypodense content, site of multiple floating hyperdense membranes, unmodified after injection of the contrast. Large bowel was flattened and there were no signs of complications (Figure 2).

With this tomodensitometric aspect of a thin-walled cystic formation on which there are floating membranes, not enhanced by contrast and, the diagnosis of pelvic hydatid cyst was in the top of the list.

Surgical exploration found an 8 x 7 cm mass surrounded by the great omentum, containing a purulent collection plus a 10 x 7 cm surgical pack, adherent to the sigmoid wall and to the intestins, 60 cm from the ileocecal junction. The postoperative course was unremarkable.
Fig-1: Abdominal plain radiograph in standing position showing wider than high fluid levels

Fig-2: Abdominal CT in axial slices without (A, B) and after injection (C) and coronal reconstruction (D). Presence of fluid levels (A) and presence in the pelvic area of a large pelvic cystic formation, thin-walled, hypodense content, seat of floating membranes, spontaneously hyperdense, unmodified after injection of PDC

DISCUSSION

Textilomas can physiopathologically cause two types of reactions: a fibroblastic reaction, such as a foreign body reaction or an exudative reaction which often leads to an abscess (pain, fever, weight loss, and fistula). The second reaction can also lead to intestinal obstruction or perforation, caused by surgical adhesions, granulomatous peritonitis and sepsis [3, 4]. It can be discovered in the first few days after surgery or can go asymptomatic for many years and be discovered accidentally. In our case, the surgical pads left in the patient's body did not produce any symptoms for five years, this substantially corroborates with the data in the literature which report an average time of detection of 6 to 9 years [5].

The clinical presentation of gossyptipoma is variable, depending on its location and the type of biological reaction [6]. In our case, it was a bowel obstruction.

Different radiological aspects have been described in the literature [7]; and cases of textiloma simulating a hydatid cyst have been reported but in other locations: pericardial [8] thoracic [9] abdominal [2, 10].

The diagnosis of textiloma can be suggested by a simple abdomino-pelvic ultrasound which shows a well-limited fluid formation containing hyperechoic, serpiginous and striped structures, generating a posterior shadow cone: These structures correspond to the forgotten textiloma [7, 10]. In our observation, the ultrasound was not performed due to the urgency of the bowel obstruction.

CT allows a precise preoperative topographic diagnosis and performs a complete exploration of the abdominal cavity in search of associated postoperative complications. It shows suggestive aspects related to a foreign body: a spongiform appearance due to gas trapped in the tissue, or a heterogeneous appearance with hyperdense banded or hypodense vortex structures. One or more calcifications can be seen [7, 8, 10]. The latter aspect being similar to hydatid cysts as reported in our case.

The diagnosis of textiloma must be systematically evoked in an operated patient. The confirmation of this diagnosis remains however surgical. Also in a retrospective study reporting eight cases of thoracic textiloma. Ridene et al. report that the diagnosis was confirmed intraoperatively in all cases [9]. Confirmation of this diagnosis intraoperatively was also the case in our presentation.

CONCLUSION

Textiloma is a fairly frequent complication of abdominal and pelvic surgeries and must be systematically mentioned even in the face of non-specific aspects. The discovery of a textiloma is recognized as a serious fault entailing the responsibility of the surgeon, according to jurisprudence and medical law. Particular attention should therefore be paid to the use of compresses and surgical drapes during surgical procedures.
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