Introduction: The standard PCNL includes insertion of a nephrostomy tube and a Double J stent after the procedure. Tubeless PCNL i.e no nephrostomy tube following a PCNL in view of reduced morbidity and hospital stay is being implanted. This leads to our study on tubeless PCNL with the objectives of evaluation of outcomes and complications with the same and to evaluate the efficacy and safety of tubeless percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL). Material and methods: This study conducted in department of General Surgery, Subharti Medical College, Meerut where 100 patients were evaluated 56 of whom underwent standard PCNL and 46 underwent tubeless PCNL. In the group of tubeless PCNL, no nephrostomy was inserted after removal of the stone fragments. We compared the results of tubeless PCNL with those of the standard procedure. The operative time, blood loss, perioperative complications, postoperative analgesic requirements and duration of hospitalization in the two groups were analyzed. Conclusion: In our study we concluded that Tubeless PCNL is safe and effective methods of renal stone management and is comparable to the standard PCNL in terms of postoperative outcomes and safety and seem to have benefits in terms of length of hospitalization, postoperative pain and all these features might make tubeless PCNL the new standard. Keywords: Centre Exploration Tubeless PCNL.
leads to more speedy recovery and also the complications of internal stenting such as ureteral paralysis and ureteral obstruction may be avoided [10].

With the development of better instrumentation and experience of PCNL, several modifications to the procedure have taken place in order to reduce the morbidity and early return to normal lifestyle. There is an ever-growing literature base documenting several technological and procedural refinements to this approach. In tubeless PCNL, the fourth step (i.e., inserting a nephrostomy tube) is not carried out. Recently, a more modern PCNL technique was introduced: totally tubeless PCNL. In this method, a nephrostomy catheter, a double J stent, or a ureteral catheter are not inserted at the end of procedure [11].

MATERIAL AND METHODS
This prospective study was conducted at the Surgery Department in Chhatrapati Shivaji Subharti Medical College, Meerut during period from July 2017 to February 2019. Patients chosen for this work were studied from the date of their admission to surgical wards. Patients included in the study are those who underwent PCNL. Details of history, examination and investigations of those patients were collected.

STUDY DESIGN
Type of the study: Experimental Design Study. Two groups were formed: Group 1 included patients submitted to standard (with any nephrostomy tube) and Group 2 to tubeless PCNL. Using a simple randomisation method of sealed envelopes before the procedure.

SELECTION OF SUBJECT
Inclusion Criteria
- Single stone in Pelvicalycal system. (PCS)
- Single puncture Percutaneous nephrolithotomy. (PCNL)
- Intact collecting system

Exclusion Criteria
- Patients having staghorn calculi
- Solitary kidney
- CKD kidney
- Pelvic Perforations
- Requiring multiple access
- Serious bleeding
- Previous operations or drainage (Post PCN)

STUDY TOOLS
Case reporting form
Investigations
Visual analogue scale

Patients were included in the study after obtaining informed written consent. Pre and post-operative hematocrit values, blood transfusion rates, fluoroscopy durations, post-operative complications, length of hospital stay, post-operative 1st and 6th hours pain scores and analgesic requirements were compared in tubeless and standard patients. The outcomes measures of interest were: (1) post-operative pain scoring and analgesia requirements; (2) duration of hospitalization and convalescence; (3) operation time; (4) major and minor complications; (5) stone-free rates.

Study Protocol
The patients fulfilling the criteria of the study were divided randomly into two groups using a simple randomisation method of sealed envelopes before the procedure (i.e Double blind study).

STEPS
- All patients received Ceftriaxone prior to surgery.
- Anaesthesia - Spinal/GA - as per the choice of anaesthetist.
- Lithotomy position is made and Cystoscopy is done using a 20Fr rigid cystoscope.
- 5Fr ureteric catheter is introduced over 0.032" guide wire for negotiation of pelvicalyceal system, pelvicalyceal system is opacified with injecting urografin dye through the ureteric catheter.
- In prone position under fluoroscopic guidance calyceal puncture is taken with the initial puncture needle (17.5Fr).
- 0.032" guide wire is introduced over the initial puncture needle.
- Sequential tract dilation is done with ALKEN metal dilator.
- 26Fr sheath used over ALKEN dilator. 18Fr nephroscope used for nephroscopy.
- Stone fragmented with pneumolithoclast and fragments removed.
- In standard PCNL 20Fr nephrostomy tube placed in pelvicalyceal system, while in tubeless PCNL no nephrostomy tube was used.
- Haemoglobin, urine routine and microscopy and urine culture sent on POD 1.

Figure 1: Guidewire inserted over initial puncture
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our study, there were a total of 100 patients out of which 56 were in the standard group, while 44 were in the tubeless group. The highest incidence was in the standard group was seen in age group of 21-30 years and 31 to 40 years in the tubeless group and the mean age was 37.08 ± 12.72 in the standard group while in the tubeless group, it was 37.04 ± 12.57. There were a total of 24 females and 76 males. Amongst the 24 females, 10 were in the standard group and 14 in the tubeless group. Of the 76 males, 46 were in standard group, 30 were in tubeless group. In a study done by Wei-Hong, there were 659 males and 341 females. The average age was 54.4 ± 12.6 years [39]. Similar results in relation to sex were seen in study by Sreedhar Dayapule, which included 13 (32.5%) females and 27 (67.5%) males [42]. In a study by A Tyagi, 112 cases were included. In tubeless group, there were 54 cases (group A) 35 were male and 21 female patients. Male to female ratio is 1.66:1. The average age was 44.6 years with range of 20 to 65 years. In the traditional PCNL group, female ratio is 2.3:1 and average age is 48.8 years [69].

In our study, the common presenting complaints were right flank pain and left flank pain. Incidence of Left flank pain was 36% overall and incidence of right flank pain was 35%. In our study, left PCNL was performed in 60 patients, 31 were standard type and 29 were tubeless type. Right PCNL was done in 40 cases, 25 were standard group and 15 were of tubeless group. In study by Suresh Bhat, out of the 50 patients, 23 patients were operated for the right side (10 in standard group and 13 in the tubeless group) and 27 patients were operated for the left side (15 in standard group and 12 in the tubeless group) [70].

In our study, the mean pre-op Hb was 13.20 ± 0.99 in the standard group and 13.20 ± 0.79 in the tubeless group. The mean post-operative Hb was 11.80 ± 1.06 in the standard group and 12.24 ± 0.81 in the tubeless group. The post-operative Hb range was 8.6-14.6 in standard group and 8.8-16 in the tubeless group.
We found that the percentage drop in hemoglobin was 17.12 ± 8.81 while it was only 8.08 ± 6.65 in the tubeless group and it was found to be statistically significant, thereby predicting less amount of blood loss in the tubeless group. Blood transfusion was required in 2 cases in the standard group, not required in any case in the tubeless group. In the standard group 2 patients required blood transfusion (one patient required 2 units and other one required 3 units). In study by Shah H, decrease in the hemoglobin level was 0.82 mg/dl in the tubeless group while in the standard group the decrease was 0.97 mg/dl; comparative analysis in this study showed the difference to be statistically insignificant [50]. Incidentally, in study by Suresh Bhat, the hemoglobin drop in the standard group was 1.01 while in the tubeless group was 1.55, which is a contrasting evidence compared to our study [69]. In study by S Dayapule, drop in hemoglobin in the standard group was 0.5±0.5 while in the tubeless group it was 0.3±0.4 (p=0.13).

The total duration in the standard group was 76.89 ± 24.29 mins while in the tubeless group was 66.54 ± 20.34. The duration range in the standard group was 30-120 mins while in the tubeless group the range was 20-90 mins. Comparing both the groups in our study was, the difference in the time duration in both groups was found to be statistically significant. In study by A Tyagi, the mean duration for surgery, in the tubeless group was 56.4 mins while in the standard group it was significantly higher which 81.8 mins [69] was. In study by Shah H, the mean time duration in the standard group was 68.8 mins while in the tubeless group was 52.2 mins with range of 22-178 mins [50]. In study by S Dayapule, the average duration of procedure in the tubeless group was 38.5 ±7.8 mins while in the standard group the duration was 61.8 ±11.7 mins and this difference is statistically significant [42].

Analgesics were used in both the groups, comparatively; analgesics were required for more days in the standard group than the tubeless group as only 4.54% cases in tubeless group required analgesics on 3rd day, while 30.3% cases in standard group required analgesics on the 3rd day. The difference was found to be statistically significant and our study shows that analgesics were required for s shorter duration in the tubeless group compared to the standard group. The mean duration of analgesics in the standard group was 2.5 ± 0.77 while in the tubeless group was 1.63 ± 0.56 and it was found to be statistically significant. In the standard group the pain score was of 4 in most patients while in the tubeless group the pain score was of 2 signifying that there was lesser post-operative pain in the tubeless group compared to the standard group. In study by Suresh Bhat, the analgesic requirement was significantly lower in the tubeless group relative to the standard group [69]. In study by SM Aghamir, the analgesics requirement in the tubeless group was 9.8mg of morphine on an average while in the other group; it was 28.4 mg which was found to be statistically significant [52]. In study by H Shah, the postoperative pain score in tubeless group was 4.4 on day 0 and 2.8 on day 1 while in the standard group, it was 7.1 on day 0 and 5.2 on day 1 and the analgesic requirement was less in the tubeless group which was 102.7 mg of diclofenac in the tubeless group while it was 249.9mg in the standard group. Comparing the difference in the postoperative pain score and the dose of analgesics required, the difference was found to be statistically significant [50]. In study by S Dayapule, the average duration of use of analgesics in the standard group was 4.4±0.9 days while in the tubeless group it was 2.6±0.5 and difference is statistically significant. The VAS pain score in the tubeless group was 2.3±0.5 while in the standard group, it was 3.7±1.1 (p<0.0001) (42). In study by Desai et al. in 2004, they performed a prospective randomized study of patients undergoing PCNL with conventional large-bore nephrostomy drainage, small-bore nephrostomy drainage, or no nephrostomy drainage. They concluded that tubeless PCNL was associated with least amount of pain [31].

The hospital stay in the standard group was in the range of 2-7 days in the standard group while it was in the of 1-5 days in the tubeless group. The mean hospital stay in the standard group was 4.42 ± 1.61 days while in the tubeless group it was 2.52 ± 1.05 days. Comparing the hospital stay in both the groups, the difference was found to be statistically significant. In study by SM Aghamir, the average length of the tubeless group was 1.6 days while in the standard group was 5.2 days and the difference was statistically significant [52]. In study by H Shah, the hospital stay in the standard group was 56.3 hours while in the tubeless group, it was 33.6 hours and the difference is statistically significant [50]. In study By S Bhat, the duration of hospital stay was 99.84 hours in the standard group while it was 76.8 hours in the tubeless group and this was statistically significant. In study by S Dayapule, the average hospital stay in the standard group was 50.4±0.5 days while in the tubeless group; it was 3.5 ±0.8 days (p<0.0001). In study by MM Desai, the hospital stay was approx. 3.4 days in the tubeless group while it was 4.4 days in large bore PCNL and it was significant [31]. The most common complication in both the groups was fever. There were two cases of leakage from the wound site in the tubeless group. In the post-operative period, two patients in the standard group and one patient in the tubeless group developed pleural effusion.

CONCLUSIONS

This research work is carried out over a period of 1.5 years prospectively.

We conclude that
- Tubeless PCNL is safe and effective methods of renal stone management
- Tubeless PCNL is comparable to the standard
PCNL in terms of postoperative outcomes and safety and seem to have benefits in terms of length of hospitalization; postoperative pain and all these features might make tubeless PCNL the new standard.

• Early discharge also helps in reducing the financial burden to the patient.

Although prospective and larger-scale studies may be needed to confirm the result of this study, tubeless PCNL may be an alternative for the management of renal stones in selected patients.
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