Radial Head Fractures in Adults
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Abstract

**Objectives:** To study the epidemiological, anatomopathological, diagnostic, therapeutic and evolutionary aspects of patients with a radial head fracture and compare our results with those of the literature. **Introduction:** Radial head fractures are articular fractures involving the head and/or neck of the radius; they are common in young persons. These fractures pose diagnostic and therapeutic problems. Osteosynthesis of the radial head allows early post-operative rehabilitation. If osteosynthesis is not possible, radial head prosthesis is discussed. **Materials and methods:** Our series includes 10 Men and six Women with an average age of 41 years, collected over a period of five years from 2014 to 2019 in the department of Orthopaedic Surgery and Traumatology II of the Mohamed V Military Hospital of Rabat. Most of the patients were victims of falls or road accidents. Type II fractures were the most common in 50% of cases. Seven patients had associated lesions of the homolateral upper limb. Herbert's screw osteosynthesis was used in 87.5% of our patients. Arthroplastic resection was performed in two patients. No radial head prosthesis was performed in our series. The principle of early mobilization was respected for all our patients. **Results:** The average decline in our series was 13 months; the evaluation of the results was based on the MAYO CLINIC score. Functional results were excellent in 37.5% of cases, good in 37.5% of cases, average in 25% of cases. Complications found were: infection in a patient, elbow stiffness in a patient and algodystrophy in a patient. **Conclusion:** Radial head fractures are quite common. Most are the result of functional treatment or stable direct osteosynthesis. The radial head prosthesis fits perfectly into the therapeutic strategy for multilaminar radial head fractures that are not accessible to reliable osteosynthesis. **Keywords:** Radial head - Fracture - Osteosynthesis – prosthesis.

**INTRODUCTION**
Radial head fractures represent 33% of elbow fractures and 2 to 5% of all upper limb fractures [1]. Simple, isolated, non-displaced fractures do not require surgical treatment, while displaced fractures require stable osteosynthesis [2, 3]. When osteosynthesis is not possible, the therapeutic alternatives are simple resection or radial head arthroplasty [4, 5]. Due to the frequent association of ligament injuries, radial head resection may reveal frontal instability of the elbow and destabilization of the anti-brachial frame [6, 7].

This study involved 10 men and 6 women with an average age of 41 years. The right side was affected in 50% of the cases. No bilateral damage was found in our series. Falling is the most frequent etiology (63% of cases), followed by road accidents in 37% of cases. The modified MASON classification has been adopted. Type II fractures were the most observed in 50% of cases. In our study no skin openings were noted. Seven patients had associated lesions of the homolateral upper limb.

The procedure was performed 11 times under general anesthesia, while a locoregional anesthesia (Plexus block) was performed in the remaining patients. The treatment was surgical in all our patients. The posterolateral approach of CADENAT was the most used (63%), the lateral approach of Kocher was used in 37% of cases. Herbert screw osteosynthesis was used in 87.5% of our patients (Figure-1). Arthroplastic resection was performed in two patients. No radial head prosthesis was performed in our series. Associated...
lesions were treated at the same time of surgery depending on the type of lesion (Figure-2). For patients with an isolated radial head fracture, mobilization was performed on the third post-operative day after a short 48 hours analgesic immobilization, followed by prolonged, passive then active rehabilitation.

RESULTS

Functional results were studied based on the clinical criteria proposed by the MAYO CLINIC classification. Functional and anatomical results were excellent in 37.5% of cases, good in 37.5% of cases and average in 25% of cases. We found 60% excellent results and 40% good results in patients with isolated radial head fractures. For those with associated lesions, we found 38% good results, 62% average results. The results were satisfactory in patients treated with Herbert screws, 38% excellent and 62% good. For patients treated by radial head resection, the results were excellent in 25% of cases, good in 25% and average in 50%. The postoperative complications were of three types: septic complications in a patient who was controlled by antibiotic treatment with local care, stiffness of the elbow in a patient who initially had an unfortunate triad of the elbow, the stiffness was treated by arthrolysis of the elbow with good evolution and a case of algodystrophy whose evolution was favorable under physiotherapy.

DISCUSSION

Radial head fractures are quite common (20% of elbow injuries) [8]. They most often result from an indirect mechanism, falling on the hand in extension with the forearm in pronation [9]. Most are the result of functional treatment (in the absence of displacement) or stable direct osteosynthesis and repair of the associated injuries, found in nearly 80% of cases [2, 3]. However, faced with a very comminuted fracture with the impossibility of obtaining stable fixation, prosthetic replacement has been proposed since the 1970's [8]. The average age in our series is 41 years, which is close to the data in the literature [10], this young age could be explained by the high rate of road accidents, which mainly concerns the youngest subjects.

The majority of the series report a male predominance ranging from 60% to 80% [10, 11], in our series we found the same phenomenon, where the male was reached in the proportion of 63% of the cases. All of our patients were admitted in the emergency room, the initial clinical examination allows the elimination of a major lesion involving the patient's vital prognosis, and the evaluation of the neurovascular and cutaneous state of the traumatized limb as well as searching for other fractures in the other limbs, which may influence surgical management. We have performed standard x-rays of all our patients, of the elbow front and side, shoulder and wrist homolateral. A preoperative CT scan including frontal and sagittal reconstructions may be necessary to determine the size of the fragment and the existence of an overturned joint fragment or in case of doubt about an associated lesion [12]. Type I fractures require functional treatment and type II fractures require reduction and stable osteosynthesis [2, 13]. Herbert's screw osteosynthesis was used in 87.5% of our patients.
The radial head prosthesis is an alternative to difficult osteosynthesis or arthroplastic resection [8]. Indeed, it has been shown that in the case of arthroplastic resection for type III multifragmentary fracture, proximal migration appears in almost 50% of cases, valgus deviation of the elbow in 30% of cases and, in the medium term, secondary humeroulnar osteoarthritis in 50% of cases [14].

Current implants (modular or monobloc, with or without a mobile cup) ensure mechanical stability close to that of a native radial head, but do not dispense with the treatment of any associated lesions (reinsertion of the radial collateral ligament, distal radio-ulnar stabilisation in the case of Essex-Lopresti syndrome [8].

CONCLUSION
Radial head fractures are quite common. Most are the result of functional treatment or direct osteosynthesis. Comminuted fracture of the radial head may compromise the stability of the elbow and anti-brachial frame. When osteosynthesis is not possible, the radial head prosthesis can reconstruct the lateral column and restore frontal stability of the elbow and horizontal stability of the forearm.
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