Anaestheology

Comparison of Regional and General Anesthesia Outcome after Peripheral Vascular Surgery

Dr. MD Mahfuddoza^{1*}, Dr. Md. Siddiqur Rahman², Dr. Sarif Shammirul Alam³, Dr. Mohammed Rabbikul Alam⁴, Dr. Tahmina Akhter³, Dr. M Lutful Kabir¹

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anaestheology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh

- ²Assistant Professor, Department of Anaestheology, Dhaka Medical College Hospial, Bangladesh
- ³Junior Consultant, Department of Gynae & Obstetrics, Dhaka Medical College Hospial, Bangladesh

⁴Assistant Registrar, Department of Anaestheology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh

DOI: 10.36347/sasjs.2022.v08i12.009

| **Received:** 27.10.2022 | **Accepted:** 08.12.2022 | **Published:** 10.12.2022

*Corresponding author: Dr. MD Mahfuddoza

Associate Professor, Department of Anaestheology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Original Research Article

Background: Compared to other non-cardiac surgical procedures, peripheral vascular surgery is more likely to cause cardiac morbidity and mortality. Planning anesthesia and surgery for open vascular procedures on the lower limbs necessitates a careful examination of the patient's physical capacity and, ideally, includes cardiac and pulmonary evaluation and, if possible, optimization. *Methods:* An investigation of relationships between anesthesia approach and outcomes of 436 cases (GA=218 and RA=218) was provided by this retrospective, propensity score matched, cohort study. Between May 2019 and July 2021, the study was carried out at the Department of Anaestheology in National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases and Dhaka Medical College Hospital. Result: In both groups most of the patients (80.7% and 84.4%) were aged less than 80 years. Male participants were more prevalent (57.8% and 60.6%) in both groups. In the GA group, the frequency of both general and surgical problems was considerably higher. The GA group experienced significantly more general medical problems than the control group, including post-operative hemorrhage (1.4% vs. 0.9%), ICU >3 days (0.9% vs. 0.5%), cardiac (2.8% vs. 2.3%), and pulmonary (1.4% vs. 0.9%). After multiple regression analysis, the GA group had a significantly higher 30-day mortality rate and greater instances of all complications. The incidence of general and surgical problems was 6.9% and 3.7%, respectively, and the total 30-day mortality was 3.2%. The average length of stay was 5 (3, 9). The overall one-year amputation rate for the same side was 8.3%. Conclusion: This study highlighted the possibility that RA, as opposed to GA, may be associated with a better outcome following open inguinal and infra- inguinal vascular surgery.

Keywords: Peripheral Vascular Surgery, Regional Anesthesia, General Anesthesia.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is a chronic illness that affects the heart, brain, and kidneys, among other organ systems. Critical ischaemia and, in the worst circumstances, gangrene are possible outcomes of atherosclerosis of the lower limbs [1, 2]. Compared to other non-cardiac surgical procedures, peripheral vascular surgery is more likely to cause cardiac morbidity and mortality. Due to the comorbidity in this patient population and the characteristics of the condition, which include ischaemia reperfusion and the possibility of substantial blood loss, surgical artery revascularization is regarded as a high risk surgery [3]. Planning anesthesia and surgery for open vascular procedures on the lower limbs necessitates a careful examination of the patient's physical capacity and, ideally, includes cardiac and pulmonary evaluation and, if possible, optimization [4]. However, in many instances, the diagnosis is urgent ischaemia, the surgery is limb-saving, and there is little time for patient preoptimization. In individuals who have advanced age and cardiovascular comorbidity, general anesthesia (GA) may not be the best option [5, 6]. Positive pressure ventilation has an adverse effect on pulmonary physiology and hemodynamics, which can lead to atelectasis and a perfusion/ventilation mismatch. Additionally, GA may have a deleterious impact on pressure and microcirculation. blood Regional ischaemia, which can lead to problems like poor wound healing, thrombotic events, graft failure, and, in the worst cases, organ dysfunction, may be caused by

Citation: MD Mahfuddoza, Md. Siddiqur Rahman, Sarif Shammirul Alam, Mohammed Rabbikul Alam, Tahmina Akhter, M Lutful Kabir. Comparison of Regional and General Anesthesia Outcome after Peripheral Vascular Surgery. SAS J Surg, 2022 Dec 8(12): 773-778.

decreased tissue perfusion. Neuraxial blockades and peripheral nerve blocks, which involve the spinal, epidural, or peripheral instillation of local anesthetics, are examples of regional anesthesia (RA). The evidence in favor of neuraxial anesthesia versus GA is still Neuraxial ambiguous [8, 9]. blockades are contraindicated in patients using anticoagulants (for instance, due to dysrhythmias, thrombosis, or mechanical heart valves) [10]. Peripheral nerve blocks are being utilized more frequently as an option in vascular surgery [11-13]. This retrospective study's objective was to assess how GA and RA affected patient outcomes following open inguinal and infrainguinal arterial reconstruction surgery.

OBJECIVE OF THE STUDY

The objective of this retrospective study was to evaluate the effect of GA and RA on outcome after open inguinal and infra-inguinal arterial reconstruction surgery.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

An investigation of relationships between anesthesia approach and outcomes of 436 cases (GA=218 and RA=218) was provided by this retrospective, propensity score matched, cohort study. Between May 2019 and July 2021, the study was carried out at the Department of Anaestheology in National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases and Dhaka Medical College Hospital. The vascular surgeon in charge of the patient recorded all information and submitted it to the NICVD and DMCH Vascular Registry. Any procedures where the debate between GA and RA was pertinent were covered (open arterial revascularisations where RA alone would be sufficient for surgery). From a clinical standpoint, covariates were chosen, including those that have previously been identified as risk factors [14, 15]. Anaesthesia with regulated mechanical ventilation was referred to as GA. GA was classified as GA when combined with adjunctive RA. Airway management was not a part of RA; only spinal, epidural, and peripheral nerve blocks were used. Diabetes, a prior stroke or transient ischaemia of the cerebral cortex, hypertension, cardiac illness (past myocardial infarction, ischemic heart disease, valvular disease, and dysrhythmias), and pulmonary disease are all included in the comorbidity. The hospital's ethical review committee granted the ethical approval. The statistical program SPSS version 20 was utilized to analyze the data.

RESULT



Figure 1: Age distribution of the respondents

In both groups most of the patients (80.7% and 84.4%) were aged less than 80 years.



Figure 2: Gender distribution of the respondents.

Table 1: Clinical Presentation of the study patients						
Clinical Presentation		General Anesthesia		Regional Anesthesia		P-value
		N=218	Percentage	N=21	Percentage	
			(%)	8	(%)	
Charlson	Low	19	8.7	17	7.8	
Comorbidity	Moderate	87	39.9	86	39.4	
Score	Severe	112	51.4	115	52.8	< 0.001
ASA physiology so	core III-V	89	40.8	89	40.8	
Comorbidity	Cerebrovascular	16	7.3	15	6.9	
	Cardiac	202	92.7	203	93.1	
Pulmonary	COPD	21	9.6	22	10.1	
comorbidity	History of dyspnoea	9	4.1	12	5.5	
	Anticoagulant medication	34	15.6	37	17.0	
Surgery	Emergency (performed within	14	6.4	12	5.5	
	hours)					
	Urgent (performed within days)	42	19.3	43	19.7	
	Elective	162	74.3	163	74.8	
Indication for	Acute ischaemia	18	8.3	17	7.8	
surgery	Claudication	54	24.8	55	25.2	
	Rest pain	44	20.2	42	19.3	
	Ulceration or gangrene	79	36.2	80	36.7	
	Other indication	23	10.6	24	11.0	< 0.001
Procedure type	TEA	59	27.1	60	27.5	
	Bypass	83	38.1	84	38.5	
	Other	76	34.9	74	33.9	
Blood loss e mL		225 (100, 410)		200 (100, 400)		< 0.001
Duration of surgery e min		131 (95, 180)		125 (93, 180)		< 0.001

Male participants were more prevalent (57.8% and 60.6%) in both groups.

 Blood loss e mL

 Duration of surgery e min

 Cardiovascular comorbidity was more

 common in the GA and RA groups (92.7% and 93.1%,

 respectively). In both groups, more patients (15.6% and

17%) received anticoagulant treatment than usual.

Surgery indications differed throughout the groups, and

more GA and RA patients (74.3% and 74.8%) required elective surgery. As a result, both groups had higher rates of ulceration or gangrene (36.2% and 36.7%, respectively).

Post-operative complications	General Anesthesia		Regiona	P-value	
	N=218	Percentage (%)	N=218	Percentage (%)	
Cardiac	6	2.8	5	2.3	0.002
Pulmonary	3	1.4	2	0.9	0.002
Cerebrovascular event	1	0.5	1	0.5	
Acute renal failure, need for dialysis	2	0.9	1	0.5	
ICU >3 days	2	0.9	1	0.5	0.001
Compartment syndrome	1	0.5	1	0.5	
Peripheral nerve lesion	2	0.9	1	0.5	
Peripheral embolisation	1	0.5	1	0.5	
Bleeding, requiring surgery	3	1.4	2	0.9	0.003
Wound infection	3	1.4	2	0.9	
Wound necrosis	3	1.4	3	1.4	
Wound lymphocele/-lymphorrhoea	18	8.3	15	6.9	
Wound haematoma	7	3.2	6	2.8	

Fabla 2.	Doct on	protivo o	omplication	ng of the	etudy r	otionta
LADIC 4.	1 051-040	ciauve u	umpheaduu	is or the	ծւսս կ	Jauchts

In the GA group, the frequency of both general and surgical problems was considerably higher. The GA group experienced significantly more general medical problems than the control group, including postoperative hemorrhage (1.4% vs. 0.9%), ICU >3 days (0.9% vs. 0.5%), cardiac (2.8% vs. 2.3%), and pulmonary (1.4% vs. 0.9%).

to account for these differences. There was no

difference in result between RA and GA, according to

Table 5: Outcome among the study patients						
Outcome	General Anesthesia		Regiona	P-value		
	N=218	Percentage (%)	N=218	Percentage (%)		
30 day mortality	7	3.2	6	2.8		
1 y amputation rate	18	8.3	16	7.3		
Wound complications	35	16.1	34	15.6		
General complications	15	6.9	13	6.0	<.001	
Surgical complications	8	3.7	7	3.2	<.001	
Length of stay, days	5 (3, 9)		5 (3, 8)			

Table 3: Outcome among the study patients

After multiple regression analysis, the GA group had a significantly higher 30-day mortality rate and greater instances of all complications. The incidence of general and surgical problems was 6.9% and 3.7%, respectively, and the total 30-day mortality was 3.2%. The average length of stay was 5 (3, 9). The overall one-year amputation rate for the same side was 8.3%.

DISCUSSION

A considerably higher 30-day mortality and complication rate was shown in this open inguinal and infrainguinal artery revascularization technique when GA was used instead of RA. A subset of the current study cohort, consisting of 3,202 patients undergoing open artery revascularization of the lower leg, was examined by Kehlet et al., in 2016 [14]. One or more problems were experienced by one-third of patients. At 30 days, the death rate was 5%, and at 1 year, it was 15%. 19% had lost their limbs or died after a year. They identified age, female gender, comorbidities, urgent surgery, and GA as independent risk variables; the only modifiable component was anaesthetic type. The current investigation confirmed that GA appears to have a deleterious impact on outcome and used data spanning one year. Sgroi et al., provided data from 15,997 patients receiving infra-inguinal bypass surgery from 2011 to 2016 in a retrospective study from the Vascular Quality Initiative [9]. It's interesting to note that just 3.5% of patients had RA, and this ratio fell from 4.6% in 2011 to 2.6% in 2016, with center variability varying from 0% to 30%, during the study period. Despite having a smaller number of patients, the RA group showed signs of lower mortality (1.1 vs. 2.2%, p 14.07), shorter lengths of stay, and lower rates of acute congestive heart failure and acute renal injury. The selection of anesthesia from a clinical standpoint is influenced by a number of factors. Because of the anticipated difficulty of the procedure, the length of the surgery, and the desire to avoid the potentially more time-consuming procedure with RA prior to surgery, both the anesthetist and the surgeon may prefer GA in acute surgery. The anesthesia staff might not have much regular experience with vascular surgery and would rather use GA. The possibility of insufficient peripheral nerve blocks may also have an impact on the choice [16]. As a result, GA is frequently used to undertake acute surgery. The increased 30-day mortality and complication rate in the GA group was corroborated by the current study, which employed multiple regressions

Ghanami et al., study.'s of a cohort of 5,462 patients undergoing elective infra-inguinal bypass surgeries [17]. Only 13% of surgeries were covered by RA, yet 37% of them had complications. In the GA group, they discovered higher trainee surgical engagement, transfusion demand, and operating time-findings that would point to more intricate surgical techniques. However, due to comorbidities and the severity of the disease, a quick treatment may potentially be linked to poor outcomes and have no chance of revascularization. Additionally, although femorocrural bypass takes a long time to do, the blood loss is typically minimal. To account for these variances, the present statistical model includes the type of procedure and the urgency of the surgery. By preventing the haemodynamic instability that GA can cause, cardiac problems may be avoided [18]. In the current study, cardiac problems were observed in 2.8% and 2.3% of patients, respectively, and were noticeably more frequent in the GA group. After an epidural or spinal blockade, the likelihood of developing a neuraxial hemorrhage ranges from 1:168 000 to 1:190 000 [19]. Anticoagulant drugs, platelet inhibitors, and low weight molecular heparin were administered to more patients in the GA group, which dramatically raises this risk. The propensity score matching model used in the current investigation took the use of these drugs into account. Unfortunately, there is no information available regarding the therapy's indication or if the medicine was stopped prior to surgery. Avoiding mechanical ventilation might improve the result. In 2,644 patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) undergoing a range of surgical procedures, Hausman et al., showed that using RA compared to GA decreased the complication rate, risk of pulmonary infection, prolonged length of mechanical ventilation, and unplanned post-operative intubation [20]. The RA group in the current study had a higher proportion of patients with dyspnea and COPD, indicating that RA was preferred in this patient group. Although the overall pulmonary complication rate was only 1.4%, the post-GA group was shown to have considerably greater postoperative pulmonary problems. In some cases, peripheral nerve blocks are preferable to neuraxial anesthesia. Epidural analgesia was linked to higher cardiovascular morbidity, according to a post hoc analysis from a sizable, randomized trial [21]. The benefit of peripheral nerve blocks is that they can be

© 2022 SAS Journal of Surgery | Published by SAS Publishers, India

administered in patients who are on anticoagulant therapy and who have significant cardiac comorbidities such aortic stenosis. In a study by Yazigi et al., who randomly assigned 50 patients undergoing peripheral vascular surgery to get peripheral nerve blocks (combined sciatic and femoral nerve blocks), they discovered that the RA group had a lower incidence of intraoperative cardiac ischaemia [11]. Peripheral nerve blocks may also be able to lessen persistent pain that develops after surgery [22]. However, when utilizing RA in peripheral artery disease, the potential of peripheral nerve injury and masking of severe ischaemia must be recognized. The frequency of peripheral nerve injury did not change. Compartment syndrome and amputation cases were more common in the GA group, although this was not represented in the propensity score matched analysis, and it may have more to do with how severely the limbs were ischaemic than with the anesthetic used. Investigating the occurrence and progression of peripheral nerve blocks over the course of the 1-year study period would have been instructive. As anticoagulant drugs are used more frequently, neuraxial blockade procedures are becoming less common. However, peripheral nerve block techniques have become a more common component of routine anaesthetic practice in many institutions throughout the course of this time. Unfortunately, the NICVD and DMCH Vascular Registry does not provide information on the distribution of peripheral and neuraxial nerve blocks.

CONCLUSION

Only that avoiding GA might be advantageous can be inferred from the results of the current investigation. In comparison to RA, GA was linked to a significantly greater 30-day mortality rate as well as more surgical and general complications. There were no changes in the rates of wound complications, one-year amputations, or length of stay. The incidence of all problems and 30-day mortality were considerably higher in the GA group. Amputation rate and length of stay were unaffected. This study highlighted the possibility that RA, as opposed to GA, may be associated with a better outcome following open inguinal and infra-inguinal vascular surgery. But GA can still be regarded as safe in a clinical setting when RA is not practical. These findings indicate that largescale randomised clinical trials comparing the effects of GA and RA on outcomes are necessary and that such trials are both safe and morally acceptable.

REFERENCES

- Nowygrod, R., Egorova, N., Greco, G., Anderson, P., Gelijns, A., Moskowitz, A., ... & Kent, K. C. (2006). Trends, complications, and mortality in peripheral vascular surgery. *Journal of vascular* surgery, 43(2), 205-216.
- Nehler, M. R., Duval, S., Diao, L., Annex, B. H., Hiatt, W. R., Rogers, K., ... & Hirsch, A. T. (2014). Epidemiology of peripheral arterial disease and

critical limb ischemia in an insured national population. *Journal of vascular surgery*, 60(3), 686-695.

- Fleisher, L. A., Fleischmann, K. E., Auerbach, A. D., Barnason, S. A., Beckman, J. A., Bozkurt, B., ... & Wijeysundera, D. N. (2014). 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: executive summary. Circulation, 130, 2215-45.
- 4. Neves, S. E. (2016). Anesthesia for patients with peripheral vascular disease and cardiac dysfunction. *Anesthesiology Clinics*, *34*(4), 775-795.
- Johnson, R. L., Kopp, S. L., Burkle, C. M., Duncan, C. M., Jacob, A. K., Erwin, P. J., ... & Mantilla, C. B. (2016). Neuraxial vs general anaesthesia for total hip and total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review of comparative-effectiveness research. *BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 116(2), 163-176.
- Memtsoudis, S. G., Rasul, R., Suzuki, S., Poeran, J., Danninger, T., Wu, C., ... & Vougioukas, V. (2014). Does the impact of the type of anesthesia on outcomes differ by patient age and comorbidity burden?. *Regional Anesthesia & Pain Medicine*, 39(2), 112-119.
- Turek, Z., Sykora, R., Matejovic, M., & Cerny, V. (2009, December). Anesthesia and the microcirculation. In *Seminars in cardiothoracic and vascular anesthesia* (Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 249-258). Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: SAGE Publications.
- Barbosa, F. T., Jucá, M. J., Castro, A. A., & Cavalcante, J. C. (2013). Neuraxial anaesthesia for lower-limb revascularization. *Cochrane database* of systematic reviews, (7), CD007083.
- Sgroi, M. D., McFarland, G., & Mell, M. W. (2019). Utilization of regional versus general anesthesia and its impact on lower extremity bypass outcomes. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*, 69(6), 1874-1879.
- Gogarten, W., Vandermeulen, E., Van Aken, H., Kozek, S., Llau, J. V., & Samama, C. M. (2010). Regional anaesthesia and antithrombotic agents: recommendations of the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*, 27, 999-1015.
- 11. Yazigi, A., Madi-Gebara, S., Haddad, F., Hayeck, G., & Tabet, G. (2005). Combined sciatic and femoral nerve blocks for infrainguinal arterial bypass surgery: a case series. *Journal of cardiothoracic and vascular anesthesia*, 19(2), 220-221.
- 12. Guimarães, J. D. F., Angonese, C. F., Gomes, R. K., Miranda, V., & Farias, C. (2017). Anesthesia for lower extremity vascular bypass with peripheral nerve block. *Revista brasileira de anestesiologia*, 67, 626-631.

- Selvi, O., Bayserke, O., & Tulgar, S. (2018). Use of femoral and sciatic nerve block combination in severe emphysematous lung disease for femoral popliteal arterial bypass surgery. *Cureus*, 10(2), 2-5.
- Kehlet, M., Jensen, L. P., & Schroeder, T. V. (2016). Risk factors for complications after peripheral vascular surgery in 3,202 patient procedures. *Annals of vascular surgery*, 36, 13-21.
- Singh, N., Sidawy, A. N., Dezee, K., Neville, R. F., Weiswasser, J., Arora, S., ... & Henderson, W. G. (2006). The effects of the type of anesthesia on outcomes of lower extremity infrainguinal bypass. *Journal of vascular surgery*, 44(5), 964-970.
- 16. Hu, P., Harmon, D., & Frizelle, H. (2007). Patient comfort during regional anesthesia. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*, 19(1), 67-74.
- Ghanami, R. J., Hurie, J., Andrews, J. S., Harrington, R. N., Corriere, M. A., Goodney, P. P., ... & Edwards, M. S. (2013). Anesthesia-based evaluation of outcomes of lower-extremity vascular bypass procedures. *Annals of vascular surgery*, 27(2), 199-207.
- Gold, M. S., DeCrosta, D., Rizzuto, C., Ben-Harari, R. R., & Ramanathan, S. (1994). The effect of lumbar epidural and general anesthesia on plasma

catecholamines and hemodynamics during abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 78(2), 225-230.

- Moen, V., Dahlgren, N., & Irestedt, L. (2004). Severe neurological complications after central neuraxial blockades in Sweden 1990–1999. *The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 101(4), 950-959.
- Hausman Jr, M. S., Jewell, E. S., & Engoren, M. (2015). Regional versus general anesthesia in surgical patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: does avoiding general anesthesia reduce the risk of postoperative complications?. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 120(6), 1405-1412.
- Leslie, K., Myles, P., Devereaux, P., Williamson, E., Rao-Melancini, P., Forbes, A., ... & Yang, H. (2013). Neuraxial block, death and serious cardiovascular morbidity in the POISE trial. *British journal of anaesthesia*, 111(3), 382-390.
- Borghi, B., D'Addabbo, M., White, P. F., Gallerani, P., Toccaceli, L., Raffaeli, W., ... & Mercuri, M. (2010). The use of prolonged peripheral neural blockade after lower extremity amputation: the effect on symptoms associated with phantom limb syndrome. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 111(5), 1308-1315.