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Abstract

In the present times of the urbanising world, humans' migration plays a decisive role in urban centres' evolution, other than natural population growth. The highly urbanised centres across the globe, commonly labelled as the million-plus cities, act as a decisive pull factor for the migration among individuals, as these centres are the hubs of economic activities and immense opportunities. The present study made an effort to evaluate the internal migration trend of million-plus cities and their respective urban agglomerations in India. The migration data released by the Census of India was being used for 2001 and 2011 in the analysis. The growth rate and proportional percentage increase both total and gender-wise, of migrants were assessed. The findings depicted the influx of proportional in-migrants was more in southern India than in the north. Furthermore, in-migrants growth was more in the newly formed million-plus cities than the old established ones regardless of the large migrant base in their total population.
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INTRODUCTION

Migration is broadly defined as a change of place of residence from one geographical area to another. The technological advancement and development in transportation and communication have made migration a universal phenomenon in modern times (Gilbert & Gugler, 1982). It is the means of communications and urban centres' progression worldwide, stimulating migration by acting as a growth pole. The migration occurrence has also been an integral part of the development, decisively associated with urbanisation. The major drivers of economic growth in educational & healthcare services, scientific & technological innovations, financial activities, and communication services are prevalent in large urban centres. It connects with the rural areas for their development, and a significant workforce migrates from rural peripheral regions to urban centres (Bhagat, 2020). The rapid rate of urbanisation and mounting migration to the cities brings with it both risks and opportunities for migrants, communities, and governments concerned (WMR, 2015).

The study's significance on migration lies in its influence on the social, economic, and demographic profile of both the places of origin and destination of migrants, besides affecting the population's size and composition in the concerned regions (Singh and Yadava, 1981). United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (2014) stated the rapidly growing cities of Asia and Africa are expected to absorb almost all the future urban population growth. The mobility pattern in these metropolitan cities is already characterised by the temporality and circularity of the internal migration process (Hugo, 2013).

Urban areas worldwide cater to half of the world's total population, supported by the rapidly increasing migration rate. The present age is considered the Age of Migration (Castle 1998). It is a global megatrend of the present century (United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, 2012). The number of international migrants rose from 220 million in 2010 to 258 million in 2017 (United Nations, 2017). However, it has been noticed that the rate of internal migration or in-migration is far more than international migration. (King et al., 2008)

When migration is envisaged in India's context, it has been observed that people here are less mobile in the previous decades. However, the recent growth in development processes across the nation and structural changes in the economy results in workforce change distribution across all sectors. Consequently, it has accelerated mobility and induced migration towards large urban centres among Indian nationals (Gill et al, 2012).
2012). Parallelly, such unprecedented and rapid growth of the cities in developing nations, like India, has made the internal migration a matter of concern because these flows have been followed by poverty-induced migration rather than prosperity-induced migration (Kumar, 2012; Lucas, 1993).

A few economically advanced states in India are the prominent stakeholders of urbanisation. These states harbour the maximum number of million-plus cities, further acting as the hubs for various national development activities. These cities grew to substantial urban agglomeration; it is a continuous urban spread constituting a town with its adjoining outgrowths (Census of India). Such big urban centres attract most migrants as they provide jobs to every migrated individual irrespective of their skill level. As a result, they receive respectful and dignified life and better opportunities with a conducive environment for career growth. Pragmatically, employment opportunities, availability of health services, and accessibility of education turned out to be the primary pull factors for the migrants from rural areas to million-plus cities.

Objectives
The prime question under the study was to evaluate the trend of internal migration in India's million-plus cities. How the involved migrants have proportionally changed in a decade and from 2001 to 2011 was assessed. The sex-wise classification was also brought into deliberation to confer the facets of egalitarianism utilised by them. To understand the dynamics of these urban centres regarding their upcoming state of development, the picture of internal migration to date should need to be portrayed.

The Unit of Analysis
In India, there were 35 Million plus Cities in the 2001 census, and only in the next decade, the number ascended to 53 in the year 2011. These centres are home to 43 per cent of the total urban population of India. For analysis in the present study, these million-plus cities of India were considered. Most in-migrants reside in the city's periphery in the view of cheap and better residential facilities, so it was the city and its agglomeration taken as the unit of the research herein. Figure no.1 depicts the spatial distribution of these cities in India.

Material and Methods
The secondary data of Primary Census Abstract and D3 migration tables from the Census of India, 2011, were procured for the current study. The data was compared with the Primary Census Abstract and D3 migration tables from the Census of India, 2001, for respective cities to bring out the in-migration trend.

The total population and total in-migrants figures, gender-wise statistics of each million-plus city, and its urban agglomeration (UA) were tabulated and calculated. Then, the proportional change in migrants between the years was computed, followed by a percentage increase in 53 UA cities. At last, the growth rate of the in-migrants was determined, and conclusions were drawn. The tabulated data was brought into the GIS environment and attributed with each City UA's coordinates. On the outline map of India, 53 points were overlaid; and a proportional symbol map of percentage changes was prepared.
Four stages of analysis were determined over the tabulation of in-migrants and the total population data of 2001 and 2011.

**Stage One (Total In-Migrants Percentage in Total Population of the million-plus city)**

The proportion of total migrants in each million-plus city UA's total population for 2011 was computed and subsequently evaluated. The following formula was used to calculate the proportion:

$$P^p = \frac{T^m}{T^p} \times 100$$

Where $P^p$ is the proportional percentage, $T^m$ is total migrants and $T^p$ is the total population of each urban centre.

**Stage Two (Percentage In-Migrant Change)**

Second, the percentage change was calculated for the in-migrants who changed in the year 2011 compared to the year 2001. The following formula was used to calculate the percentage change:

$$P^c = \frac{T^{m11} - T^{m01}}{T^{m01}} \times 100$$

Where $P^c$ is the percentage change, $T^{m11}$ is the total number of migrants in the year 2011 and $T^{m01}$ is the total number of migrants in the year 2001.

**Stage Three (Gender-wise In-migrant proportion to the total migrant population)**

Sex-wise proportional classification of the in-migrants was done by taking total in-migrants of 2011 and bifurcating it into male and female in-migrant classes. It included further two parts, in the first one considering a change in male and female in-migrants from the year 2001 to 2011 and in the next one previous stage two was implemented to assess the percentage increase. It helped determine the gender-wise approach of in-migrants towards any city from one aspect and the
availability of better prospects in a centre for a particular gender from another component.

### Stage Four (Exponential Growth Rate of In-migrant)

The exponential percentage growth rate of these in-migrants over a decade (2001-2011) was computed in the last step. The formula used for the calculation of growth was as follow:

\[
Gr = 100 \ln \frac{T^{m11}/T^{m01}}{N}
\]

Where \(Gr\) is the growth rate, \(ln\) is the natural logarithm, and \(N\) was the number of years. Defining the growth rate tells the actual migration scenario for any urban centre and prepares it for the coming years regarding infrastructural development and associated components. It is expressed in percentage per year.

### RESULTS

#### Proportional Percentage of Total In-Migrants in Total Population

The proportion of in-migrants per total population of each million-plus city varied from as low as 16 per cent (for Srinagar UA) to as high as 78 per cent (for Vasai Virar City) in 2011. Figures no. 2 depict that 24 million-plus cities and their UAs had 50 per cent or more total in-migrants in their aggregated population. The top ten in the list (incl. Vasai Virar City) were Ghaziabad (70%), Faridabad (67%), Surat (65%), Hyderabad (65%), Pune (65%), Nashik (63%), Ludhiana (62%), Chandigarh (60%) and Vijayawada (59%). And the bottom ten in the list (incl. Srinagar) were Jodhpur (31%), Kanpur (31%), Jabalpur (33%), Gwalior (34%), Asansol (35%), Agra (35%), Varanasi (37%), Dhanbad (37%), and Jaipur (38%).

![Fig-2: Proportional percentage of Total Migrants (In-migrants) to total population in Million-Plus Cities (2011)](image-url)
One of the striking facts which came up here was the transformation of non-million plus cities of 2001 into the million-plus cities in 2011. The top eight in the list of change were all non-million plus cities in the previous census, and six cities out of these were from Kerala alone.

### Table-1: The highest and lowest Percentage Change of in-migrants (2001-2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Change</td>
<td>Vasai Virar</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>956270</td>
<td>47659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thrissur</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>1070510</td>
<td>88423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kollam</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>5760770</td>
<td>43873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Change</td>
<td>Chandigarh</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>619371</td>
<td>517171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ludhiana</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>998586</td>
<td>795972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7041207</td>
<td>5550323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proportional Change in Male and Female In-Migrants with Total Migrants**

The first part under this did not include the percentage of in-migrants but the actual proportion. A more generalised form of in-migration was unveiled when a change in total in-migrants (2001-2011) was apportioned in male and female categories. Figure no.3 illustrates the size of the change in total migrants of each million-plus city from 2001 to 2011 and the second, proportional male and female in-migrant difference within them. The million-plus cities in Hyderabad, Greater Mumbai, Chennai, Bengaluru, Kolkata, and Pune states constituted the maximum proportion of male and female change of in-migrants to their total in-migrants. In contrast, Gwalior, Durg-Bhilainagar, Dhanbad, Srinagar, and Chandigarh had the minimum proportion of male and female change of their total in-migrants.

**Fig-3: Proportional change of Male and Female Migrants (In-migrants) to Total Migrants change in Million-Plus Cities (2001-2011)**
**Proportional Percentage Change in Male and Female In-Migrants**

The second part included the percentage increase, i.e., positive change in the male and female in-migrants from 2001 to 2011. Figure no. 4 demonstrates the percentage increase in both male and female categories. Malappuram received the most considerable male in-migrant change (from 10217 in 2001 to 260926 in 2011), and Vasai Virar City was highest in female in-migrant change (from 21718 in 2001 to 447024 in 2011). On the other hand, Dhanbad received a minor male in-migrant change (from 183763 in 2001 to 184004 in 2011), and Chandigarh received the most diminutive female in-migrant change (from 232890 in 2001 to 291,068 in 2011). The point to note here is that the shift in male in-migrants was proportional to the total in-migrants under stage one. Still, this assertion stands false in the cities where female migrant change was more than the male migrants as in Vasai Virar City (1958% over 1863%), Thrissur (1119% over 1099%), and Kannur (538 % over 512%). One of the reasons behind this was the high female population than male counterparts in census 2011 in Kerala cities. The other reason was the Kerala state’s highest literacy rate, making females of this region more adaptive to work than other country areas. Nevertheless, even at the lowest end, the cities with standard male in-migrant change had comparatively high female in-migrant change, like, Dhanbad (37% over 13%), Ludhiana (39% over 15%), Chandigarh (25% over 15%), and Delhi (38% over 18%).

![Exponential Growth Rate of In-migrants](image)

**Fig-4: Male and Female Migrant (In-migrants) percentage increase pyramid**

If the exponential growth rate of in-migration has been seen in context with the total in-migrants of 2011, then the highest migrants enumerated million-plus cities did not pose the highest growth rate. It was just that these have been receiving the in-migrants for ages, like in the cases of Greater Mumbai, Delhi, and Kolkata, so the annual growth rate in these was not so high (table no.2). Contrary to this, the million-plus cities where the minimum in-migrants have been enumerated in the year 2011 showed a comparatively high growth rate, which illustrates that the small uncommon urban centres were also becoming focal centres of in-migration.
DISCUSSION
The present study explicitly defines the trend of in-migration in the country by making a regional divide wherein million-plus cities of peninsular India receive more migration than their northern counterparts. In the extreme north, Ludhiana and Chandigarh were leading the high proportional percentage of in-migrants. Ghaziabad and Faridabad were heading the overall list of million-plus cities on the second and third position from the north-western front, respectively. Vasai Virar City in the Greater Mumbai periphery's surroundings tops the list with maximum percentage change and female percentage in the in-migrant flow over a decade. The infrastructure boom in the area and better transport connectivity led to the massive-scale residential construction that directly facilitates the commuters of Mumbai. An escalation in female migrants in this area specifies the surge of opportunities in the Bollywood industries. Kerala’s state got maximum numbers of million-plus cities, i.e., six, from 2001 to 2011. Malappuram from Kerala showed the highest growth of 9.1 per cent in both total and male in-migrants. Dhanbad and Chandigarh were at the bottom of the list in the growth rate of in-migrants. It was due to the concentration of in-migrants in their neighbouring cities like Jharia and Gobindpur near Dhanbad and Panchkula and Mohali near Chandigarh from where migrants travel to these million-plus cities.

CONCLUSION
The current study highlighted the trend of in-migrants in the million-plus cities of India, wherein newly enacted cities are attracting more proportional percentages of in-migrants than the old established centres. Secondly, the upsurge of new million-plus cities near already existing ones was also noticed. Million-plus cities of Kerala in south India and the growth of Faridabad and Ghaziabad around the nation's capital, Delhi, in north India are the best suites example in the context. Overall, four cities from northern and southern India showed more than 50 per cent of in-migrants in their total population. And there were five million-plus cities from western India, who got more than 50 per cent of in-migrants in their total population in 2011. The growth of female in-migrants was also seen more in the south Indian million-plus cities than northern counterparts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum In-Migration</td>
<td>Mumbai</td>
<td>18394912</td>
<td>10112688</td>
<td>7141583</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum In-Migration</td>
<td>Srinagar</td>
<td>1117740</td>
<td>204378</td>
<td>106935</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jodhpur</td>
<td>1138300</td>
<td>349623</td>
<td>122111</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gwalior</td>
<td>1264202</td>
<td>374712</td>
<td>206117</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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