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Abstract

Several studies have been conducted on hepatitis B virus and its manifestations including its effect on organ functions. Hepatitis B virus is a hepatotropic virus which causes inflammations of the liver and other complications such as cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver failure and death, this study assessed the effect of hepatitis B virus on liver functions in asymptomatic blood donors in some towns within three (3) geo-political zones in Nigeria. Method: A total of 183 subjects consisting of 137 males and 46 females participated in the North Central geopolitical zone: 176 subjects consisting of 129 males and 47 females participated in the North East, while 173 subjects consisting of 123 males and females participated in the South South Zone. The mean age of the subjects were 34.89 ± 9.19 years for the three zones respectively. Blood sample were collected from the subjects and tested for Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and also Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) to rule out co-infection using ELISA. All samples were analysed for liver function parameter such as Total bilirubin, conjugated bilirubin, total protein, Albumin, Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST), Alamine Aminotransferase (ALT) and Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP), using Chemwell 2910 fully Automated chemistry analyser. The results were analysed using statistical analysis system (SAS, STAT 15.1), and p values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Results: The mean values of total protein, albumin total bilirubin, conjugated bilirubin aspirant aminotransferase (AST & ALT) and alkaline phosphate (ALP) did not vary significantly (P 005) between the hepatitis B virus negative and hepatitis B virus positive subjects across the geopolitical zones studied. However, the variation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) was significant (p > 0.014) between the two treatment groups across the three geopolitical zones, but the margin was not wide enough to draw clinical interference. The mean age of the study population was 34.89 ± 9.19; 39.81 ± 9.60 and 44.92 ± 9.27 years in North Central, North East and South geopolitical zones respectively. The study observed that more males donate blood than females. The study also demonstrated higher prevalence rates of hepatitis B virus seropositivity in males than in females. On the basis of age groups (35-44) years recorded highest prevalence rates of hepatitis B virus seropositivity in the three zones. The assessment of liver function parameters did not reveal any altered pattern of their plasma levels except only ALT which shows significant difference at P < 0.0140. Apparently the HBV positive subjects were healthy.
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Introduction

The liver is the largest organ in the body and it carries out several important functions such as metabolism of carbohydrates, fats and proteins, storage of glycogen, vitamins and minerals, production and excretion of bile, synthesis of plasma proteins, biotransformation of drugs and toxic substances [12]. It also catabolizes thyroid hormones, cortisol and vitamin D while it produces insulin-like-growth factor and angiotensinogen [12]. These functions of the liver expose it to several insults including viral agents. Hepatitis B virus is a DNA virus which attacks the liver resulting into inflammatory conditions [12]. It belongs to the hepadna
family and it has high prevalence rates in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Its attendant morbidity and mortality rank among the most common human pathologies of great public health challenge [34, 25].

About two hundred and forty million persons are infected worldwide [25] while estimated deaths arising from complications of hepatitis B virus infection is put at two hundred and fifty thousand annually [18].

The virus is transmitted through exposure with body fluids, such as blood, seminal fluid and vaginal secretions of an infected person [29]. It has longer incubation period of between 50 and 180 days and the virus can survive at surfaces for about a week [1, 15, 16].

The primary target organ of the hepatitis B virus is the liver resulting in hepatitis which could be acute or chronic. The acute hepatitis infection is of short duration usually less than 6 months and it is clinically cleared following development of natural antibodies [12, 13].

The chronic phase last longer than six (6) months and could cause serious inflammatory and destructive disease of the liver [17], which progresses with time to complications such as liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma and death [17, 31, 21]. The clinical outcome in both acute and chronic cases is strongly dictated by age and immune state of the patient [34].

Treatment can slow progressing of the disease, complications and increase chances of survival. These benefits of treatment are consistent with the recommended treatment goals of World Health Organization [34], American Association for Study of Liver Disease [33] and Guidelines for prevention, treatment and care of viral hepatitis in Nigeria [14].

The prevention of hepatitis B virus infection by vaccination is the main strategy to eradicate HBV [7]. The vaccine contains HBsAg produced by recombinant DNA techniques from yeast [17] and it provides long-term immunity.

The virus can be diagnosed by the presence of a viral surface antigen (HBsAg), a core antigen and antibody to the surface antigen [8]. However, HBsAg is used to detect current infections in both acute and chronic cases [12].

Although many individuals survive, others develop complications and, in some cases, death may result [25]. The clinical outcome in both acute and chronic cases is strongly dictated by age and immune state of the patient. However, about 30 to 50% of adolescents and adults develop jaundice [12]. Symptoms of the infection may include high grade fever, joint and muscle pain, loss of appetite and stomach pain. Some patients may indicate bloated stomach, jaundice and nausea. Chronic hepatitis B infected individuals may develop liver inflammation, hepatocellular destruction leading to cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [19].

On a rare frequency, fulminant hepatitis can occur with a new acute infection and the patient could suddenly develop liver failure, consequently, intravascular coagulation may occur. It has been shown that such patients also indicate cellular metabolic abnormalities [30].

Hepatitis B can be diagnosed by the presence of a viral surface antigen (HBsAg), a core antigen (HBeAg) and surface antibody (anti-HBsAg) [8]. HBsAg is used to detect current infections in both acute and chronic cases [12].

The safety and efficacy of blood products are primary concerns of transfusion medicines practitioners and blood transfusion services. Screening of prospective blood donors for infectious diseases consist of completing a standard pre-donation questionnaire, counselling and post-donation testing of donor samples by the blood service to detect transfusion transmissible infections such as HIV, HBV, HCV, and Syphilis [10].

Serological screening tests have proved to be useful and cost - effective tools in helping to keep the blood supply safe. However, the window period for antibody development could take several weeks after pathogen exposure, thus affecting its detection rate. Infact much of the residual transfusion – related transmission of HIV, HBV, and HCV is from seronegative donors within this window period.

Recipients of infected blood will sooner or later develop the infection if the immunologic system is not able to clear the virus early before it replicates tremendously in the body. In the case of hepatitis B virus, the liver will certainly receive the assault with attendant consequences. Biochemical measurements particularly of plasma total proteins, albumin, bilirubin, aminotransferase enzymes and alkaline phosphatase could play a role in the discovery that liver damage has occurred and also in monitoring therapeutic progress [5].

This study assessed the effect of hepatitis B virus on liver function parameters in asymptomatic carriers among voluntary blood donors in some towns in Nigeria.

**Characteristics of the Subjects**

The subjects were prospective blood donors who visited the National Blood Transfusion Service Centres, to donate blood on the basis of voluntary donation. They were mainly persons who met the
inclusion criteria of age, weight, haemoglobin level and health check and were considered fit to donate blood after physical examination and counselling. Those who were differed from donating blood were excluded from the study.

Ethical Approval and informed consent by the subjects

Ethical approval was obtained from the National Health Research Ethics Committee. Approval was also obtained from the National Blood Transfusion Service headquarter to use its platform to recruit voluntary blood donors for the study.

Informed consent was obtained from the subject after thorough explanation of the purpose, benefits and risk of the study. Participants who declined to participate in the study were excluded.

Sample Size Calculation

The sample size for the study was determined using the formula for cross sectional studies [6]. The study sample size required from each geopolitical zone was 150 subjects.

3.2 COLLECTION OF BLOOD SPECIMEN

Blood specimen was collected from the study subject after donating a unit of blood into EDTA specimen containers. Blood specimen was again collected from the subjects into Lithium Heparin sample containers. The EDTA specimen was centrifuged and the plasma was used in the determination of hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatitis C and Human Immunodeficiency Virus antigen-antibodies using Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay technique (ELISA). The assay is a qualitative one-step enzyme immunoassay technique of sandwich type for the detection of HBsAg in human serum or plasma and it is intended for screening of blood donations and for diagnostics [3]. The Lithium Heparin sample was also centrifuged and the plasma was used in analysis of liver function parameters-total protein, albumin, total bilirubin, conjugated bilirubin AST and ALP.

Testing of the Samples

The plasma samples were analysed for hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatitis C antibody and human immunodeficiency virus at the National Blood Transfusion Service Laboratory in Abuja. The screening for hepatitis C and HIV was aimed at ruling-off any co-infection [3, 11].

Determination of Liver Function Parameters

Liver Function Parameters were determined using a Chem-well®T-Automated Chemistry Analyzer, produced by awareness Technology Inc., USA. The Chem-well®T is fully automated open system analyser for biochemistry assays and is supplied with optimized programmed protocols ready for use with an extensive range of megazyme test kits. All the reagent and serum samples were left out to adjust to the room prior to analyses [2].

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The results were analysed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS). STAT 15.1 developed by SAS Institute, North Carolina State University, USA. Data were presented as mean ± SEM, comparison of groups that were more than two was done using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Turkey test of multiple comparisons was used to test for variance across groups. Variations in means of parameters were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Study Population

A total of 532 subjects who are voluntary nonremunerated blood donors participated in the study. The North Central geographical zone had 183 participants whose mean age was 34.89± 9.19 years out of which 154 (84.15%) were negative to hepatitis B virus (HBsAg) while 29 (15.85%) were positive. The North East geographical zone had 176 participants whose mean age was 39.81 ± 9.60 years out of which 156 (88.64%) were negative to HBV while 20 (11.36%) were positive. The South-South geographical zone had 173 participants whose mean age was 39.81 ± 27 years out of which 153 (88.44%) were negative to HBV while 20 were positive. (61.75) were males with mean age 41.91± 9.25 years, while 41 were females with mean age of 35.29± 9.52 years. In the North East geographical zone male HBV negative subjects were 42(23.86%) with mean age of 28.05± 6.10 years.

In the South-South geographical zone male HBV negative subjects were 109 (63.010%) with mean age of 43.41 ± 8.13 years while females were 44 (22.43%) with mean age of 31.41 ± 7.55 years.

On the other hand, 29 (15.85%) subjects were HBV positive in the North Central geographical zone among whom 24 (13.11%) males with mean age of 39.42 ± 5.52 years and 5 (2.73%) females with mean age of 31.60 ± 5.32 years.

The North East geographical zone had 20 (11.36%) HBV positive subjects out of which 15 (8.52%) were males with mean age of 42.13 ± 4.07 years and 5 (2.84%) females with mean age of 33. 40 ± 2.61 years.

In the South-South geographical zone, HBV positive subjects were 20 (11.56%) comprising of 14 (8.09%) with mean age of 42. 00± 3.59 years and 6(3.47%) females with mean age of 33.67± 7.15 years.

The aggregate prevalence rate of HBV (HBsAg) negative in the three geopolitical zones by sex
was 63.16% for males and 23.87% for females, while the prevalence rate of HBsAg positive was 9.96% for males and 3.01% for females.

However, the overall prevalence rate of HBsAg in the study population was 12.97% (Table 1).

### Table-1.1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Study Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>North Central</th>
<th>Age Group (yrs)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis Negative</td>
<td>183 (100)</td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hepatitis Positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>65.52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55+</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>34.89±9.49</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41.91±25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35.29±9.52</td>
<td>39.42±5.52</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>31.60±5.32</td>
<td>31.60±5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South-East</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>73.08</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age Group (yrs)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>29.49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55+</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>39.81±9.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44.12±7.35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.05±6.10</td>
<td>42.13±4.07</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>33.40±2.61</td>
<td>33.40±2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>71.24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>28.76</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age Group (yrs)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD)</td>
<td>39.92±9.27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.41±8.13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>31.45±7.55</td>
<td>42.00±3.59</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>33.67±7.15</td>
<td>33.67±7.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Percentages may not add up to exactly 100 due to rounding up.

**Liver Function Parameters of the study population**

The liver function parameters of the study subject in the geopolitical zones in the HBsAg negative and positive by hepatitis B status and sex subjects is shown in tables 2 (a) and 2 (b).

**Total Bilirubin among HBsAg Negative and Positive participants in the Geo-Political Zones**

In the North Central zone, mean levels of total bilirubin for male HBsAg negative participants was 16.90±0.71 μmol/l, while mean plasma levels for total bilirubin in the female participants 15.59±1.52 μmol/l. Correspondingly, among HBsAg positive participants in the North Central geopolitical zone, the mean plasma levels of total bilirubin in the male participants was 15.59±1.52 μmol/l, while mean plasma total bilirubin in the female participants 10.20±3.34 μmol/l.

In the North East zone, mean plasma levels of total bilirubin in male HBsAg negative participants was 12.81±0.70 μmol/l, while mean plasma levels of total bilirubin in the female participants was 13.77±1.15 μmol/l. The mean plasma total bilirubin in the male HBsAg positive participants was 16.07±1.93 μmol/l, while mean plasma total bilirubin in the female participants was 14.34±3.44 μmol/l.

In the South-East zone, mean plasma levels of total bilirubin in male HBsAg negative participants was 13.02±0.72 μmol/l, while the value in the female participants was 11.07±1.13 μmol/l. Similarly, the mean plasma total bilirubin in the male HBsAg positive participants was 14.57±2.00 μmol/l, while mean levels for female participants was 12.41±3.05 μmol/l. The comparison of the means of total bilirubin across the three geopolitical zones by HBsAg status and sex did not show any significance (F=0.1583, P=0.8536). The boxplot of the distribution of the mean of total bilirubin across the three geopolitical zones by HBsAg status and sex is shown in Figure 1.

**Conjugated Bilirubin among HBsAg Negative Participants in the Geo-Political Zones**

In the North Central zone, mean levels of conjugated bilirubin for male HBsAg negative subjects was 4.36±0.25 μmol/l, while mean levels in the female subjects was 3.62±0.25 μmol/l. Conversely, among HBsAg positive subjects in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean conjugated bilirubin level in
male subjects was 5.05±0.53μmol/l, while mean levels in the female subjects was 3.06±1.16μmol/l.

In the North-East zone, mean conjugated bilirubin level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 3.36±0.24μmol/l, while the mean level in the female subjects was 2.88±0.40μmol/L. The mean conjugated bilirubin levels in male HBsAg positive subjects was 5.81±0.67μmol/l, while the value in the female subjects was 3.14±1.16μmol/l.

In South-South zone, mean conjugated bilirubin levels in male HBsAg negative subjects was 4.52±0.2μmol/l, while mean levels in the female subjects was 3.98±0.39μmol/l. Whereas the mean conjugated bilirubin levels in male HBsAg positive subjects was 4.43±0.69μmol/l, the mean conjugated bilirubin levels in the female subjects was 3.50±1.06μmol/l. The comparison of conjugated bilirubin level in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex was not significant (F=1.3043, P=0.2723). The boxplot of the distribution of conjugated bilirubin level in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex is shown in Figure 2.

**Total Protein Levels among HBsAg Negative and Positive participants in the Geo-Political Zones**

In the North Central zone, mean total protein level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 7.40±0.07g/dl, while mean levels in the female subjects was 7.28±0.12g/dl. Similarly, among HBsAg positive subjects in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean total protein level in male subjects was 7.43±0.15g/dl, while mean total protein level in the female subjects was 7.34±0.33g/dl.

In the North East zone, mean total protein levels in the male HBsAg negative subjects was 7.83±0.07g/dl, while the mean levels in the female subjects was 7.76±0.11g/dl. The mean total protein level in male HBsAg positive subjects was 8.25±0.19g/dl, while mean level in the female subjects was 7.82±0.33g/dl.

In the South-South zone, mean total protein level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 7.44±0.07g/dl, while mean level in the female subjects was 7.34±0.33g/dl. The mean total protein level in male HBsAg positive subjects was 7.82±0.19g/dl, while mean level in the female subjects was 7.76±0.11g/dl. The mean total protein level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 7.43±0.15g/dl, while mean level in the female subjects was 7.34±0.33g/dl.

**Plasma Albumin Levels among HBsAg Negative and Positive Participants in the Geo-Political Zones**

In the North Central zone, mean albumin level in male HBsAg negative participants was 3.55±0.05g/dl, while the mean level in the female participants was 3.46±0.08g/dl. Similarly, among HBsAg positive subjects in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean albumin level in the male subjects was 3.40±0.11g/dl, while the mean albumin level in the female subjects was 3.64±0.24g/dl.

In the North East zone, mean albumin level in the male HBsAg negative participants was 4.70±0.05g/dl, while the albumin level in the female participants was 4.63±0.08g/dl. The mean albumin level in the male HBsAg positive subjects was 4.56±0.14g/dl, while mean albumin level in the female subjects was 4.34±0.24g/dl.

In the South-South zone, mean albumin level in male HBsAg negative participants was 3.99±0.05g/dl, while mean albumin level in the female participants was 3.88±0.08g/dl. The mean albumin level in male HBsAg positive subjects was 3.79±0.14g/dl, while the mean albumin level in the female subjects was 4.02±0.22g/dl. The comparison of plasma albumin concentration in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg and sex did not show any significance (F=0.9126, P=0.4041). The boxplot of the distribution of plasma albumin concentration in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg and sex is shown in Figure 4.

**AST Levels among HBsAg Negative Participants in the Geo-Political Zones**

In the North Central zone, mean AST level in the male HBsAg negative subjects was 26.16±4.11 IU/L, while the mean level in female subjects was 26.57±1.84 IU/L. Comparably, among HBsAg positive subjects in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean AST level in the male subjects was 32.54±2.41 IU/L, while the mean AST level in the female subjects was 38.06±5.28 IU/L.

In the North East zone, mean AST levels in the HBsAg negative males was 26.19±1.10 IU/L, while the mean level in the females was 24.29±1.82 IU/L. The mean AST level in the male HBsAg positive subjects was 40.34±3.05 IU/L, while mean AST level in the female subjects was 37.90±5.28 IU/L.

In the South-South zone, the mean AST level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 27.10±1.13 IU/L, while mean AST level in the female subjects was 24.54±1.78 IU/L. However, mean AST levels in male HBsAg positive subjects was 46.22±3.15 IU/L, while mean AST levels in the female subjects was 34.80±4.82 IU/L. The comparison of AST level in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg and sex did not show any significance (F=1.3043, P=0.2723). The
boxplot of the distribution of AST level in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg and sex is shown in Figure 5.

ALT Level among HBsAg Negative and Positive Participants in the Geo-political Zones

In the North Central zone, the mean ALT level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 26.33±1.48 IU/L, while the mean ALT level in the female subjects was 22.42±2.45 IU/L. Conversely, among HBsAg positive subjects in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean ALT level in the male subjects was 41.83±3.20 IU/L, while the mean ALT level in the female subjects was 52.80±7.01 IU/L.

In the North East zone, mean ALT level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 27.52±1.47 IU/L, while the value in the female subjects was 25.02±2.42 IU/L. The mean ALT level in male HBsAg positive subjects was 64.84±4.05 IU/L, while mean ALT level in the female subjects was 64.84±7.01 IU/L.

In the South-South zone, mean ALT level in male HBsAg negative subjects was 26.54±1.50 IU/L, while mean ALT level in the female subjects was 23.50±2.36 IU/L. While mean ALT level in male HBsAg positive subjects was 69.06±4.19 IU/L, mean level in the female subjects was 47.32±6.40 IU/L. The comparison of ALT level in the geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex was significant (F=4.3049, P=0.0140). The boxplot of the distribution of ALT level in the geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex is shown in Figure 6.

ALP Level among HBsAg Negative and Positive participants in the Geo-political Zones

In the North Central zone, mean ALP level in male HBsAg negative participants was 81.33±3.17 IU/L, while mean ALP levels in the female participants was 80.82±5.23 IU/L. Similarly, among HBsAg positive participants in the North Central geopolitical zone, mean ALP level in male participants was 97.03±6.84 IU/L, whereas mean ALP level in the female subjects was 88.44±14.99 IU/L.

In the North East zone, mean ALP level in male HBsAg negative participants was 107.65±3.17 IU/L, while mean ALP level in the female participants was 102.93±5.17 IU/L. Also, mean ALP level in male HBsAg positive participants was 129.33±8.65 IU/L, while mean ALP level in the female participants was 140.00±14.99 IU/L.

In the South-South zone, mean ALP level in male HBsAg negative participants was 115.40±3.21 IU/L, while mean ALP levels in female participants was 115.42±5.05 IU/L. The mean ALP level in male HBsAg positive participants was 136.14±8.96 IU/L, while mean ALP level in the female participants was 138.17±13.68 IU/L. The comparison of ALP level in the geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex did not show any significance (F=0.4286, P=0.6517). The boxplot of the distribution of ALP level in the geopolitical zones disaggregated by HBsAg status and sex is shown in Figure 7.

Table-2(a): Liver Function Parameters of Volunteer Blood Donors by Geo-Political Zone, Hepatitis B Status and Sex (Mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geo-Political Zone</th>
<th>Hepatitis B Status</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>TOT. BIL (µmol/L)</th>
<th>CONJ. BIL (µmol/L)</th>
<th>BIL (g/dL)</th>
<th>TOT. PROT (g/L)</th>
<th>PROT (g/L)</th>
<th>ALB (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14.58±1.17</td>
<td>3.62±0.41</td>
<td>7.28±0.12</td>
<td>3.46±0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16.90±0.71</td>
<td>4.36±0.25</td>
<td>7.40±0.07</td>
<td>3.55±0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10.20±3.34</td>
<td>3.06±1.16</td>
<td>7.34±1.03</td>
<td>3.64±0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15.59±1.52</td>
<td>5.05±0.53</td>
<td>7.43±0.15</td>
<td>3.40±0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13.77±1.15</td>
<td>2.88±0.40</td>
<td>7.76±0.11</td>
<td>4.63±0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12.81±0.70</td>
<td>3.36±0.24</td>
<td>7.83±0.07</td>
<td>4.70±0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14.34±3.34</td>
<td>3.14±1.16</td>
<td>7.82±0.33</td>
<td>4.34±0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>16.07±1.93</td>
<td>5.81±0.67</td>
<td>8.25±0.19</td>
<td>4.56±0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11.07±1.13</td>
<td>3.98±0.39</td>
<td>7.25±0.11</td>
<td>3.88±0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13.02±0.72</td>
<td>4.52±0.25</td>
<td>7.44±0.07</td>
<td>3.99±0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12.41±3.05</td>
<td>3.50±1.06</td>
<td>6.85±0.30</td>
<td>4.02±0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14.57±2.00</td>
<td>4.43±0.69</td>
<td>7.54±0.20</td>
<td>3.79±0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Statistic F-Ratio: 0.1583
P-value: 0.8536

Significance Level: ns=Not significant (p>0.05), Tot. Bil = total bilirubin, Conj. Bil. = conjugated bilirubin, Tot. Prot. = total protein, Alb. = albumin.
Table-2(b): Liver Function Parameters of Volunteer Blood Donors by Geo-Political Zone, Hepatitis B Status and Sex (Mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geo-Political Zone</th>
<th>Hepatitis B Status</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>AST (IU/L)</th>
<th>ALT (IU/L)</th>
<th>ALP (IU/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26.57±1.84</td>
<td>22.42±2.45</td>
<td>80.82±5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26.16±1.11</td>
<td>26.33±1.48</td>
<td>81.33±3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38.06±5.28</td>
<td>52.80±7.01</td>
<td>88.44±14.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32.54±2.41</td>
<td>41.83±3.20</td>
<td>97.03±6.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24.29±1.82</td>
<td>25.02±2.42</td>
<td>102.93±5.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26.19±1.10</td>
<td>27.52±1.47</td>
<td>107.65±3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>37.90±5.28</td>
<td>64.84±7.01</td>
<td>140.00±14.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40.34±3.05</td>
<td>64.81±4.05</td>
<td>129.33±8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24.54±1.78</td>
<td>23.50±2.36</td>
<td>115.42±5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27.10±1.13</td>
<td>26.54±1.50</td>
<td>115.40±3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34.80±4.82</td>
<td>47.32±6.40</td>
<td>138.17±13.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46.22±3.15</td>
<td>69.06±4.19</td>
<td>136.14±8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24.54±1.78</td>
<td>23.50±2.36</td>
<td>115.42±5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27.10±1.13</td>
<td>26.54±1.50</td>
<td>115.40±3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>34.80±4.82</td>
<td>47.32±6.40</td>
<td>138.17±13.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46.22±3.15</td>
<td>69.06±4.19</td>
<td>136.14±8.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test Statistic F-Ratio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>0.2723</td>
<td>0.140**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East</td>
<td>0.0140</td>
<td>0.6517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-South</td>
<td>0.4286</td>
<td>0.6517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance Level: **=p<0.01; ns=Not significant (p>0.05). AST= aspartate aminotransferase, ALT= alanine aminotransferase, ALP= alkaline phosphatase.

Fig-1: Box Plot of Conjugated Bilirubin by HBV Status and Sex of Volunteer Blood Donors within Geo-Political Zones

Fig-2: Box Plot of conjugated Bilirubin by HBV Status and Sex of Volunteer Blood Donors within Geo-Political Zones
Fig-3: Box Plot of Total Protein by HBV Status and Sex of Volunteer Blood Donors within Geo-Political Zones

Fig-4: Box Plot of Albumin by HBV Status and Sex of Volunteer Blood Donors within Geo-Political Zones

Fig-5: Box Plot of AST by HBV Status and Sex of Volunteer Blood Donors within Geo-Political Zones
**DISCUSSION**

**Liver Function Parameters of the Study Population**

Clinically, hepatitis B virus has been correlated with abnormal levels of metabolites which are synthesized/metabolized in the liver. This study found that the comparison of plasma concentration of total bilirubin in the voluntary blood donors in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by sex and hepatitis B virus status did not show any significance ($p=0.8536$). The reference levels of total bilirubin used was 0-34 µmol/l [23].

Correspondingly, the comparison of conjugated bilirubin in the geopolitical zones disaggregated by hepatitis B virus status and sex was not significant ($p=0.06567$). Mc Mahon (2009) had reported elevated levels of total bilirubin in the icteric phase of acute hepatitis B virus infection, but this study found unchanged plasma bilirubin levels in both HBV negative and positive subjects. This finding agreed with the report of Ganem & Schneider [15] that in some cases, HBV infection does not manifest as jaundice nor obvious symptoms. According to Pyrsopoulos [29] haemolysis may seldomly occur and may inadvertently results in increased total bilirubin concentration. Bilirubin which is the end – product haem degradation is insoluble in aqueous solution at physiologic pH. It therefore binds to serum albumin and transported to the liver for metabolism and excretion [24]. The Bilirubin is taken up at the sinusoidal membrane in the liver and
conjugated with glucuronic acid by the enzyme bilirubin diphosphate-glucuronyl transferase in the endoplasmic reticulum. It is thus excreted as water-soluble bilirubin glucuronide into the bile [24].

Disturbance of liver function results in ineffective metabolism, consequently leading to increased levels of both conjugated and unconjugated bilirubin [32].

However, we did not observe any abnormality of plasma bilirubin in this study. With respect to total protein parameters, this study found no significant difference in the plasma levels of subjects in the three geopolitical zones, disaggregated by hepatitis B virus status and sex (p=0.6145). Similarly, the comparison of albumin concentration parameter in the voluntary blood donors across the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by hepatitis B status and sex was not significant (p = 0.4021). The reference ranges of total protein and albumin used was 6.4 to 8.3 g/dl and 3.5 to 5.2 g/dl respectively [23]. The most abundant plasma proteins are proteins secreted by the liver or by B-lymphocytes. For most clinical applications, quantitative changes in specific proteins are the major diagnostic indicator, while in a few cases, qualitative changes evaluated by technique such as electrophoresis serve as diagnostic indicator. Chronic infection often yields a different pattern of plasma proteins than is observed in acute infection. In chronic infection such as hepatitis B virus infection plasma proteins are often increased. However, we relied on our results which did not show any altered pattern of plasma total proteins among the treatment groups, that is, HBV negative and positive subjects. On the other hand, Pyrsoopolus [29] had reported that plasma albumin may slightly decrease in acute hepatitis patients, but our study did not observe any altered levels of albumin.

**AST & ALT**

AST & ALT are liver enzymes that play important roles in gluconeogenesis. AST transfers amino acid groups from aspartic acid to ketoglutaric acid resulting in oxalacetic acid. On the other hand, ALT transfers amino groups from alanine to ketoglutaric acid to yield pyruvic acid [27].

ALT is specific to the liver and concentrated in the cytosol, whereas AST can be found in other organs of the body such as heart and muscles tissues and it is found in both cytosol and mitochondria. Hepatic injury result in leakages of ALT and AST leading to elevated serum levels [27, 9]. A plasma AST: AST ratio may help to indicate the type of cell damage.

The aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels of the voluntary blood donors in the three geopolitical zones disaggregated by hepatitis B virus status and sex were compared. There was no statistically significant difference (p = 0.2723). The reference range used was adult male = < 35u/L; female = < 31 u/L [23]. On the other hand, the comparison of Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) of the voluntary donors across the three geopolitical zones stratified by the virus and sex was significant (p = 0.0140). The reference interval used was adult male = < 45 u/L; female = < 34 u/L [23].

Traditionally, liver enzymes are released when there is liver function impairment. Although this study observed an increase in ALT levels, the magnitude of increase contradicts the report of Terrault and colleagues [33] which stated that a 3- to 10-fold to a striking increase of greater than 100 folds is seen in acute phase infection. The results of this study agreed with Panteghini [27] that plasma ALT levels are usually higher than AST in most type of liver disease. The HBV positive subjects in this study were asymptomatic and had no underlying liver disease. The unaltered plasma levels of AST and the marginal increase in ALT levels observed in this study demonstrates the absence of disease process which affects the integrity of liver cells as described by Czuczejke and co researchers [9] and Panteghini [27]. ALT is a cystosolic enzyme with highest activity in the liver, it is therefore more specific marker for hepatocellular disease [27].

The aminotransferase threshold for diagnosing liver injury is a 7-fold increase over the upper reference limit. The results obtained were far below this level; therefore the hepatitis B positive voluntary donors identified in this study were chronic asymptomatic carriers. This study also found a non-significance difference in Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) parameter of the voluntary blood donors in the three geopolitical zones, disaggregated by Hepatitis B virus and sex (p = 0.6517). The reference range used was 20-50 year male 53-128, female = 42 – 98 u/L; >60 years male = 56-119 u/L; female = 53-149 u/L [23]. Although enzymes are associated with liver function impairment, the role of ALP is controversial in some studies as reported by Platis [28]. Mastoi and colleagues [20] had implicated an elevated ALP levels in hepatitis B virus infected person, but our study found no such pattern.

ALP activity is present in organs of the body; such as small intestine, proximal tubules of the kidney, bone, liver and placenta, which confer on it non-specific for liver hepatocellular injury such as hepatitis virus infection. Nevertheless, we observed normal ALP levels within the reference range in this study.

**SUMMARY & CONCLUSION**

Transfusion of blood is intended to correct clinical problems such as blood loss, anaemia, coagulopathies or thrombocytopenia. However, many recipients of blood have been infected with blood-borne pathogens such as hepatitis B virus, HIV, and others, transmitted through the transfusion, as a consequence, they later developed diseases which are associated with such pathogens. Great care must
therefore be taken to ensure the quality and safety of blood products. Moreover, transfusion of blood should be given for a good reason after evaluation of the patient’s clinical situation.

This study assessed the effect of hepatitis B virus on liver function parameters in voluntary blood donors who tested positive to the virus. The study did not identify any abnormality in plasma levels of liver function parameters of the subjects. The asymptomatic HBV carriers were apparently healthy.

**Limitations of the study**

This study was carried out on voluntary blood donors in towns within three geopolitical zones. Thus, the findings may not be generalizable to all populations.

We were not able to identify the occupational status of the study population. Therefore, more prospective research studies are needed to further validate the association of hepatitis B virus infection and socio-demographic factors.

This study also had limitation of inability to follow up the hepatitis B virus seropositive subjects for likely complications over time, due to paucity of time and limited resources.
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