Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J App Med Sci ISSN 2347-954X (Print) | ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) Journal homepage: <u>https://saspublishers.com</u> **∂** OPEN ACCESS

Opthalmology

Prevalence and Factors Related to Raised Intraocular Pressure: In a Tertiary Hospital in Bangladesh during Pandemic situation

Professor Dr. Md. Sharfuddin Ahmed^{1*}, Dr. Shams Mohammad Noman², Dr. Quazi Somaiya Mahnur³

¹Professor, Vice Chancellor, Department of Opthalmology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh ²Associate Professor, Department of Opthalmology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh ³Research Assistant, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

DOI: 10.36347/sjams.2022.v10i08.033

| **Received:** 13.07.2022 | **Accepted:** 24.08.2022 | **Published:** 30.08.2022

*Corresponding author: Professor Dr. Md. Sharfuddin Ahmed Professor, Vice Chancellor, Department of Opthalmology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Original Research Article

Background: Raised Intraocular pressure (IOP) is proven to be the most important risk factor for developing glaucoma, around the world. Hypertensive patients with IOP are more prone to develop Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG) which is one of the major causes of blindness globally. Method: This study was a hospital-based cross-sectional study, conducted in community ophthalmology in BSMMU Hospital during the period of July 2021 to June 2022 The total sample size for this study was 153. Result: Most of the respondents 42(27.5%) were in the age group 41-50 years The Mean ±SD age was 37.15±17.14. 64(41.8%) were male and most 89(58.2%) were female. Refractive Error was found in 11(7.2%) cases and followed by NTG e-ps in 17(11.1%), PACG in 16(10.5%), NTG in 3(2%), (B\E) 1(0.7%). There were 3(4.3%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)A(mmHg) range 12-12 and followed by 29(42%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 18-22 and 37(53.6%) were >50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 22-36. There were 1(1.7%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)A(mmHg) range 10-12 and followed by 22(37.3%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 18-20 and 36(61%) were >50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 20-40. There were 1(1.6%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)A(mmHg) range 10-10 and followed by 25(39.7%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 18-18 and 37(58.7%) were >50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 20-36. Conclusion: Glaucoma is the proved to be second commonest cause of blindness globally which is accounting for 8 % of world blindness. Raised intraocular pressure (IOP) is an important risk factor for the incidence and progression of glaucoma. Keywords: Raised Intraocular Pressure, Glaucoma, and Pandemic Situation.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

BACKGROUND

Raised Intraocular pressure (IOP) is proven to be the most important risk factor for developing glaucoma, around the world. Hypertensive patients with IOP are more prone to develop Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG) which is one of the major causes of blindness globally [1]. Glaucoma is not a single complication rather it refers to a group of diseases having common characteristics of optic neuropathy in relation with visual function loss. Although studies have found elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) as one of the primary risk factors for developing glaucoma but in reality, its presence or absence has less impact on the development of the disease [2]. Age and genetic predispositions are the leading risk factors for developing glaucoma and further progression of the disease but they have a little interventional potential

hence, IOP is left as the only parameter subject to treatment procedure [3]. Studies have claimed glaucoma as the second common cause of blindness around the world specially after cataracts and hypertension is supposed to increase the risk of glaucoma development and progression [4-7]. The risk factors for high ocular pressure are aging, sex, African race, hypertension, pulse rate, diabetes, obesity, alcohol use, smoking, myopia, colorblind, nuclear sclerosis and a family history of glaucoma [8-15]. Hypertension and other cardiovascular risk factors can affect many patients who have other comorbidities like ophthalmic disorders which leaded to rise of intra ocular pressure. A study conducted in Africa reported that glaucoma is responsible for 15% blindness and the region is found with the highest prevalence of blindness relative to other places in the world [4]. Another study also

Citation: Md. Sharfuddin Ahmed, Shams Mohammad Noman, Quazi Somaiya Mahnur. Prevalence and Factors Related to Raised Intraocular Pressure: In a Tertiary Hospital in Bangladesh during Pandemic situation. Sch J App Med Sci, 2022 Aug 10(8): 1364-1370.

claimed that Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG) is more predominant among Africans than Europeans comparing to other regions [16]. Systemic hypertension has already affected more than 25% of the adult population globally and it is estimated that by 2025 more than 1.5 billion individuals will be affected globally [17, 18]. A community-based survey conducted in Uganda reported the prevalence of hypertension at 7% of patients with IOP [19]. Besides, several population-based studies had also found a positive correlation between IOP and systemic blood pressure [20, 21]. Although raised IOP can easily be treated, its prevalence among hypertensive patients is still unknown. Because of the asymptomatic nature of Open Angle Glaucoma, most of the patients remain unknown about it until and hence they can develop irreversible complications. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the prevalence of raised IOP and associated factors among hypertensive patients.

Objective of the Study

The objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of raised IOP and associated factors among the patients with hypertension and diabetes & aged people.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

This study was a hospital-based cross-sectional study, conducted in Ophthalmology of BSMMU Hospital during the period of June 2021 to July 2022. The total sample size for this study was 153.

Inclusion Criteria

• All hypertensive, diabetic and cardiac patients who attended the Hospital during the study period were included in this study.

- **Exclusion Criteria**
- Patients with known allergies to eye drops used in the study like local anesthetic drops such as tetracaine and mydriatic eye drops like tropicamide.
- Patients with corneal irregularities that could affect the IOP readings e.g., in anterior segment pathology like corneal opacities and ulcers, collagen disorders like keratoconus, Endothelialbased corneal dystrophies (e.g., Fuchs), Previous corneal surgery involving Central cornea, Previous corneal trauma/injury, Previous refractive surgery, Corneal edema, Corneal astigmatism (≥3.00 D), Contact lens wear with induced corneal edema.

After the admission the blood pressure (B.P) of all patients was measured using a manual sphygmomanometer and when systolic blood pressure was > 130 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure > 80 mm Hg the blood pressure was considered to be raised or high. The sugar level of every patient was also measured. The Intraocular Pressure (IOP) was assessed with the i-care tonometer after applying tetracaine Hcl 0.1%. Three consecutive readings were taken and the average recorded as the measured IOP in mmHg. The IOP measurements were taken from 9 am to 12 noon by the principal investigator to avoid diurnal variation. IOP levels between 10 and 21 mmHg were considered normal. Values higher than 21 mmHg were considered raised IOP and below 10 mmHg were considered as ocular hypotension. Statistical Analysis was done using the SPSS version 21 software to establish the relationship between the dependent variable (IOP) and each independent variable.

RESULT

Age	N=155	Percentage (%)
0-10	8	5.2
11-20	20	13.1
21-30	25	16.3
31-40	21	13.7
41-50	42	27.5
51-60	24	15.7
61-70	9	5.9
71-80	4	2.6
Mean ±SD	37.15±17.14	

Table I: Age Distribution of the Respondents Age N=153 Beneratore (9/)

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the respondents. Most of the respondents 42(27.5%) were in the age group 41-50 years and followed by 8(5.2%) in 0-10 years, 20(13.1%) in 11-20, 25(16.3%) in 21-30,

21(13.7%) in 31-40, 24(15.7%) in 51-60, 9(5.9%) in 61-70, 4(2.6%) in 71-80 years. The Mean \pm SD age was 37.15 \pm 17.14.



Figure 1: Age Distribution of the Respondents

Table II: Gender Distribution					
Gender	N=110	Percentage (%)			
Male	64	41.8			
Female	89	58.2			

Table II shows the gender distribution of the study patients where 64(41.8%) were male and most 89(58.2%) were female.



Figure 2: Gender Distribution

Table III: Diagnosis				
DX	N=110	Percentage (%)		
Refractive Error	11	7.2		
NTG e-ps	17	11.1		
PACG c-Catarect	16	10.5		
ONTG	3	2.0		
(S/P) Trab	1	0.7		

Table III shows the diagnosis done among the study patients. Refractive Error was found in 11(7.2%) cases and followed by NTG e-ps in 17(11.1%), PACG

c-Cataract in 16(10.5%), ONTG in 3(2%), (S/P) 1(0.7%).



Figure 3: Diagnosis

Table IV: IOP Range				
(IOP) (MHg)	N=153	Percentage (%)		
8-14	21	13.7		
12-20	9	5.9		
14-36	7	4.6		
15-16	5	3.3		
16-20	19	12.4		
17-18	6	3.9		
18-48	27	17.6		
20-22	9	5.9		
21-22	6	3.9		
22-36	8	5.2		
24-40	8	5.2		
25-23	4	2.6		
26-18	3	2.0		
Others	21	13.7		

Table IV shows the (IOP) (MHg) in different ranges. Most of the study patient's (IOP)(MHg) 27(17.6%) was ranged between 18-48 and followed by 21(13.7%) was 8-14, 9(5.9%) was 12-20, 7(4.6%) was 14-36, 5(3.3%) was 15-16, 19(12.4%) was 16-20,

6(3.9%) was 17-18, 9(5.9%) was 20-22, 6(3.9%) was 21-22, 8(5.2%) was 22-36, 8(5.2%) was 24-40, 4(2.6%) was 25-23, 3(2%) was 26-18 and 21(13.7%) ranged others.



Figure IV: IOP Range

Table V: Wost Frequent (IOF) (WHg) Kange in Diabetic Fatients in Kelation to Age					
Age Group in Years	Diabetic Patients		Most Frequent (IOP)A(MHg) Range		
	N=69	Percentage (%)			
20-29	3	4.3	12-12		
30-50	29	42.0	18-22		
>50	37	53.6	22-36		

Table V: Most Frequent (IOP) (MHg) Pange in Diabetic Patients in Polation to Age

Table V shows the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range in diabetic patients in relation to age. There were 3(4.3%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range 1212 and followed by 29(42%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 18-22 and 37(53.6%) were >50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 22-36.

Table '	VI: Most	Frequent	(IOP)(mmHg)	Range in	HTN Patients in	n Relation to Age
Lanc	1. TITOSC	ricquent	(IOI /(mmig)	mange m	III I aucius ii	i ittiation to rige

Age Group in Years	Hbp Patients		Most Frequent (IOP)(MHg) Range
	N=59	Percentage (%)	
20-29	1	1.7	10-12
30-50	22	37.3	18-20
>50	36	61.0	20-40

Table VI represents the most frequent (IOP)A(mmHg) range in HTN patients in relation to age. There were 1(1.7%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)A(mmHg) range 1012 and followed by 22(37.3%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 18-20 and 36(61%) were >50 years with (IOP)A(mmHg) range 20-40.

Table VII: Most Frequent (IOP)(mmHg) Range in Cardiac Patients in Relation to Age

Age Group in Years	Cardiac Patients		Most Frequent (IOP)(mmHg) Range
	N=63	Percentage (%)	
20-29	1	1.6	10-10
30-50	25	39.7	18-18
>50	37	58.7	20-36

Table VII denotes the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range in cardiac patients in relation to age. There were 1(1.6%) patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range 10-10 and followed by 25(39.7%) were 30-50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 18-18 and 37(58.7%) were >50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 20-36.

DISCUSSION

Studies had reported IOP as a good predictor for the onset of POAG, and proved that topical ocular hypotensive medication was effective in delaying or preventing the onset of POAG in individuals with elevated IOP [22, 23]. Several studies reported that the glaucoma prevalence rate i increased with age [24-29]. Most of the respondents 27.5% were in the age group 41-50 years and followed by 5.2% in 0-10 years, 13.1% in 11-20, 16.3% in 21-30, 13.7% in 31-40, 15.7% in 51-60, 5.9% in 61-70, 2.6% in 71-80 years. The Mean ±SD age was 37.15±17.14 (table I). The study of Alpha Madu reported that most of the respondents 53.9% were more than 60 years and followed by 1.6% were >20, 6.7% in 20-40, 37.8% in 41-60 years [30]. Most of the respondents 64(41.8%) were male and most 89(58.2%) were female (table II) He et al., in their study found 42.25% were male and most 57.75% were female [31]. Refractive Error was found in 7.2% cases and followed

by NTG e-ps in 11.1%, PACG c-Cataract in 10.5%, NTG in 2%, (B\E) 0.7% (table III). Most of the study patient's (IOP)(mmHg) 17.6% was ranged between 18-48 and followed by 13.7% was 8-14, 5.9% was 12-20, 4.6% was 14-36, 3.3% was 15-16, 12.4% was 16-20, 3.9% was 17-18, 5.9% was 20-22, 3.9% was 21-22, 5.2% was 22-36, 5.2% was 24-40, 2.6% was 25-23, 2% was 26-18 and 13.7% ranged others (table IV). This study was showed statistically significant correlation among the patient with diabetics, HTN and cardiac diseases with raised IOP. There were 4.3% diabetic patients in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range 12-12 and followed by 42% were 30-50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 18-22 and 53.6% were >50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 22-36 (table V). There were 1.7% HTN patient in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range 10-12 and followed by 37.3% were 30-50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 18-20 and 61% were >50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 20-40 (table VI). There were 1.6% cardiac patient in 20-29 years age group with the most frequent (IOP)(mmHg) range 10-10 and followed by 39.7% were 30-50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 18-18 and 58.7% were >50 years with (IOP)(mmHg) range 20-36 (table VII).

CONCLUSION

Glaucoma is proved to be second commonest cause of blindness globally which is accounting for 8 % of worldwide blindness. Raised intraocular pressure (IOP) is an important risk factor for the incidence and progression of glaucoma. Hypertensive patients are at a risk of developing and progression of primary Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG) which can be a leading cause of blindness. Besides, the patients with diabetics and cardiac disease are also at high risk of developing glaucoma. Hence, hypertension, diabetics and cardiac disease is considered to be the alarming global health problems because of their chronic effects, devastating nature and cost of management among the patients with IOP. Although studies had shown an association between these diseases with IOP but there is no specific evidence yet of a causative link among them.

RECOMMENDATION

Routine screening and monitoring of intraocular pressure in patients with diabetics, HTN and cardiac diseases are required for the early recognition of raised IOP and early measurement of prevention of Glaucoma-associated with blindness.

Limitation

Study period is very short. The longer study period and larger sample size which would give appropriate result.Due to pandemic period during COVID-19 season patient sample size was short for this reason nationwide study was not possible.

REFERENCES

- Langman, J. S. M., Lancashire, J. R., Cheng, K. K., & Stewart, M. P. (2005). Systemic Hypertension and Glaucoma: Mechanisms in Common and Co-Occurrence. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 89, 960-963.
- Foster, P. J., Buhrmann, R., Quigley, H. A., & Johnson, G. J. (2002). The Definition and Classification of Glaucoma in Prevalence Surveys. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 86, 238.
- Kwon, Y. H., Fingert, J. H., Kuehn, M. H., & Alward, W. L. (2009). Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 360, 1113-1124.
- Resnikoff, S., Pascolini, D., Etya'ale, D., Kocur, I., Pararajasegaram, R., Pokharel, G. P., & Mariotti, S. P. (2004). Global Data on Visual Impairment in the Year 2002. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 82, 844-851.
- Pascolini, D., & Mariotti, S. P. (2012). Global Estimates of Visual Impairment: 2010. *The British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 96, 614-618.
- 6. Rouhiainen, H. J., & Teräsvirta, M. E. (1990). Hemodynamic Variables in Progressive and Nonprogressive Low Tension Glaucoma. *Acta Ophthalmologica* (*Copenhagen*), 68, 34-36.

- Leighton, D. A., & Phillips, C. I. (1972). Systemic Blood Pressure in Open Angle Glaucoma, Low Tension Glaucoma and the Normal Eye. *The British Journal of Ophthalmology*, 56, 447-453.
- Leske, M. C., & Podgor, M. J. (1983). Intraocular pressure, cardiovascular risk variables, and visual field defects. *American journal of epidemiology*, 118(2), 280-287.
- 9. Sommer, A. (1989). Intraocular pressure and glaucoma. *Am J Ophthalmol*, 107(2), 186-188.
- Martin, M. J., Summer, A., Gold, E. B., & Diamond, E. L. (1985). Race and primary openangle glaucoma. *American journal of* ophthalmology, 99(4), 383-387.
- 11. Morgan, R. W., & Drance, S. M. (1975). Chronic open-angle glaucoma and ocular hypertension. An epidemiological study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology*, *59*(4), 211-215.
- 12. Mori, K., Ando, F., Nomura, H., Sato, Y., & Shimokata, H. (2000). Relationship between intraocular pressure and obesity in Japan. *International journal of epidemiology*, 29(4), 661-666.
- Seddon, J. M., Schwartz, B., & Flowerdew, G. (1983). Case-control study of ocular hypertension. *Archives of Ophthalmology*, 101(6), 891-894.
- 14. Wu, S. Y., & Leske, M. C. (1997). Associations with intraocular pressure in the Barbados Eye Study. *Archives of ophthalmology*, *115*(12), 1572-1576.
- Nemesure, B., Wu, S. Y., Hennis, A., Leske, M. C., & Barbados Eye Studies Group. (2003). Factors related to the 4-year risk of high intraocular pressure: the Barbados Eye Studies. *Archives of ophthalmology*, *121*(6), 856-862.
- Park, S. B., Nam, Y. P., Sung, K. R., & Kook, M. S. (2009). Structural and functional relationships in glaucoma using standard automated perimetry and the humphrey matrix. *Korean Journal of Ophthalmology*, 23(3), 176-182.
- Kearney, P. M., Whelton, M., Reynolds, K., Muntner, P., Whelton, P. K., & He, J. (2005). Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. *The lancet*, 365(9455), 217-223.
- Hedner, T., Narkiewicz, K., & Kjeldsen, S. E. (2005). Hypertension control–A global challenge. *Blood Pressure*, 14(sup1), 4-5.
- Guwatudde, D., Mutungi, G., Wesonga, R., Kajjura, R., Kasule, H., Muwonge, J., ... & Bahendeka, S. K. (2015). The epidemiology of hypertension in Uganda: findings from the national non-communicable diseases risk factor survey. *PloS one*, 10(9), e0138991.
- Leske, M. C., & Podgor, M. J. (1983). Intraocular Pressure, Cardiovascular Risk Variables, and Visual Field Defects. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 118, 280-287.

© 2022 Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences | Published by SAS Publishers, India

- Klein, B. E., & Klein, R. (1981). Intraocular Pressure and Cardiovascular Variables. *Archives of Ophthalmology*, 99, 837-839.
- Gordon, M. O., Beiser, J. A., Brandt, J. D., Heuer, D. K., Higginbotham, E. J., Johnson, C. A., ... & Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study Group. (2002). The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study: baseline factors that predict the onset of primary open-angle glaucoma. *Archives of ophthalmology*, *120*(6), 714-720.
- 23. Coleman, A. L., & Miglior, S. (2008). Risk factors for glaucoma onset and progression. *Survey of ophthalmology*, 53(6), S3-S10.
- Song, W., Shan, L., Cheng, F., Fan, P., Zhang, L., Qu, W., ... & Yuan, H. (2011). Prevalence of glaucoma in a rural northern china adult population: a population-based survey in kailu county, inner mongolia. *Ophthalmology*, *118*(10), 1982-1988.
- Wang, Y. X., Xu, L., Yang, H., & Jonas, J. B. (2010). Prevalence of glaucoma in North China: the Beijing eye study. *American journal of ophthalmology*, 150(6), 917-924.
- 26. Liang, Y. B., Friedman, D. S., Zhou, Q., Yang, X., Sun, L. P., Guo, L. X., ... & Handan Eye Study Group. (2011). Prevalence of primary open angle glaucoma in a rural adult Chinese population: the Handan eye study. *Investigative ophthalmology &* visual science, 52(11), 8250-8257.

- Sun, J., Zhou, X., Kang, Y., Yan, L., Sun, X., Sui, H., ... & Yuan, H. (2012). Prevalence and risk factors for primary open-angle glaucoma in a rural northeast China population: a population-based survey in Bin County, Harbin. *Eye*, 26(2), 283-291.
- He, M., Foster, P. J., Ge, J., Huang, W., Zheng, Y., Friedman, D. S., ... & Khaw, P. T. (2006). Prevalence and clinical characteristics of glaucoma in adult Chinese: a population-based study in Liwan District, Guangzhou. *Investigative* ophthalmology & visual science, 47(7), 2782-2788.
- Zhong, H., Li, J., Li, C., Wei, T., Cha, X., Cai, N., ... & Yuan, Y. (2012). The prevalence of glaucoma in adult rural Chinese populations of the Bai nationality in Dali: the Yunnan Minority Eye Study. *Investigative ophthalmology & visual science*, 53(6), 3221-3225.
- Alpha, M., Omumuawuike, E., Emmanuel, I., & Valerie, C. O. (2020). Prevalence of Hypertension in Glaucoma Patients Attending Eye Clinics in Two Teaching Hospitals in Enugu State Nigeria: A Descriptive Cross-Sectional Study. *American Journal of Medicine Studies*, 8(1), 1-5. doi: 10.12691/ajms-8-1-1.
- 31. He, J., Zou, H., Lee, R. K., Tong, X., Tang, W., Zhang, Y., ... & Ge, L. (2015). Prevalence and risk factors of primary open-angle glaucoma in a city of Eastern China: a population-based study in Pudong New District, Shanghai. BMC ophthalmology, 15(1), 1-9.