Histopathology of Appendicectomy Specimen: A 5 Year Study
Shaveta Sharma, Deepti Mahajan, Mohsin-ul-Rasool*, Shazia Bashir, Ather Hafiz, Mir Wajahat
Department of pathology, SKIMS, Srinagar, J & K, India

*Corresponding author
Dr. Mohsin-ul-Rasool
Email: drmohsin.soool@gmail.com

Abstract: A 5 year study was performed to determine the patterns of lesions (non-neoplastic and neoplastic), to study their detailed morphological features and to correlate the clinical diagnosis with the histopathological diagnosis of the appendectomy specimens. Histopathological records of resected appendices were reviewed over a period of 5 years. In each case, a brief clinical history and physical examination along with the evaluation of available relevant investigations was carried out. Out of 440 appendectomy specimens, 98.6% were found to be involved by non-neoplastic lesions and 1.4% was found to be involved by neoplastic lesions. Histopathological diagnosis of acute appendicitis was made in 64% of clinically diagnosed acute appendicitis cases. In rest of the cases; diagnosis other than acute appendicitis was made. In 5.7% cases, no significant abnormality was seen histopathologically and these were labeled as normal appendices thus accounting for negative appendectomy rate of 5.7%. The present study provides a fare insight into the histological patterns of lesions in appendectomy specimens.
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INTRODUCTION
Appendicitis is a very common surgical emergency and has a lifetime risk of 7%. It is approximately 1.4 times greater in men than in women [1]. Misdiagnosis and delay in surgery can lead to complications like perforation and finally peritonitis. Therefore certain scoring systems like Alvarado Scoring System are established which aid in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, provides high degree of positive predictive value and thus diagnostic accuracy [2]. Appendiceal tumors are unusual accounting for 0.4% of all gastrointestinal tract malignancies. An estimated 1% of all appendectomy specimens contain a neoplasm. The majority of appendicular tumors are carcinoids while the remaining 10-20% is mucinous cyst adenocarcinoma, adenocarcinoma, lymphomas, paraganglioma and granular cell tumor [3]. Not only has the pathologic diagnosis of acute inflammation, at times unusual findings such as incidental tumors highlighted the importance of pathologic analyses of every single resected appendix.

Aims and Objectives
- To study the patterns of lesions (non-neoplastic and neoplastic) in the appendectomy specimens.
- To study the detailed morphological features of the different non-neoplastic and neoplastic lesions i.e. both benign and malignant.
- To correlate the clinical diagnosis with the histopathological diagnosis of the appendectomy specimens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The present study was conducted in the Post Graduate Department of Pathology, Government Medical College, Jammu. Histopathological records of resected appendices were reviewed retrospectively over a period of 4 years from December 2005 to November 2009 and prospectively over a period of one year from December 2009 to November 2010. In each case, a brief clinical history and physical examination along with the evaluation of available relevant investigations was carried out. The specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin. A detailed gross examination of the appendectomy specimens was carried out. Sections were taken for histology. Following the grossing of the specimens, tissue processing was done in an automatic tissue processor. Staining was done using Hematoxylin and Eosin staining procedure. Special staining procedures like PAS (Periodic Acid Schiff), Toluidine Blue was carried out wherever required.

RESULTS
A total of 440 cases were studied. Maximum number of cases i.e. 68.2% were of emergency appendectomy followed by interval appendectomy comprising of 31.8%. The most common position in which appendix seen intraoperative was retrocaecal
(56.8%) and the least common was pelvic position (1.8%). Maximum number of appendectomies were performed in young patients. The youngest patient was seven years old and the oldest was sixty-five years of age. Overall, a greater number of appendectomies (68.2%) were performed in males than in females (31.8%). Many patients presented with multiple and overlapping clinical symptoms. The most common symptom was right iliac fossa pain seen in 310 patients (70.5%), followed by generalized pain abdomen, 55 (12.5%) and fever, 45 (10.2%). Intestinal obstruction was seen in only 2 (0.5%) patients. The most common lesion seen in males was acute appendicitis seen in 188 (42.7%) cases whereas the most common lesion seen in females was acute appendicitis with periappendicitis seen in 75 (17.04%) cases. Grossly, mucosal congestion was the most common finding seen in 203 (46.14%) cases. The neoplasms identified in appendectomy specimens were carcinoids, mucinous cystadenoma and mucinous cystadenocarcinoma. Four cases of carcinoid tumors were seen. Most of the patients were young in the age group of 20-30 years (table 1). One case of mucinous cystadenoma was seen. The patient was male about 60 years of age. One case of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma was seen. The patient was female of 47 years of age. In the present study, the most common clinical diagnosis for which appendectomy was done was acute appendicitis. A total of 300 cases were received with this diagnosis. On histopathological analyses, 192 cases (64%) were diagnosed as acute appendicitis (fig. 1), 47 (15.6%) were diagnosed as acute appendicitis with periappendicitis, 24 (8%) were diagnosed as early acute appendicitis, 2 (0.6%) each were diagnosed as Mucocele and endometriosis, 3(1%) were diagnosed as chronic fibrosing appendicitis, 4(1.3%) were diagnosed as carcinoids (fig. 2) and 1 (0.3%) was diagnosed as mucinous cystadenoma. Twenty-five cases (8.3%) were diagnosed as normal appendices (table 1). Fifty-six cases presenting clinically as appendicular lump were received. Of these, thirty-eight were found to have early acute appendicitis histopathologically. Ten specimens were found to have acute appendicitis and were diagnosed as chronic fibrosing appendicitis. Eighty-two cases of perforation peritonitis were received. A diagnosis of acute appendicitis with periappendicitis was made in 81 cases (98.7%) and one case (1.2%) was diagnosed as acute appendicitis (table 2). Two cases of intestinal obstruction were received. One case was diagnosed as acute appendicitis (50%) and one was diagnosed as mucinous cystadenocarcinoma.

Table 1: Age-wise distribution of various lesions encountered in appendectomy specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Age Groups (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Acute Appendicitis</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute appendicitis</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute appendicitis with periappendicitis</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic fibrosing appendicitis</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucocele</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoid tumor</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous cystadenoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal appendix</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of the appendectomy specimens as per their nature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>No. of cases (n=440)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-neoplastic lesions</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>98.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic lesions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The current study is a five-year study comprising of four-year retrospective and one-year prospective period and presents the data on histopathological analyses of 440 appendectomy specimens received in the Department of Pathology, Government Medical College, Jammu. The histopathological examination of the appendix serves two purposes. First it allows the diagnosis of acute appendicitis to be confirmed; second, histopathological examination may disclose additional pathologies that may not be evident intraoperatively which may impact patient management. In the present study, emergency appendectomy was the preferred approach in maximum number of cases (68.2%), followed by interval appendectomy (31.8%). Deakin and Ahmed have also reported that emergency appendectomy was the management of choice in most cases. Appendices were found to be mostly retrocaecal in position during operation observed in (56.8%) of cases. Pelvic position was the least common position observed in only 1.8% cases. In contrast to the present study, Golalipour et al. [6] and Ahmed et al. [7] reported pelvic position to be the most predominant position seen in 33.3% and 51.2% cases, respectively. Maximum number of patients (30.2%) who underwent appendectomy were in the age group of 21-30 years. Marudanayagam et al. [8] also reported that most of the appendectomies (64.58%) were performed in the second decade of life. A greater percentage of appendectomies (68.2%) were performed in males as compared to females (31.8%). These findings are in concordance with those of Nabipour [9] and Makaju et al. [10]. In the present study of 440 appendectomy specimens, 434 (98.6%) were found to be involved by non-neoplastic lesions and only 6 (1.4%) cases were involved by neoplastic lesions. Blair et al. [11] in their retrospective study also reported that 80% of appendectomy cases were found to be involved by non-neoplastic (inflammatory) lesions. Neoplasms were seen in 4% of cases. Inrest (16%) of appendices, normal histology was seen. Both neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions were observed to be more common in males as compared to females. Zulfikar et al. [12] in their retrospective study recorded 323 cases of appendectomy. Of these, 196 (60.7%) were males and 127 (39.3%) were females. The most common presenting symptom with which the patients sought hospital admission was right iliac fossa pain followed by generalized abdominal pain. Edino et al. [13] in their study also concluded that abdominal pain was the most common presenting symptom of the patients. Mucosal congestion was the most common abnormal finding seen grossly (46.4%), followed by fecolith presence in...
the appendiceal lumen (13.5%). Majid et al. [14] studied 250 appendectomy cases and reported that mucosal congestion was the commonest finding seen in 218 (87.2%) cases. Acute appendicitis constituted the most common histopathological lesion for which appendectomy was done and was seen in 46.36% of cases. These findings are in agreement with those of Chang [15], Blair et al. [11] and Edino et al. [13]. Acute appendicitis with periappendicitis constituted the second most common lesion (29.1%) for which appendectomy was done. In contrast Mukherjee et al. [16] detected only 7.8% of acute appendicitis with periappendicitis histopathologically. Early acute appendicitis constituted the third most common lesion seen in 13.86% cases. Nabipour [9] also reported that early acute appendicitis is not an uncommon finding and reported 9.2% of such cases in his study. Chronic fibrosing appendicitis was seen in 2.5% of cases in the present study. In contrast Edino et al. [13] in their study reported 17% cases of chronic fibrosing appendicitis. In the present study, diagnosis of Mucocele was made in just 2 (0.4%) of cases. Papaziogas et al. [17] also made such an observation in their study spanning over 20 years. Diagnosis of endometriosis was made in just 2 (0.4%) cases in the present study. Gustofson et al. [18] studied 133 female patients and found endometriosis to be present in 4 (3%) cases. Only a single case of mucinous cystadenoma was diagnosed in the present study accounting for 0.2% of the total cases. Similarly, Marudanayagam et al. [8] in their retrospective analysis of 2660 cases reported mucinous cystadenoma to be present in 0.6% of the cases. A diagnosis of carcinoid tumor was made in 4 (1.1%) cases. Similarly, of et al. [19] found carcinoid in only 7 (0.47%) cases. Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma of the appendix was the only malignant lesion encountered in the present study seen in a single case of 45 years old female thus confirming the view that appendix is mostly involved by benign conditions. Similarly, Jones and Paterson [20] reported that primary malignant tumors of the appendix i.e. cystadenocarcinoma were found in only 0.1% of all appendectomies. In the present study, histopathological diagnosis of acute appendicitis was made in 64% of clinically diagnosed acute appendicitis cases. In rest of the cases, diagnosis other than acute appendicitis was made and in 25 (5.7%) cases, no significant abnormality was seen histopathologically and these were labeled as normal appendices, thus accounting for negative appendectomy rate of 5.7%. Negative appendectomy rate was higher in females, especially of reproductive age group (4.5%) as compared to males (1.13%). Such difference was attributed to conditions like ovarian and tubal pathologies that mimic features of acute appendicitis clinically. Connor et al. [21] in their retrospective study of 7970 cases showed a discrepancy between the surgeon’s opinion of the macroscopic appearance of the appendix and the pathologist’s opinion in 14.5% of their cases and most of these were neoplastic conditions. Similarly, Joshi and Manandhar [22] in their clinico-pathological co-relation found discrepancy in 14% of cases and in 86% of cases a histopathological diagnosis of acute appendicitis was made in clinically diagnosed acute appendicitis cases. Therefore in contrast to present study, higher negative appendectomy rates were reported in other studies but like present study, most of the negative appendectomies were performed in females of reproductive age group. Thus, the current study spanned the entire gamut of pathological processes that involve the appendix and provides a fair idea about the clinic pathological correlation in appendectomy specimens.
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