# Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences (SJAMS)

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch. J. App. Med. Sci. ©Scholars Academic and Scientific Publisher A Unit of Scholars Academic and Scientific Society, India www.saspublishers.com ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) ISSN 2347-954X (Print)

Orthopedics

## Analysis of Distal Femur Fractures Treated with Locking Compression Plate

Venkat Reddy Almareddi<sup>1\*</sup>, Ram Reddy V<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagunoor, Karimnagar, Telangana, India <sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Orthopedics, Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagunoor, Karimnagar, Telangana, India

\*Corresponding author Venkat Reddy Almareddi

**Original Research Article** 

Article History Received: 21.07.2018 Accepted: 05.08.2018 Published: 30.08.2018

**DOI:** 10.36347/sjams.2018.v06i08.011



Abstract: Distal femur fractures are one of complex fractures to treat because they are often communitted, unstable and osteoporotic. Various modalities of treatment are available now with varied results. Introduction of locking compression plates in 2001 has revolutionized the treatment of these fractures. We have studied clinical and radiological outcome of distal femur fractures treated with locking compression plate in comparison to existing studies available in the literature. This study was conducted at Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Karimnagar from January 2015 to December 2017. 42 patients with distal femur fractures were included in this study. All patients underwent open reduction and internal fixation with locking compression plate. Neer's scoring system was used to assess the functional outcome of surgery. Out of 30 patients, 24(57%) patients had excellent results, 8 patients (19%) had good result, six (14%) patients had fair and four (10%) had poor result. Average knee flexion in our study was 115<sup>0</sup> with more than 62% patients having knee range of motion more than 110<sup>0</sup>. We recommend open reduction and internal fixation of distal femur fractures with locking compression plate. Easier surgical technique, low complication rate, early rehabilitation, rigid fixation are the advantages over other modalities of treatment. Keywords: Distal femur fractures, locking compression plate.

### INTRODUCTION

Distal femur fractures ate one of the most common fractures encountered by orthopedic surgeons in routine practice. Incidence of distal femur fractures is approximately 37 per 1, 00,000[1].

Distal femur fractures occur in two different scenarios- high energy and low energy. High energy injuries are mainly due to road traffic accidents which are often open with committed condyles and metaphysis. Management of higher energy distal femur fractures is a challenging due to associated ligament injuries, commination and cartilage damage. Low energy injuries occur in elderly with osteoporosis due trivial fall. Management of extreme osteoporotic distal femur fractures is difficult due to poor fixation.

Surgical stabilization with internal fixation is the treatment of choice for all displaced distal femur fractures[4]. Various studies had reported good results with internal fixation for last 3 decades. Despite this, number of revisions is high due to nonunion, loss of reduction and implant failure<sup>2</sup>. Various modes of internal fixation include Blade plate, Dynamic condylar screw, Condylar buttress plate, Antegrade intramedullary nailing, retrograde nailing, Sub muscular internal fixation, External fixation[4]. Due to increasing complexity of fracture, these conventional implants are not ideal choice. Dual plate and Locked compression plates can be used for complex communitted fractures. Downside of dual plating is excess soft tissue dissection resulting in reduced blood supply which may cause nonunion and implant failure[2,5,6]. Most common implants used for distal femur fractures fixation are fixed angle devices, usually in the form of Dynamic Condylar Screw (DCS) system, which is a supracondylar plate combined with a lag screw. This two piece device is more forgiving and allows correction in the sagittal plane after the lag screw is inserted [78]. LCP is a single construct where the strength of its fixation is equal to the sum of all screwbone interfaces rather than a single screw's pullout resistance as seen in unlocked plates. Its unique biomechanical function is based on splinting rather than compression resulting in flexible stabilization, avoidance of stress shielding and induction of callus formation. MIPO with locked plate helps in prompt healing, low infection rate and less bone resorption[9]. Internal fixation with locking plates creates a toggle free, fixed angle construct[10]. Introduction of plates

with the option of locked screws has provided the way to increase the rigidity of fixation in osteoporotic bone or in the presence of periarticular or juxta-articular fractures with a small epiphyseal segment[10]. It offers multiple points of fixed-angle contact between the plate and screws in the distal part of femur, reducing the tendency for varus collapse that is seen with traditional lateral plates[10]. Distal femur LCP is a further development from the LISS which was introduced in the mid to late 1990's. Main difference between the LCP and the LISS is that the LISS utilizes an outrigger device for shaft holes, functioning essentially as a locking guide jig, which is attached to the distal part of the plate and guides the placement of the proximal locking screws. Shaft holes on the LCP are oval allowing for the options of a compression screw or a locking screw. This leads to a more precise placement of the plate, as it can be compressed more closely to the bone[2-4]. Aim of this study is to evaluate the functional outcome of locked compression plating of distal femur fractures based on union rate, time to union, malunion, implant failure and functional recovery.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Prathima Institute of Medical Sciences. Karimnagar from January 2015 to December 2017. 42 patients with distal femur fractures were included in this study. All patients were followed up according to post-operative follow up protocol adopted. Mean follow up period was 1 year, and patients were assessed for functional capacity and radiological fracture healing during every follow up. Follow up duration ranged from 12 months to 36 months. Only traumatic distal femur fractures managed with locking compression plate were included in this study. Pathological fractures, distal femur fractures in children, fractures associated with neurovascular injury, patients lost in follow - up were excluded. All routine radiological and pathological investigations were performed for all patients. AO classification was used to classify fractures based on x rays. Surgery was performed on radiolucent table through lateral approach. Size of plate was selected based on the type of fracture. Knee range of motion exercises were started on the 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> day postoperatively. Non weight bearing mobilization was started from the first postoperative week till 6-8 weeks depending on the fracture pattern. Partial weight bearing was allowed after callus is visible on x ray and continued till fracture united. Sutures were removed 2 weeks after surgery. First follow up was after 4 weeks from suture removal, there after every 6 weeks.

### RESULTS

All 42 patients included in the study presented for clinical and radiological examination at regular intervals. 32 patients were male and 10 were female. Age ranged from 18 to 74 years with a mean age of 44 years. 28 fractures were involved on the right side and 14 on the left side. Road traffic accident caused injury in 32 cases and domestic fall in 10 cases. According to Muller's classification of distal femur, 6 were Muller's type A1, 14 were Muller's type C1, 14 were Muller's type C2 and 8 were Muller's type C3. 34 were closed fractures and 8 were open fractures.

14 patients had associated injuries like rib fractures, mandible fracture, radius fracture, humerus fracture, patella fracture, ulna fracture and head injury. All associated injuries were treated accordingly at the same time. Eight patients had primary bone grafting at the time of surgery and two patients who had non-union underwent secondary bone grafting.

Radiological union was defined as presence of bridging callus across three cortices. Of 42 patients, 36 Patients (85%) showed radiological union within 20 weeks. Average time for union was 16 weeks. Two patients operated for supracondylar fracture non-union with implant failure had delayed union at 13 months. Average knee flexion in this study was 115 degree with more than 62% patients having knee range of motion more than 110°.

Four patients had nonunion. Two cases of superficial infection observed, which was controlled with dressings and antibiotics. No deep infection noted. Out of 42 cases, <1cm shortening was observed in 6(14%) patients, 1-2cm shortening in 2(5%) patients, >2cm shortening in 6(14%) patients. Varus malunion was observed in 2(5%) patients and valgus malunion in 2(5%) patients. 4(10%) patients had implant failure.

Functional outcome was assessed at the end of one year using Neer's scoring system was Excellent in 24 (57%), Good in 8 (19%), Fair in 6 (14%) and Poor in 4(10%). Four patients who had poor outcome contributed to 10% non-union, 10% implant failure and 5% superficial infection.

### DISCUSSION

We have evaluated outcome of distal femur fractures treated with locking compression plate over a period of three years. Outcome was assessed using NEER'S Score. Of 42 cases included in our study, 32 are male and 10 female. Median age was 44 years ranging from 18 to 72years. 32 fractures were caused by road traffic accidents and 10 due to domestic fall. Road traffic accidents were more common in young male patients and domestic falls in elderly females. 28 fractures were on right side and 14 on left side. The epidemiology of group is consistent with previously reviewed literature.

Schutz M, Muller M *et al.* [6] studied 48 cases of distal femur fractures treated with LISS. 4 cases had implant loosening. Revision fixation was required for 2 cases. 7 debridements were performed in this series to

control infections. Nonunion rate was 5%. Follow up rate was 93%. This study concluded that primary cancellous bone grafting is not required for distal femur fractures stabilized with LISS.

Weight and Collinge[67] retrospectively evaluated 22 distal femur fractures in 21 patients treated with LISS. All fractures achieved union at a mean of 13 weeks (range, 7 to 16 weeks) without requiring secondary intervention. No implant failures were observed in this series. At mean follow- up of 19 months, knee range of motion was 5 to 114 degrees.

Kregor *et al.* [6, 8] reported a 93% union rate without secondary bone grafting in 103 distal femur fractures treated with LISS. Remaining 7 cases went on to uneventful union following secondary cancellous bone grafting. At a mean follow-up of 14 months, the mean knee range of motion in this study was 1 to 109<sup>0</sup>. Proximal screw loosening occurred in 5 cases, requiring revision surgery.

Yeap, E.J., and Deepak, A. S57 retrospectively reviewed 11 cases of type A, C(AO) distal femur fractures fixed with titanium distal femoral locking compression plate. Age of patients ranged from 15 to 85 with a mean of 44. Clinical assessment was done 6 months post-operatively using Schatzker score system. Four patients had excellent results, four good, two fair and one failure.

Zlowodzki *et al.*[58] systematically reviewed 2 comparative studies and 45 case series. Average nonunion, fixation failure, deep infection, and secondary surgery rates were 5.5%, 4.9%, 2.1%, and 16.2% respectively.

Vallier *et al.* [60] study concluded that locking plates should only be used when conventional fixedangle devices cannot be placed. They noted the significant added cost of locking plates. To decrease the risk of implant failure with locking plates, it was recommended to accurate fracture reduction and fixation along with judicious bone grafting, protected weight bearing, and modifications of the implant design.

In our study, we have evaluated 42 cases of distal femur fractures treated with distal femur locking compression plate with an average age of 44 years. Average union time was 16 weeks. 10 % non-union, 10% implant failure, 5% deep infection, 5% each of varus and valgus malalignment were noted in our study. We had two cases of delayed union. At a mean follow-up of 12 months, mean knee range of motion in our study was 0 to  $115^{\circ}$ . Functional outcome at the end of one year was assed using Neer's scoring system. Results were excellent in 24 patients (57%), good in 8 (19%), fair in 6(14%) and poor in 4(10%). Functional

outcome and complication rate of our study is similar to other studies reported in literature.

#### CONCLUSION

Locking compression plate is an optimal fixation device for distal femur fractures. It provides rigid fixation especially in osteoporotic fractures and communitted periarticular fractures. Surgical exposure requires significantly less periosteal stripping compared to nonlocking plates. However careful understanding of its basic principles, identification of appropriate fracture patterns is essential to avoid complications. Based on our results and experience, we recommend locking compression plate for fixation of distal femur fractures.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Arneson TJ, Lewallen DG, O'Fallon WM. Epidemiology of diaphyseal and distal femoral fractures in Rochester, Minnesota, 1965-1984. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. 1988 Sep(234):188-94.
- Schandelmaier P, Partenheimer A, Koenemann B, Grün OA, Krettek C. Distal femoral fractures and LISS stabilization. Injury. 2001 Dec 1;32:55-63.
- Martinet O, Cordey J, Harder Y, Maier A, Bühler M, Barraud GE. The epidemiology of fractures of the distal femur. Injury. 2000 Sep 1;31:86.
- 4. Zlowodzki M, Bhandari M, Marek DJ, Cole PA, Kregor PJ. Operative treatment of acute distal femur fractures: systematic review of 2 comparative studies and 45 case series (1989 to 2005). Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2006 May 1;20(5):366-71.
- 5. Kregor PJ, Stannard J, Zlowodzki M, Cole PA, Alonso J. Distal femoral fracture fixation utilizing the Less Invasive Stabilization System (LISS): the technique and early results. Injury. 2001 Dec 1;32:32-47.
- Schütz M, Müller M, Regazzoni P, Höntzsch D, Krettek C, Van der Werken C, Haas N. Use of the less invasive stabilization system (LISS) in patients with distal femoral (AO33) fractures: a prospective multicenter study. Archives of orthopaedic and trauma surgery. 2005 Mar 1;125(2):102-8.
- Giles JB, DeLee JC, Heckman JD, Keever JE. Supracondylar-intercondylar fractures of the femur treated with a supracondylar plate and lag screw. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1982 Jul;64(6):864-70.
- Hall MF. Two-plane fixation of acute supracondylar and intracondylar fractures of the femur. Southern medical journal. 1978 Dec;71(12):1474-9.
- Kregor PJ, Stannard JA, Zlowodzki M, Cole PA. Treatment of distal femur fractures using the less invasive stabilization system: surgical experience and early clinical results in 103 fractures. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2004 Sep 1;18(8):509-20.
- 10. Johnson EE. Failure of LCP condylar plate fixation in the distal part of the femur. JBJS. 2006 Nov

Available online at https://saspublishers.com/journal/sjams/home

1;88(11):2539-40.

- Hugh Owen Thomas. Quoted by Rockwood CA, Green DP. Fractures in adult, 4th ed, Vol. II, pg. 1972-1993, 1996.
- Hansmann C. Eine neue Möglichkeit der Fixierung der Fragmente bei komplizierten Frakturen. Verh. dtsch. Ges. Chir. 1886;158.
- 13. Lambotte MA. Technique et indications de la proth#{232} se perdue dans le traitement des fractures. presse med, 17:321-323,1909.
- 14. Lane WA. Operative Treatment of Fractures, London, Medical publishing company. 1905,29.
- 15. Lane WA. Operative Treatment of Fractures Ed 2 .London, Medical Publishing Company. 1914.
- Sherman WO. Vanadium Steel Bone Plates and Screws. Surg, Gyneac and Obstet. 1912; 14:629-634.
- 17. Townsend K, Gilfillan C. A new type of bone plate and screws. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1943; 77:595-7.
- Fritz Steinman. Quoted by Rockwood CA, Green DP. Fractures in adult, 4th ed. 1996; Vol. II, pg. 1972-93,.
- Fracture and dislocation compendium. Orthopedic trauma association committee for coding and classification. J Orthop Trauma.1996;10 (Suppl 1):1-154
- Marcus J, Stewart MJ, Sisk TD, Sidney L. Fractures of distal third of femur-a compression method of treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1966;48(4):784-807.
- Marcus J, Stewart MJ, Sisk TD, Sidney L. Fractures of distal third of femur-a compression method of treatment. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1966;48(4):784-807.
- 22. Wilson JN. Watson Jone's: Fractures and joint injuries. 6th ed, pg. 1003-070, 1982.
- Hampton. Quoted by Stewart MJ, Sisk TD, and Wallace SL Fractures of distal third of femur – A compression method of treatment. JBJS, 48-A, pg. 7840807, June 1966.
- White and Russian. Quoted by Stewart MJ, Sisk TD, and Wallace SL. Fractures of distal third of femur – A compression method of treatment. JBJS, 48-A, pg.784- 807, June 1966.
- 25. Charnley John. The closed treatment of common fractures. 3rd ed, Pg. 197-198.
- Bank HH. Healing of intraarticular fractures. Clin Orthop, 40; Pg. 17-29, 1965.
- Stewart MJ, Sisk TD, Wallace SL, Fractures of distal third of femur- A comparison methods of treatment. JBJS, Vol. 48-A, pg. 784-807, June 1966.
- Neer CS, Gratham SA, Shelton ML. Supracondylar fractures of adult femur. JBJS, 1967; 49-A, pg. 591-613.
- 29. Anderson RL. Conservative treatment of fractures of the femur. JBJS. 1967 Oct 1;49(7):1371-5.
- 30. Sven O. Operative treatment of supracondylar fractures of femur–Technique and results in 15 cases. 1972.

Available online at https://saspublishers.com/journal/sjams/home

- Zickel RE, Fietti JV, Lawsing JF, Cochran GV. A new intramedullary fixation device for the distal third of the femur. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. 1977 Jun (125):185-91.
- Austin Brown, JC D'Arcy. Internal fixation for supracondylar fracture of femur in elderly patients. JBJS, 1970; 53-B, Pg. 420-24.
- Rigoins RS, Garrick JG, Lipscomb PR. Supracondylar Fractures of the Femur A Survey of Treatment. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research<sup>®</sup>. 1972 Jan 1;82:32-6.
- Enneking WF, Horowitz M. The intra-articular effects of immobilization on the human knee. JBJS. 1972 Jul 1;54(5):973-85.
- Pavel A, Smith RL, Ballard A, Larsen AJ. Prophylactic antibiotics in clean orthopaedic surgery. JBJS. 1974 Jun 1;56(4):777-82.
- Schatzker J, Horne G, Waddell J. The Toronto experience with the supracondylar fracture of the femur, 1966–1972. Injury. 1974 Nov 1;6(2):113-28.
- 37. Schatzker J, Lambert DC. Supracondylar fractures of the femur. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research<sup>®</sup>. 1979 Jan 1(138):77-83.
- Krettek C, Schandelmaier P, Nliclau T, Tscherne H. Minimally invasive percutaneous plate osteosynthesis (MIPPO) using the DCS in proximal and distal femoral fractures. Injury. 1997 Jan 1;28:A20-30.
- Mize RD, Bucholz RW, Grogan DP. Surgical treatment of displaced, comminuted fractures of the distal end of the femur. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1982 Jul;64(6):871-9.
- 40. Giles JB, De Lee JC, Heckman JD, Keever JE. Supracondylar-intercondylar fractures of the femur treated with a supracondylar plate and lag screw. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1982 Jul;64(6):864-70.
- Shelbourne KD, Brueckmann FR. Rush-pin fixation of supracondylar and intercondylar fractures of the femur. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1982 Feb;64(2):161-9.
- 42. Siliski JM, Mahring MA, Hofer HP. Supracondylar-intercondylar fractures of the femur. Treatment by internal fixation. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. American volume. 1989 Jan;71(1):95-104.
- Yang RS, Liu HC, Liu TK. Supracondylar fractures of the femur. The Journal of trauma. 1990 Mar;30(3):315-9.
- 44. Sanders R, Swiontkowski MA, Rosen H, Helfet D. Double-plating of comminuted, unstable fractures of the distal part of the femur. JBJS. 1991 Mar 1;73(3):341-6.
- 45. Leung KS, Shen WY, So WS, Mui LT, Grosse A. Interlocking intramedullary nailing for supracondylar and intercondylar fractures of the distal part of the femur. JBJS. 1991 Mar

1;73(3):332-40.

- 46. Shewring DJ, Meggitt BF. Fractures of the distal femur treated with the AO dynamic condylar screw. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume. 1992 Jan;74(1):122-5.
- 47. Lucas. Quoted by Rockwood CA, Green DP. Fractures in adult, 45h ed, Vol. II, pg. 1972-93, 1996.
- 48. Pemberton DJ, Evans PR. Supracondylar fractures of femur. Preliminary results of new fixation device. JBJS, 1993; 75-B, Supp. I, pg. 84,.
- 49. Iannacone WM, Bennett FS, DeLong JW, Born CT, Dalsey RM. Initial experience with the treatment of supracondylar femoral fractures using the supracondylar intramedullary nail: a preliminary report. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 1994 Aug;8(4):322-7.
- 50. Krickler SJ, Butt MS, Ali MS. Displaced fractures of distal femur in the elderly patients. Operative vs non-operative treatment. JBJS. 1996;78.
- Danziger MB, Caucci D, Zecher SB, Segal D, Covall DJ. Treatment of intercondylar and supracondylar distal femur fractures using the GSH supracondylar nail. American journal of orthopedics (Belle Mead, NJ). 1995 Sep;24(9):684-90.
- 52. Goesling T, Frenk A, Appenzeller A, Garapati R, Marti A, Krettek C. LISS PLT: design, mechanical and biomechanical characteristics. Injury. 2003 Aug;34:A11-5.
- 53. Zlowodzki M, Williamson S, Cole P, Zardiackas L, Kregor P. Biomechanical evaluation of the less invasive stabilization system, angled blade plate, and retrograde intramedullary nail for the internal fixation of distal femur fractures. Unfallchirurg. 2004;107:1107-8.
- 54. Ahmad M, Nanda R, Bajwa AS, Candal-Couto J, Green S, Hui AC. Biomechanical testing of locking compression plates: is distance between bone and implant significant?. InOrthopaedic Proceedings 2006 Oct (Vol. 88, No. SUPP\_III, pp. 401-401). The British Editorial Society of Bone & Joint Surgery.
- 55. Egol KA, Kubiak EN, Fulkerson E, Kummer FJ, Koval KJ. Biomechanics of locked plates and screws. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2004 Sep 1;18(8):488-93.
- Muller M, Allgoewer M, Schneider R. Manual der osteosynthese/ AO- Technik. 3rd edition. Berlin, Newyork: springer Verlag. 1992.
- 57. Yeap EJ, Deepak AS. Distal femoral locking compression plate fixation in distal femoral fractures: early results. Malaysian Orthopaedic Journal. 2007;1(1):12-7.
- 58. Zlowodzki M, Bhandari M, Marek DJ, Cole PA, Kregor PJ. Operative treatment of acute distal femur fractures: systematic review of 2 comparative studies and 45 case series (1989 to 2005). Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2006 May 1;20(5):366-71.

- 59. Markmiller M, Konrad G, Sudkamp N. Femur-LISS and distal femoral nail for fixation of distal femoral fractures: are there differences in outcome and complications? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2004; (426):252-257.
- Vallier HA, Hennessey TA, Sontich JK, Patterson BM. Failure of LCP condylar plate fixation in the distal part of the femur: A report of six cases. JBJS. 2006 Apr 1;88(4):846-53.
- Marti A, Fankhauser C, Frenk A, Cordey J, Gasser B. Biomechanical evaluation of the less invasive stabilization system for the internal fixation of distal femur fractures. J Orthop Trauma. 2001; 15(7):482-487.
- 62. Higgins TF, Pittman G, Hines J, Bachus KN. Biomechanical analysis of distal femur fracture fixation: fixed-angle screw-plate construct versus condylar blade plate. J Orthop Trauma. 2007; 21(1):43-46.
- 63. Ricci W, Zheng, Z, Jones, B, Cartner J. Does Locked Plating Provide Improved Fatigue Properties over Nonlocked Plating and Does Bone Quality Matter? OTA Annual Meeting Poster Presentation Boston. MA; 2007.
- 64. Frigg R, Appenzeller A, Christensen R, Frenk A, Gilbert S, Schavan R. The development of the distal femur Less Invasive Stabilization System (LISS). Injury. 2001 Dec 1;32:24-31.
- 65. Tepic S, Remiger AR, Morikawa K, Predieri M, Perren SM. Strength recovery in fractured sheep tibia treated with a plate or an internal fixator: an experimental study with a two-year follow-up. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 1997 Jan 1;11(1):14-23.
- Neer CS, Gratham SA, Shelton ML. Supracondylar fractures of adult femur. JBJS, 1967; 49-A, pg. 591-613.
- 67. Weight M, Collinge C. Early results of the less invasive stabilization system for mechanically unstable fractures of the distal femur (AO/OTA types A2, A3, C2, and C3). Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2004 Sep 1;18(8):503-8.
- 68. Kregor PJ, Stannard JA, Zlowodzki M, Cole PA. Treatment of distal femur fractures using the less invasive stabilization system: surgical experience and early clinical results in 103 fractures. Journal of orthopaedic trauma. 2004 Sep 1;18(8):509-20.
- McKibbin B. The biology of fracture healing in long bones. The Journal of bone and joint surgery. British volume. 1978 May;60(2):150-62.
- Davis TRC, Wood MB. Bone blood flow. In: Wood MB, Gilbert A, editors. Microvascular bone reconstruction. London, UK: Martin Dunitz. 1997. P.13-17.
- Standring S, Wigley C. Functional anatomy of musculoskeletal system. In: Standring S, editor. Gray's anatomy the anatomical basis of clinical practice. 39th ed. Philadelphia, USA: Elsevier. 2005.p. 83-135.
- 72. Martin Allgower MD, Phillip G. Spiegel MD.

Available online at https://saspublishers.com/journal/sjams/home

Internal Fixation of Fractures: Evolution of Concepts.

- 73. Stürmer KM. Die elastische Plattenosteo-synthese, ihre Biomechanik, Indikation und Technik im Vergleich zur rigiden Osteosynthese. Der Unfallchirurg. 1996 Nov 1;99(11):816-29.
- 74. James E Anderson. Grant's Atlas of Anatomy. 8th Edition, Anastomosis around Knee, 4-54, 4-55; Knee Joint, 4-56, 4-57, 4-60.
- 75. Frigg R. Development of the locking compression plate. Injury. 2003 Nov;34:B6-10.
- 76. Frigg R. Locking compression plate (LCP). An osteosynthesis plate based on the dynamic compression plate and the point contact fixator (PC-Fix). Injury. 2001 Sep;32:63-6.
- 77. Helfet DL, Haas NP, Schatzker J, Matter P, Moser R, Hanson B. Ao Philosophy And Principles Of Fracture Management—its Evolution And Evaluation☆. JBJS. 2003 Jun 1;85(6):1156-60.
- Perren SM, Allgöwer M, Cordey J, Russenberger M. Developments of compression plate techniques for internal fixation of fractures. InProgress in surgery 1973 (Vol. 12, pp. 152-179). Karger Publishers.
- 79. Schenk R. Morphological findings in primary fracture healing. InCallus formation symposium on the biology of fracture healing 1967. Akadémiai Kiadó.
- Borgeaud M, Cordey J, Leyvraz PF, Perren SM. Mechanical analysis of the bone to plate interface of the LC-DCP and of the PC-FIX on human femora. Injury. 2000 Sep 1;31:29-92.