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Abstract
Original Research Article
To evaluate the relation between corneal horizontal diameter (white-to-white, CHD) and axial length (AL), corneal radius of curvature (CR), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and body height, we measured CHD, AL, CR, and ACD using IOLMaster in 333 eyes of 333 Japanese volunteers and preoperative adults aged 21-89 years. There were 161 male subjects and 172 female subjects. The CHD in Japanese adults was $12.05 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{~mm}$. The CHD was significantly greater in males $(12.17 \pm 0.45 \mathrm{~mm})$ than in females $(11.95 \pm 0.41 \mathrm{~mm})$ and significantly greater in subjects under 50 years of age than in subjects over 50 years of age. The CHD was strongly associated with CR and ACD.
Keywords: corneal horizontal diameter, axial length, corneal radius of curvature, anterior chamber depth, body height. Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

## Introduction

Measurement of corneal diameter yields important clinical information for diagnostic purposes (i.e. microcornea, macrocornea, etc.) as well as for new surgical procedures (i.e. phakic intraocular lens implantation, etc.) [1]. Although normal corneal diameter is described in many textbooks, the references had been a few in MEDLINE until 2010. We often think that corneal diameter in elderly people and women are a little smaller than the one in young people and men during cataract surgery and contact lens prescription.

The purposes of this research are as follows; 1) to measure corneal horizontal diameter (white-to-white, CHD) in Japanese adults, 2) to evaluate the relation between CHD and age and gender, and 3) to evaluate the relation between CHD and axial length (AL), corneal radius of curvature (CR), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and body height.

## Subjects and Methods

To evaluate above purposes, CHD, AL, CR, and ACD was measured with IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss, Germany) in 333 eyes of 333 Japanese volunteers and preoperative adults aged 21-89 years (mean, 54 $\pm 19$ years). There were 161 male subjects and 172 female subjects.

CHD measurement was repeated three times and the average was calculated. Five valid readings of AL and ACD and three keratometry readings were obtained with IOL Master. CR was defined as the average of the greatest corneal radius of curvature (R1) and the least corneal radius of curvature (R2). The heights were self-reported.

Basically, both eyes was measured, however, the eyes with a history of ocular surgery were excluded in this study. The eyes with refractive errors and cataracts were included in this study. In cases with the data obtained from both eyes, only the data from the right eyes were used because there was a high correlation between right and left eye CHD ( $r=0.894$ ).

Correlation between CHD and age was statistically analyzed by Spearman's rank correlation test. Correlations between CHD and the other parameters were statistically analyzed by Pearson correlation coefficients and stepwise multiple regression analysis. To analyze the relation between CHD and age alternatively, the subjects were divided into two age groups: group 1, 21-49 years of age ( $\mathrm{n}=135$ ); group 2, 50-89 years of age $(\mathrm{n}=198)$ and the statistical analysis was performed by non-paired $t$-test. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

CHD was normally distributed as shown in Fig. 1. The mean CHD was $12.05 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Table 1).

The mean AL, CR, ACD, height was $24.10 \pm 1.50 \mathrm{~mm}$, $7.70 \pm 0.28 \mathrm{~mm}, \quad 3.27 \pm 0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $160.3 \pm 9.4 \mathrm{~cm}$, respectively (Table 1).


Fig-1: Distribution of corneal horizontal diameter
Table-1: Ocular dimensions and heights of all subjects

|  | Mean $\pm$ SD | Range |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Corneal horizontal diameter (mm) | $12.05 \pm 0.44$ | $10.80-13.50$ |
| Axial length (mm) | $24.10 \pm 1.50$ | $21.05-29.97$ |
| Corneal radius of curvature (mm) | $7.70 \pm 0.28$ | $6.79-8.52$ |
| Anterior chamber depth (mm) | $3.27 \pm 0.47$ | $1.89-4.33$ |
| Height (cm) | $160.3 \pm 9.4$ | $134-190$ |

The mean CHD separated by age was shown in
Table 2. The mean CHD was $12.17 \pm 0.45 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males
and $11.95 \pm 0.41 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females with statistically significant gender difference ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ) (Fig. 2).

Table-2: Corneal horizontal diameter by age

|  | All Subjects |  | Male | Female |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ | n | mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ | n | mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ | n |
| $20-29$ | $12.29 \pm 0.42$ | 46 | $12.39 \pm 0.41$ | 26 | $12.15 \pm 0.41$ | 20 |
| $30-39$ | $12.29 \pm 0.43$ | 55 | $12.42 \pm 0.40$ | 29 | $12.15 \pm 0.42$ | 26 |
| $40-49$ | $12.05 \pm 0.42$ | 34 | $12.20 \pm 0.39$ | 17 | $11.89 \pm 0.40$ | 17 |
| $50-59$ | $11.95 \pm 0.42$ | 42 | $11.99 \pm 0.42$ | 16 | $11.92 \pm 0.42$ | 26 |
| $60-69$ | $12.01 \pm 0.41$ | 74 | $12.07 \pm 0.40$ | 37 | $11.95 \pm 0.42$ | 37 |
| $70-$ | $11.86 \pm 0.39$ | 82 | $11.95 \pm 0.45$ | 36 | $11.79 \pm 0.33$ | 46 |
| Total | $12.05 \pm 0.44$ | 333 | $12.17 \pm 0.45$ | 161 | $11.95 \pm 0.41$ | 172 |



Fig-2: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and gender
*p<0.001, non-paired t-test

CHD in males was $12.36 \pm 0.41 \mathrm{~mm}$ in group 1 and $12.01 \pm 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ in group 2 , while the corneal diameter in females was $12.08 \pm 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ in group 1 and
$11.88 \pm 0.39 \mathrm{~mm}$ in group 2. CHD was significantly greater in young group of each gender ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ) (Fig. $3)$.


Fig-3: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and age
group 1=21-49 years of age, group $2=50-89$ years of age, $* \mathrm{p}<0.0001$, non-paired t -test

CHD decreased with age in all subjects (Fig. 4, Spearman's rank correlation test, $\rho=-0.363, p<0.0001$ ).

CHD decreased with age in each gender group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).


Fig-4: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and age $y=12.506-0.008 \times$ Age; $\rho=-0.363(p<0.0001)$

CHD increased with AL (Fig. 5), CR (Fig. 6), ACD (Fig. 7), and body height (Fig. 8).


Fig-5: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and axial length (AL)
$\mathrm{y}=8.986+0.127 \times$ AL; $r=0.431(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)$


Fig-6: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and corneal radius of curvature (CR) $\mathrm{y}=6.092+0.774 \times \mathrm{CR} ; r=0.491(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)$


Fig-7: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and anterior chamber depth (ACD)

$$
\mathrm{y}=10.584+0.45 \times \mathrm{ACD} ; r=0.473(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)
$$



Fig-8: Relationship between corneal horizontal diameter and height

$$
\mathrm{y}=9.379+0.017 \times \text { Height; } r=0.355(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)
$$

The simple correlation coefficients between CHD and AL, CR, ACD, and height were $0.431,0.491$, 0.473 , and 0.355 , respectively (Pearson correlation coefficient, $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ) (Table 3). The partial correlation
coefficients between CHD and AL, CR, ACD, and height were $-0.029,0.407,0.346$, and 0.049 , respectively, suggesting that CHD was closely related to CR and ACD.

Table-3: Correlation coefficient

|  | Simple Correlation Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHD | AL | CR | ACD | Height |  |  |
| CHD | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AL | $0.431^{*}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CR | $0.491^{*}$ | $0.469^{*}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| ACD | $0.473^{*}$ | $0.607^{*}$ | $0.164^{* *}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| Height | $0.355^{*}$ | $0.371^{*}$ | $0.426^{*}$ | $0.366^{*}$ | 1.000 |  |  |
|  | Partial Correlation Coefficient |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CHD | AL | CR | ACD | Height |  |  |
| CHD | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AL | -0.029 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CR | 0.407 | 0.413 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| ACD | 0.346 | 0.513 | -0.407 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| Height | 0.049 | 0.031 | 0.254 | 0.100 | 1.000 |  |  |

CHD: corneal horizontal diameter, AL: axial length, CR: corneal radius of curvature,
ACD: anterior chamber depth, $* p<0.0001, * * p<0.005$

Stepwise multiple regression analysis revealed that CHD was correlated with CR and ACD. The following equation was derived by the analysis:
$\mathrm{CHD}=5.640+0.670 \times \mathrm{CR}+0.383 \times \mathrm{ACD}$.
CHD calculated by this equation was strongly correlated with CHD by actual measurement (Fig. 9).


Fig-9: Stepwise multiple regression analysis
Corneal Horizontal Diameter $=5.640+0.670 \times \mathrm{CR}+0.383 \times \mathrm{ACD} ; r=0.635(\mathrm{p}<0.0001)$
CR: corneal radius of curvature, ACD: anterior chamber depth

## DISCUSSION

There are various reports on corneal diameter [1-19] (Table 1). In 2002, Wang and Auffarth [1] showed that very accurate white-to-white measurements are possible with the Orbscan. After that, there are several reports on corneal diameter for various purposes, such as the agreement of various devices [3, $8-10,14,19]$ and preoperative evaluation for phakic IOLs [7, 9, 14, 15, 18]. However, there were few population-based studies [2, 4]. The first published population-based study was done by Rüfer et al. [2] in
2005. Their study population included 390 healthy individuals between the ages of 10 and 80 years, and the mean corneal diameter was $11.71 \pm 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ as measured with the Orbscan. In 2010, Hashemi et al. [4] reported that the mean corneal diameter in the population of Tehran was $11.68 \pm 0.46 \mathrm{~mm}$ as measured with the Orbscan. In this present study, the mean corneal diameter was $12.05 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{~mm}$ as measured with the IOLMaster. According to previous study, values with IOLMaster are generally higher than those with the Orbscan [4, 9].

Table-4: Corneal horizontal diameter reported in different studies and their measurement device

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Corne al horizontal diameter (mm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| References |  | Devices | No. of eyes (cases) | Age(mean $\pm$ SD) | Sex eyes(cases) | Total | Male | Female |
| Wang L et al. 2002 [1] | Germany | Orbscan | 26(13) | NA | NA | $12.01 \pm 035$ |  |  |
| Rüfer F et al. 2005 [2] | Germany | Orbscan II | 743(390) | 10-80(40.7 $\pm 16.4)$ | M/F (242/148) | $11.71 \pm 0.42$ | $11.77 \pm 0.37$ | $11.64 \pm 0.47$ |
| Salouti R et al. 2009 [3] | Iran | Galiei | 74(37) | $27.4 \pm 7.2$ | M/F 18/56(9/28) | $12.01 \pm 0.61$ |  |  |
|  |  | EyeSys | 74(37) | $27.4 \pm 7.2$ | M/F 18/56(9/28) | $12.09 \pm 0.87$ |  |  |
|  |  | Orbscan II | 74(37) | $27.4 \pm 7.2$ | M/F 18/56(9/28) | $11.67 \pm 0.29$ |  |  |
| Hashemi H et al. 2010 [4] | Iran | Orbscan II | 399(410) | 14-81(40.7 $\pm 16.8)$ | M/F 155/244 | $11.68 \pm 0.46$ | $11.76 \pm 0.48$ | $11.63 \pm 0.45$ |
| Venkataraman A et al. 2010 [5] | India | Orbscan | 73(37) | NA | M/F (12/25) | $1174 \pm 0.32$ |  |  |
|  |  | Eyemetrics | 73(37) | NA | M/F (12/25) | $11.92 \pm 0.33$ |  |  |
| Sanchis-Gimeno JA et al. 2012 [6] | Spain | Orbscan II | 379(379) | 18-53(29 $\pm 7$ ) | M/F 198/181 | $11.9 \pm 0.2$ | $11.9 \pm 0.2$ | $11.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| Reinstein DZ et al. 2013 [7] | Italy | Orbscan II | 50(25) | 22-51(35.04 $\pm 7.06)$ | NA | $11.83 \pm 0.28$ |  |  |
| Salouti R et al. 2013 [8] | Iran | Pentacam HR | 101(101) | 20-46(28 $\pm 5)$ | M/F 41/60 | $11.76 \pm 0.38$ | $1193 \pm 0.40$ | $11.64 \pm 0.32$ |
|  |  | Orbscan Iiz | 101(101) | 20-46(28 $\pm 5)$ | M/F 41/60 | $11.66 \pm 0.37$ | $1181 \pm 0.38$ | $11.56 \pm 0.32$ |
| Martin R et al. 2013 [9] | Spain | Orbscan II | 328(164) | 18-67(36.4 $\pm 9.3)$ | M/F 62/102 | $11.69 \pm 0.37$ |  |  |
|  |  | IOLMaster | 328(164) | 18-67(36.4 $\pm 9.3)$ | M/F 62/102 | $12.19 \pm 0.40$ |  |  |
| Huang $J$ et al. 2014 [10] | China | AL Scan | 68(68) | 41-84(67.72 $\pm 9.05)$ | M/F 27/41 | $1127 \pm 0.44$ |  |  |
|  |  | IOLMaster | 68(68) | 41-84(67.72 $\pm 9.05)$ | M/F 27/41 | NA |  |  |
| Gharaee H et al. 2014 [11] | Iran | Orbscan II | 2002(1001) | 18-45(29.07 $\pm 5.86)$ | M/F (385/616) | $11.65 \pm 0.36$ | $11.60 \pm 0.35$ | $11.71 \pm 0.36$ |
| Fu T et al. 2015 [12] | China | IOLMaster | 1721(1721) | 40-91(57.0 $\pm 8.7$ ) | M/F (497/1224) | $11.75 \pm 0.40$ |  |  |
| Hashemi H et al. 2015 [13] | Iran | LENSTAR | 4787(4787) | 40-64(50.7 $\pm 6.2)$ | M/F 41.9\%/58.1\% | 11.87(mean) |  |  |
| Chen $Y$ et al. 2016 [14] | China | Orbscan II | 100(100) | $8-39(20.18 \pm 5.12)$ | M/F 51/49 | $11.57 \pm 0.34$ |  |  |
|  |  | iTrace | 100(100) | $8-39(20.18 \pm 5.12)$ | M/F 51/49 | $1133 \pm 0.36$ |  |  |
| Guber I et al. 2016 [15] | Switzerland | Pentacam | 107(56) | NA | NA | $11.86 \pm 0.52$ |  |  |
|  |  | BioGraph | 107(56) | NA | NA | $12.12 \pm 0.51$ |  |  |
| Shajari M et al. 2016 [16] | Germany | IOLMaster | 40(40) | 21-71(36.5 $\pm 15.5)$ | M/F 17/23 | $12.0 \pm 0.3$ |  |  |
|  |  | Pentacam HR | 40(40) | 21-71(36.5 $\pm 15.5)$ | M/F 17/23 | $11.8 \pm 0.4$ |  |  |
|  |  | LenStar | 40(40) | 21-71(36.5 $\pm 15.5)$ | M/F 17/23 | $12.3 \pm 0.4$ |  |  |
|  |  | Visante OCT | 40(40) | 21-71(36.5 $\pm 15.5)$ | M/F 17/23 | $12.0 \pm 0.5$ |  |  |
| Sung Y et al. 2016 [17] | Korea | Pentacam HR | 88(88) | (59 $\pm 13$ ) | M/F 34/54 | $11.4 \pm 0.5$ |  |  |
| Zheng QY et al. 2016 [18] | China | Orbscan II | 78(41) | 21-45(31.21 $\pm 7.48)$ | M/F 22/19 | $11.36 \pm 0.29$ |  |  |
| Salouti R et al. 2017 [19] | Iran | IOLMaster | 100(100) | 33-86(65.9 $\pm 3.3)$ | M/F 58/42 | $11.72 \pm 0.45$ |  |  |
|  |  | Pentacam HR | 100(100) | $33-86(65.9 \pm 3.3)$ | M/F 58/42 | $11.41 \pm 0.42$ |  |  |
| present study | Japan | IOLMaster | 333(333) | 21-89(54士19) | M/F 161/172 | $12.05 \pm 0.44$ | $12.17 \pm 0.45$ | $11.95 \pm 0.41$ |

Our results indicated significantly larger corneal diameters in men. Although Rüfer et al. [2] did not find statistically significant differences between male and female, values in male ( $11.68 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) are larger than those in female ( $11.64 \pm 0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). In addition, corneal diameter in male was larger than that in female in many previous reports $[4,6,8,9]$.

In our study, CHD decreased with increasing age. Rüfer et al. [2] reported that age was significantly correlated with a decrease in the corneal diameter, whereas Hashemi et al. [4] found no significant correlation between age and the corneal diameter. However, these different findings may be attributable to ethnic, genetic, and environmental factors [4].

In our study, we evaluate the relation between CHD and AL, CR, ACD, and body height. However, there are few reports that describe the relationship between corneal diameter and other ocular biometrics. Hashemi et al. [13] described that corneal diameter significantly correlated with AL and CR. They indicated that corneas with a larger CR, i.e. flatter surface, are larger in diameter. Hashemi et al. [20] described decreases in AL of the eye with aging. Since AL is one of the important indices of eye size, when it is large, other ocular components would be large as well. There seems to be an optical explanation for this observation. We believe that as part of the emmetropization process in long eyes, which tend to be myopic, the cornea might elongate to increase CR and shift towards hyperopia to compensate for myopia [13]. On the other hand, as demonstrated, corneal diameter directly correlated with spherical equivalent. This relationship is due to the role of CR in different types of refractive error.

There are limitations to this study. First, we did not evaluate the refractive error data in this study. Second, it is necessary to examine the longitudinal changes for each individual. Furthermore, as only relatively normal corneas were measured in this study, the relevance of these results to post-operative corneas or corneas with pathological alterations remains unknown.

## Conclusions

The CHD in Japanese adults was $12.05 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{~mm}$. The CHD was significantly greater in males than in females and significantly greater in subjects under 50 years of age than in subjects over 50 years of age. The CHD was strongly associated with CR and ACD.

## Disclosure

No conflicts of interest were declared in relation to this paper.

## References

1. Wang L, Auffarth GU. White-to-white corneal diameter measurements using the eyemetrics program of the Orbscan topography system. Dev Ophthalmol. 2002; 34: 141-146.
2. Rüfer F, Schröder A, Erb C. White-to-white corneal diameter: normal values in healthy humans obtained with the Orbscan II topography system. Cornea. 2005; 24(3): 259-261.
3. Salouti R, Nowroozzadeh MH, Zamani M, Ghoreyshi M, Salouti R. Comparison of horizontal corneal diameter measurements using Galilei, EyeSys and Orbscan II systems. Clin Exp Optom. 2009; 92(5): 429-433.
4. Hashemi H, KhabazKhoob M, Yazdani K, Mehravaran S, Mohammad K, Fotouhi A. White-to-white corneal diameter in the Tehran Eye Study. Cornea. 2010; 29(1): 9-12.
5. Venkataraman A, Mardi SK, Pillai S. Comparison of Eyemetrics and Orbscan automated method to determine horizontal corneal diameter. Indian $\mathbf{J}$ Ophthalmol. 2010; 58(3): 219-222.
6. Sanchis-Gimeno JA, Sanchez-Zuriaga D, Martinez-Soriano F. White-to-white corneal diameter, pupil diameter, central corneal thickness and thinnest corneal thickness values of emmetropic subjects. Surg Radiol Anat. 2012; 34(2): 167-170.
7. Reinstein DZ, Lovisolo CF, Archer TJ, Gobbe M. Comparison of postoperative vault height predictability using white-to-white or sulcus diameter-based sizing for the visian implantable collamer lens. J Refract Surg. 2013; 29(1): 30-35.
8. Salouti R, Nowroozzadeh MH, Zamani M, Ghoreyshi M, Khodaman AR. Comparison of horizontal corneal diameter measurements using the Orbscan IIz and Pentacam HR systems. Cornea. 2013; 32(11): 1460-1464.
9. Martin R, Ortiz S, Rio-Cristobal A. White-towhite corneal diameter differences in moderately and highly myopic eyes: partial coherence interferometry versus scanning-slit topography. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2013; 39(4): 585-589.
10. Huang J, Savini G, Li J, Lu W, Wu F, Wang J, Li Y, Feng Y, Wang Q. Evaluation of a new optical biometry device for measurements of ocular components and its comparison with IOL Master. Br J Ophthalmol. 2014; 98(9): 1277-1281.
11. Gharaee H, Abrishami M, Shafiee M, Ehsaei A. White-to-white corneal diameter: normal values in healthy Iranian population obtained with the Orbscan II. Int J Ophthalmol. 2014; 7(2): 309-312.
12. Fu T, Song YW, Chen ZQ, He JW, Qiao K, Sun XF, Zhang H, Wang JM. Ocular biometry in the adult population in rural central China: a population-based, cross-sectional study. Int J Ophthalmol. 2015; 8(4): 812-817.
13. Hashemi H, Khabazkhoob M, Emamian MH, Shariati M, Yekta A, Fotouhi A. White-to-white corneal diameter distribution in an adult
population. J Curr Ophthalmol. 2015; 27(1-2): 2124.
14. Chen Y, Xia X. Comparison of the Orbscan II topographer and the iTrace aberrometer for the measurements of keratometry and corneal diameter in myopic patients. BMC Ophthalmol. 2016; 16: 33.
15. Guber I, Bergin C, Perritaz S, Majo F. Correcting interdevice bias of horizontal white-to-white and sulcus-to-sulcus measures used for implantable collamer lens sizing. Am J Ophthalmol. 2016; 161: 116-125.
16. Shajari M, Lehmann UC, Kohnen T. Comparison of corneal diameter and anterior chamber Depth measurements using 4 different devices. Cornea. 2016; 35(6): 838-842.
17. Sung Y, Chung S, Nam SM. Novel technique to measure horizontal corneal diameter using a

Scheimpflug image on the Pentacam. Cont Lens Anterior Eye. 2016; 39(3): 234-238.
18. Zheng QY, Xu W, Liang GL, Wu J, Shi JT. Preoperative biometric parameters predict the vault after ICL implantation: A retrospective clinical study. Ophthalmic Res. 2016; 56(4): 215221.
19. Salouti R, Nowroozzadeh MH, Tajbakhsh Z, Bagheri M, Ghoreyshi M, Azizzadeh M, Razeghinejad MR. Agreement of corneal diameter measurements obtained by a swept-source biometer and a Scheimpflug-based topographer. Cornea. 2017; 36(11): 1373-1376.
20. Hashemi H, Jafarzadehpur E, Ghaderi S, Yekta A, Ostadimoghaddam H, Norouzirad R, Khabazkhoob M. Ocular components during the ages of ocular development. Acta Ophthalmol. 2015; 93: e74-81.

