Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J App Med Sci ISSN 2347-954X (Print) | ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) Journal homepage: www.saspublishers.com **∂** OPEN ACCESS

Original Research Article

Surgery

Sensitivity and Specificity of Modified Alvarado Score in the Diagnosis of Acute Appendicitis

Md. Mostafizur Rahman^{1*}, SMA Shahid², Md. Shafiul Azom³

¹Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Delta Medical College & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh ²Assistant professor, Department of Paediatric Surgery, Rajshahi Medical College & Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh ³Consultant, Godagari Health Complex, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

DOI: <u>10.36347/sjams.2019.v07i07.019</u>

| Received: 29.06.2019 | Accepted: 08.07.2019 | Published: 20.07.2019

*Corresponding author: Md. Mostafizur Rahman

Abstract

Acute appendicitis is an infection of the appendix – the 3 ¹/₂-inch-long pouch of tissue attached to the large intestine on the lower right side of the abdomen. This infection and the resulting inflammation can cause symptoms including Pain and Fever. A cross-sectional study was conducted in Rajshahi medical college hospital, Rajshahi to evaluate Sensitivity and Specificity of Modified Alvarado Score in the Treatment of Acute Appendicitis during the period from January 2008 to December 2008. A total of 227 cases having features of appendicitis, admitted in the surgery unit of Rajshahi medical college hospital, were selected for our study. About 221(97.4%) of patients complained sudden onset of anorexia. The second leading symptom was nausea & vomiting 214 (94.3%). About 186 (82%) of the patients had history of onset of pain around umbilicus. Pain shifting to right iliac fossa was complained by 78% of the patients. The affected patients exhibited tenderness in Right lower quadrant (RLQ), positive cough test, muscle guard/rigidity, rebound tenderness, Rovsing's signs and leukocytosis 220 (96.9%), 215 (94.7%), 215 (94.7%), 210 (92.5%), 206 (90.7%) and 157 (69.2%) were found respectively. The patients with score (8-10) along with history of pain migrating to right iliac fossa and associated with tenderness indicate high possibility of acute appendicitis. While patients with score (1-4) are unlikely to have appendicitis and could be discharged home safely. Modified Alvarado score (8 - 10)along with history of pain migrating to right iliac fossa and tenderness in right lower quadrant indicate high probability of acute appendicitis and should be operated immediately. Patients with score (5 - 7) may have variable outcome and further decisions should be taken according to guidelines of disease. Sensitivity and specificity of Modified Alvarado Score were 94.65% and 80.00% respectively to detect appendicitis in adults.

Keywords: Sensitivity, Specificity, Acute Appendicitis, Modified Alvarado score.

Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is an infection of the appendix – the 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch-long pouch of tissue attached to the large intestine on the lower right side of the abdomen. This infection and the resulting inflammation can cause symptoms including: Pain. Fever. The Modified Alvarado scoring system is a

reliable and practicable diagnostic modality to increase the accuracy in diagnosis of acute appendicitis and thus to minimize unnecessary appendectomy. Acute appendicitis is a common cause of abdominal pain for which a prompt diagnosis is very essential to reduce both morbidity and mortality [1]. Mostafizur Rahman et al; Sch J App Med Sci, July, 2019; 7(7): 2358-2362



Source: Google

Fitz R, [2] a Harvard Pathologist, had described first time the classical signs and symptoms of acute appendicitis [2]. It is rare in infancy and old age, but is common in children, teenagers and young adults [3]. Much effort has been directed towards early diagnosis and intervention as approximately 7% of population suffers from this disease during their life time [4]. Delay in the diagnosis definitely increases the morbidity, mortality and the cost of treatment. In the recent years, to diagnose acute appendicitis and to reduce the incidence of negative appendicectomy without increasing the risk of gut perforation, various scoring systems has been developed to evaluate the patients with suspected acute appendicitis for observation and/or surgery [5]. Many of them are difficult and complex to apply in clinical setting, but the Alvarado Score (after the name of Alfredo Alvarado, an American Surgeon) is simple, effective and can easily be applied [6]. The Alvarado Score was based on a retrospective analysis of 305 patients and subsequently validated by prospective studies in adults.¹ This score comprises 10 points and consists of 3 symptoms, 3 signs and 2 laboratory tests. According to this score patients are categorized into 4 groups and patients with score 9-10 are considered cases of acute appendicitis with high probability. Several modifications were done in Alvarado Score, but Al-Fallouji⁷ in 1998 have modified the Alvarado score into a more practical, reliable and easy score for junior doctors to use. The Modified Alvarado Score was based on a prospective assessment of appendicitis patients to design a more clinically oriented and more practical score [7]. Improvement in clinical performance with their use has increased the diagnostic accuracy from 58% to 78% with a drop in perforation rate from 27% to 1.25%⁸ This study was carried out with an aim to evaluate acute appendicitis by using modified Alvarado score to increase diagnostic accuracy and cost effective treatment for the poor patients in our country. Equation applied for calculating sensitivity and specificity below:

True Positives

Sensitivity = ------True Positives + False Negatives

True Negatives

True Negatives + False Positives

OBJECTIVES

General objective:

To assess sensitivity and specificity of modified alvarado score in the treatment of acute appendicitis in Bangladesh

Specific Objectives:

To know more about the treatment option of acute appendicitis in Bangladesh

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in the Department of General Surgery, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh during the period from January 2008 to December 2008. Clinically suspected cases of acute appendicitis admitted in the General Surgery Units of Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi were the study population. A total of 227 cases of suspected acute appendicitis, was selected purposively were included in the study. Selection criteria for the admitted patients must have fulfilled the criteria likely pain in the right iliac fossa and/or periumbilical pain, tenderness in right lower abdomen, Tenderness in Mcburney's point, and modified Alvarado score with 5 - 10. Exclusion criteria of the patients of this study were such as those patients aged less than 12 years old, patients with lump or abscess in the right iliac fossa, ultrasonography suggestive of pelvic pathology in female patients and modified Alvarado score having in between 1-4. The Modified Alvarado score (MAS) consists of 3 symptoms, 4 signs and 1 laboratory test were shown in table I. According to this score, patients with score 8-10 were diagnosed as

© 2019 Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences | Published by SAS Publishers, India

Specificity = ----

having acute appendicitis and patients with score < 8 as probable appendicitis or other acute abdomen cases or normal appendix (Table II). All cases underwent open appendicectomy and the resected specimens were sent for histopathological diagnosis. Then the diagnoses made by MAS were compared against histopathological diagnoses and sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive values (NPV) of MAS were computed. All variables data were collected by using a structured questionnaire containing all the variables of interest and analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 22. The key variables of our interest of this study were modified Alvarado score and histopathological diagnosis of resected specimen of vermiform appendix. To calculate modified Alvarado score consists of 3 symptoms (migratory pain in right iliac fossa, anorexia and nausea/vomiting), 4 signs (Tenderness in RLQ, Rebound tenderness in right iliac fossa) as shown in table I and table II.

Features	Variables	Score
	Migratory RIF pain	1
Symptoms	Anorexia	1
	Nausea/vomiting	1
	Tenderness in RLQ	2
	Rebound tenderness in RF	1
Signs	Elevated temperature $>37.3^{\circ}$ C / $>99.14^{\circ}$ F	1
	Extra sign: Rovsing's sign/cough test/rectal tenderness	1
Lab. Investigation	Leukocytosis ≥10,000/cmm	2
Total Score		10

Table -1: Computation of modified Alvarado so	core.
---	-------

Table- 2: Interp	retation of modified	l Alvarado score and	decision taken

Score Interpretation Decision taken		Decision taken		
1-4 Acute appendicitis very unlikely Discharge from hospital with		Discharge from hospital with instruction		
5-7 Acute appendicitis probable Admit for close observation		Admit for close observation and re-scoring		
8 – 10 Acute appendicitis most likely		Acute appendicitis most likely	Operate immediately	

Elevated temperature $>37.3^{\circ}C/>99.14^{\circ}F$ and Rovsing's sign/cough test/Rectal tenderness) and total count of WBC were studied in each patient and recorded. Besides these, age, sex and other pertinent clinical variables were studied.

RESULTS

A total of 227 clinically suspected cases of appendicitis was included in the study to evaluate the role modified Alvarado score (MAS) in diagnosing acute appendicitis. The mean age was 24.9 years with the lowest and highest ages were 13 and 54 years respectively. The age distribution of the patients were observed over 69 (30%) of the patients were < 20 years, 101 (44.5%) in 20 – 30 years, 37 (16.3%) in 30 – 40 years and 20 (8.8%) in 40 or above 40 years old. In our study, we observed 221 (97.4%) of patients complained of sudden onset of anorexia. The second leading symptom was nausea & vomiting 214 (94.3%). 186 (82%) of the patients had history of onset of pain

around umbilicus. Pain shifting to right iliac fossa was complained by 187 (78%) of the patients. The affected patients exhibited tenderness in Right lower quadrant (RLQ), positive cough test, muscle guard/rigidity, rebound tenderness, Rovsing's signs and leukocytosis were found 220 (96.9%), 215 (94.7%), 215 (94.7%), 210(92.5%), 206 (90.7%) and 157 (69.2%)) respectively. The pre-operative findings of resected appendices were observed in 131 (57.7%), 41 (18.1%), 40 (17.6%) and 15 (6.6%) observed as inflamed, gangrenous, normal and perforated respectively. Histopathological diagnosis of the resected specimens revealed that 177 (77.97%) patients had appendicets and the rest 50 (22.03%) were with normal appendices.

	n	%		
Α	ge (years)			
< 20	69	30.4		
20 - 30	101	44.5		
30 - 40	37	16.3		
\geq 40	20	8.8		
Total	227	100		
Mean age ± SD = 24.9±	15 years; rang	ge = 13 - 54 years		
Sex				
Male	119	52		
Female	108	48		
Total	227	100		

Table-3: Distribution of patients by age and sex. (n -= 227)

Table-4: Distribution of	patients by 1	ore-operative f	indings of ar	pendicitis.	(n=227)
		ore operative r	and a second second	per a citor	()

Per-operative findings	n	%
Normal	40	17.6
Inflamed	131	57.7
Gangrenous	41	18.1
Perforated	15	6.6

Table -5: Distribution of patients by histopathological diagnosis (n=227)

Histopathological findings	n	%
Appendicitis	177	77.97
No Appendicitis	50	22.03
Total	227	100

Table-6: Sensitivity and specificity of Modified Alvarado Score to detect appendicitis in adults.

Sensitivity	94.64 %	
Specificity	80.00%	

DISCUSSION

Since the description of the classical signs and symptoms of acute appendicitis by Fitz R [2] in 1886, appendicitis has remained the most common surgical disease for hospital admission and emergency surgery. Delay in diagnosis definitely increases the morbidity, mortality and cost of treatment. In equivocal cases aggressive surgical approach, 'when in doubt take it out' has resulted in increased negative appendicectomy which has been reported in various series from 8-33% [9,10,11]. Efforts must be made to avoid unnecessary appendicectomy of otherwise normal appendix and to increase the diagnostic accuracy of whatever tool used to diagnose the diseased appendix. In the present study, the patients were admitted as cases of acute appendicitis on the basis of clinical suspicion alone. This was followed by modified Alvarado scoring. The decision to operate was made independently of the Alvarado score based purely on clinical judgment. The diagnosis of those who underwent surgery was confirmed by both peroperative findings and histopathological examination of the appendicectomy specimens. These findings were compared with modified Alvarado score of the patients. In this study, the sensitivity of modified Alvarado score in correctly diagnosing appendicitis of those who have the disease was high while the specificity of the score in ruling out of those who do not have the disease was low. Fenyo et al.[12] reported in a study that a sensitivity of 90.2% and specificity of 87% with negative laparotomy rate of 17.5%. In a prospective study of 215 adults and children in Cardiff, use of the Alvarado score decreased an unusually high falsepositive appendicectomy rate of 44% to 14%.¹ However, 7.5% patients with low scores were subsequently shown to have appendicitis. In relatively small study of 49 consecutive patients from Newcastle region, the overall sensitivity of the score was 87.5%, but its specificity was poor [13, 14], however, reported a low sensitivity (66.7%) and high specificity (83.3%) with a negative appendicectomy rate of 32.3%. Keeping

consistency with these findings our results showed that the modified Alvarado scoring system has a low sensitivity and high specificity.

The data clearly show that the specificity and hence the ability of the modified Alvarado scoring system to exclude true negatives (i.e., patients who do not have appendicitis) remains reasonably high. In contrast, the sensitivity shows the ability of the score to detect true positives (i.e., patients who do have appendicitis). Hence as a clinical aid for diagnosing cases of acute appendicitis among patients complaining of right iliac fossa pain it is not as useful as its ability in avoiding unnecessary surgery in patients who do not have acute appendicitis. As a result, the negative appendectomy rate can be lowered by the use of the Alvarado scoring system. However, to be sure that patient does not have appendicitis. Some steps need to be followed [7]. Accordingly patients with score 1 - 4are unlikely to have acute appendicitis and can be discharged home safely thus reducing unnecessary admission, a cost-effective policy that can prevent wastage of money, staff time and effort that can be spent on urgent efforts. Patients with score 5 - 7 (in more than 80% cases) are unstable group of patients. They must be re-scored subsequently until they switch into score 8 and consequently operated on or they may pass into a lesser score (in less than 20% cases) due to the underlying normal or resolving appendicitis and therefore can be discharged home. Persistent score 7 after 24 hour is better operated on. Patients with score 8 - 10 must be operated on immediately because their probability of being appendicitis is about 67 - 90% [1,13]. By adopting this safe policy, one can obviate nearly 30% rate of negative laparotomy and thus the old policy 'when in doubt take it out' would be replaced with policy 'observe and conserve'. The results of the regression analysis of the parameters in the Alvarado score show that migration of pain is the single most important symptom pointing towards a diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Limitations of the study

This was a single center study with limited sample size. So that the study result may not reflect the scenarios of the whole community in Bangladesh.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Though the function of appendix is still not clear, but it can be used for reconstructive surgery, such as replacement of damaged common bile duct and right ureter, for appendicecostomy to divert faecal effluent in distal colonic obstruction and as a caecal reservoir with appendicular conduit in bladder reconstruction. Therefore, every effort should be made to preserve healthy appendix for future reconstructive surgery.

Contribution of the Authors

First author was the main researcher of this study. Others were responsible for data compiling, statistical analysis and computer composing.

REFERENCES

- 1. Owen TD, Williams H, Stiff G, Jenkinson LR, Rees BI. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in acute appendicitis. Journal of the Royal society of medicine. 1992 Feb;85(2):87.
- Fitz R. Performing inflammation of vermiform appendix with special reference to its early diagnosis and treatment. Am J Med Sci. 1986; 92:321-346.
- 3. Jones DJ. Appendicitis, British Medical Journal. 1992; 301: 207-210.
- Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS, Tauxe RV. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. American journal of epidemiology. 1990 Nov 1;132(5):910-25.

- 5. Hoffmann J, Rasmussen OØ. Aids in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. British Journal of Surgery. 1989 Aug;76(8):774-9.
- Alvarado A. A practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Annals of emergency medicine. 1986 May 1;15(5):557-64.
- Al-Fallouji MAR. Post graduate surgery. 2nd edition. Butterworth Heinemann, London. 1998; p.388.
- 8. RICHTER F, STOCK JA, HANNA MK. The appendix as right ureteral substitute in children. The Journal of urology. 2000 Jun 1;163(6):1908-12.
- 9. Chang FC, Hogle HH, Welling DR. The fate of the negative appendix. The American Journal of Surgery. 1973 Dec 1;126(6):752-4.
- Bell MJ, Bower RJ, Ternberg JL. Appendicectomy in childhood. Analysis of 105 negative appendix. Am J Surg. 1982;144:335-7.
- 11. Deutsch AA, Shani N, Reiss R. Are some some appendectomies unnecessary? An analysis of 319 white appendices. Journal of the Royal College of Surgeons of Edinburgh. 1983 Jan;28(1):35-40.
- 12. Fenyö G. Routine use of a scoring system for decision-making in suspected acute appendicitis in adults. Acta chirurgica scandinavica. 1987 Sep;153(9):545-51.
- 13. Kalan M, Talbot D, Cunliffe WJ, Rich AJ. Evaluation of the modified Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis: a prospective study. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. 1994 Nov;76(6):418.
- Andersson RE. Meta analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicitis. British journal of surgery. 2004 Jan;91(1):28-37.