Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J App Med Sci ISSN 2347-954X (Print) | ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) Journal homepage: www.saspublishers.com **3** OPEN ACCESS

Dermatology

Efficacy and Safety of Prednisolone in the Treatment of Pemphigus

Dr. SM Akhter-ul-Alam^{1*}, Dr. DM Nasir Uddin², Dr. Tunergina Akhter³, Dr. Md. Ismail Hossain⁴, Dr. Syed Golam Gausal Azam Quadri⁵, Dr. Md. Nazrul Islam⁶

DOI: 10.36347/sjams.2019.v07i08.020 | **Received**: 25.07.2019 | **Accepted**: 16.08.2019 | **Published**: 25.08.2019

*Corresponding author: Dr. SM Akhter-ul-Alam

Abstract Original Research Article

We conducted a clinical study in the Dept. of Dermatology, Pabna Medical College Hospital, Pabna, Bangladesh during the period from June 2016 to May 2017. Our study aim was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of prednisolone in the treatment pemphigus. One hundred (100) patients of pemphigus (pemphigus vulgaris and severe cases of pemphigus vegetans, pemphigus foliaceous and pemphigus erythematosus) were selected and divided into three (3) groups. Sixty (60) patients were treated with Prednisolone, twenty (20) with a combination of Prednisolone plus azathioprine and remaining twenty (20) patients with betamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse (BC) therapy. All patients were followed from 10 to 24 months (mean 17 months). No significant difference between steroid and azathioprine-corticosteroid therapy groups in terms of time taken to achieve initial control of the disease but the frequency of relapses and the incidence of complications were higher in patients treated with corticosteroids alone. A marginally increased susceptibility to infections was seen in patients treated with BC therapy as compared with azathioprine-corticosteroid group. Sixty (60) percent patients treated with BC therapy required additional steroids in between treatment period. In the conclusion, we can say that, azathioprine-corticosteroid treatment of pemphigus was more effective and comparatively safer than steroid alone or BC therapy.

Key words: Efficacy, Safety, Prednisolone, Pemphigus vulgaris.

Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Pemphigus is an autoimmune disorder. Normally, your immune system produces antibodies to fight off harmful invaders, such viruses and bacteria. But in pemphigus, the body produces antibodies that damage cells of your skin and mucous membranes. Pemphigus isn't contagious. In most cases, it's unknown what triggers the disease. Rarely, pemphigus is triggered by the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, penicillamine and other drugs. Middle-aged or orders are more prone to pemphigus. Since 1950, after starting to use systemic corticosteroids survival rate has been improved [1]. Oral piednisolone was administered initially in three divided daily doses to obtain a rapid control of the disease. Daily single-dose and alternate-day corticosteroid treatment schedules do reduce the incidence of side effects but the response may be delayed [2, 3]. Once the disease process was controlled, a shift to single morning dose and then to alternate day therapy was made in order to reduce the

long-term complications of corticosteroid administration. A maximum daily dose of 120 mg of Prednisolone was effective in controlling skin blistering. The much higher dosage recommended by Lever was not used because of the adverse effects observed by others [4-6]. Reported deaths with generalized skin lesions became rare but side effects of steroid therapy became the major cause of mortality4. Because of the problem of steroid-related side effects, adjuvants like cytotoxic drugs, gold, dapsone and cyclosporin have been added to the treatment of pemphigus to attain a steroid-sparing effect. These agents, however, are not without side effects. Opinion in the literature is divided the optimum dosage of steroids and efficacy immunosuppressive agents in pemphigus. The present study was done to evaluate the efficacy and safety of three currently used treatment modalities in pemphigus. Clinical trial of this sort, simultaneously comparing three different treatment regimens, has not been reported locally.

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Dermatology, Pabna Medical College, Pabna, Bangladesh

²Assistant Professor, Department of Dermatology, Shaheed M. Monsur Ali Medical College, Sirajganj, Bangladesh

³Assistant Professor, Department of physiology, Army Medical College, Bogura, Bangladesh

⁴Senior consultant (Dermatology), 250 bedded general Hospital, Jamalpur, Bangladesh

Senior consultant (Chest disease), OSD, DGHS, Attached with 250 bedded specialized General Hospital, Jashore, Bangladesh

⁶Lecturer (Dermatology), Pabna Medical College, Pabna, Bangladesh

Objectives General Objectives

 To evaluate the efficacy and safety of prednisolone in the treatment of pemphigus in local setting

Specific Objectives

•To know more about Pemphigus and it's treatment option in Bangladesh

Method and Materials

We carried out a clinical study in the Dept. of Dermatology and Venereology, Pabna Medical College Hospital, Pabna, Bangladesh during the period from June 2016 to May 2017. Our aim was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of prednisolone in the treatment of treatment pemphigus. One hundred (100) patients of pemphigus (pemphigus vulgaris and severe cases of pemphigus vegetans, pemphigus foliaceous and pemphigus erythematosus) were divided into three (3) groups. Sixty (60) patients were treated with Prednisolone, twenty (20) with a combination of Prednisolone plus azathioprine and twenty (20) patients with betamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse (BC) therapy. All patients were followed from 10 to 24 months (mean 17 months), Group-A, had more patients than groups B and C because cytotoxic drugs were avoided in pregnant and lactating women and nonaffording patients were also allocated to Group-A. Diagnosis of pemphigus was established on the basis of clinical features and skin biopsies with DIF (Direct immunofluorescence). Clinical parameters recorded in a specially designed proforma and the severity of pemphigus graded according to the percentage of body surface area involved as recommended by Piainphogsant and Ophaswongse [6]. Laboratory evaluation included full platelet count, ESR, fasting blood sugar, blood urea, serum creatinine, LFTs, serum electrolytes, urinalysis, complete examination and chest X-ray. Group-A was given glucocorticosteroids as the specific therapy. The starting dose varied from 60-120 mg/day of Prednisolone, given in two divided doses, depending upon the seventy and type of pemphigus (Table II). First reduction of 20-25% in the initial dose of steroid and conversion to single daily morning dose were made when 80-90% of the initial lesions had healed. Subsequent reductions of smaller volume were made monthly. Once a daily dose of 40 mg of Prednisolone was achieved without loss of control over disease activity, an attempt was made to shift the patients on alternate-day steroid therapy. They were then, maintained on 40 mg of Prednisolone on alternate days for 4-6 months. If they remained in clinical remission, further gradual reductions of 2.5 mg Prednisolone every 2-3 weeks were made. In case of any relapse, the dose of Prednisolone was escalated by 25 to 50% every one to two weeks, depending upon the rate of progression, until control was attained. Patient's clinical condition

was the main parameter used to adjust the dosage of steroids. Group-AI was given azathioprine (100-150 mg/day) in addition to the usual Prednisolone dosages as mentioned for Group-A. With the control of the disease, steroids were tapered first followed by reduction in azathioprine. Group-C was placed on betamethasone cyclophosphamide pulse (BCP) therapy. Each monthly pulse consisted of 100 mg of betamethasone, dissolved in 5% dextrose, given in a drip over a period of 2-3 hours on three consecutive days. In addition, 500 mg of cyclophosphamide was added in the same drip on the first day. In between the pulses, patients were given 50 mgofcyclophosphamide orally each day. Each cyclophosphamide infusion was accompanied by vigoms oral hydration to promote frequent urination for 24 hours to protect the bladder from toxic effects. The BCP therapy was divided into four phases. During phase I, patients continued to have pemphigus lesions. The lesions would, however, tend to heal after each monthly pulse but after a few days new lesions would appear. After a variable number of betamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulses, the relapses would start becoming milder and ultimately the patients would go into the next phase (i.e., phase II), the phase of remission while on the therapy. After the patients had remained in clinical remission for a minimum of 6 months, monthly courses of BCPs were stopped, but 50 mg cyclophosphamide was continued (phase III). After one year of phase III, oral cyclophosphamide was also withdrawn and the patients were followed for a minimum of two years (phase IV), to confirm the possibility of a complete cure. The three treatment groups were compared with regards to the time taken for healing of lesions, frequency of relapses and incidence of treatment related complications. Patients were seen every week or two while being treated with divided daily doses of Prednisolone and until the disease was clinically active. Thereafter, regular followups were done once a month. The chi-squared test was used for statistical analysis. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Table-I: Starting dose of Prednisolone (mg/day)

Pemphigus vulgaris and vegetans		
Mild(15% body area)	60	
Moderate(15-40% body area)	60-90	
Severe(>40 body area)	90-120	
Pemphigus foliaceus and erythematosus		
Mild and Moderate(35% body area)	30-40	
Severe	40-50	

RESULTS

In Group-A, sixty (60) patients of pemphigus (pemphigus vulgaris 50 and pemphigus foliaceus 10) were treated with glucocortico steroids as the specific therapy. Among them eight (8) patients were lost to follow up and twenty (20) were non-compliant to the treatment schedules prescribed so that their status could not be evaluated for comparison. Twelve (12) patients died during the period of treatment, four (4) because of

uncontrolled infections, two (2) due to adrenal insufficiency and one (1) because of unknown cause (Table VI). Initial control of the disease was achieved in 5-50 days (mean 21.9). At the end of the study period, twenty (20) patients in this group were in clinical remission and were taking 15-40 mg of Prednisolone on alternate days (Table IV). In Group-B consisted of 20 patients, fifteen of pemphigus vulgaris and three of pemphgigus vegetans and two pemphigus foliaceus (Table II). One patient was lost to follow up and one died due to bronchopneurnoma. Four patients were noncompliant with the therapy, rest of fourteen patients were symptom free at their last follow up and were taking 10-30mg of Prednisolone on alternate days in addition to 100-150 mg/day azathioprine (Table III). In Group C consisted of 20 patients, sixteen of pemphigus vulgaris and three of pemphgigus foliaceus and one pemphigus erythematosus (Table II). The duration of treatment before the initial control of disease was

achieved varied from 7 to 48 days (mean 21.2). In this group, four patients were lost to follow up after an average period of 2.7 months. Four patients died of infection, one due to ischaemic heart disease. Because of poor response to BCP therapy, two patients of pemphigus foliaceus were shifted to conventional steroid therapy after 4-5 months. Eleven (11) patients were in clinical remission at their last follow up; three were receiving BCPs, while in five patients monthly pulses had been stopped and they were taking only 50 mg cyclophosphamide daily. The duration of phase I varied from 3 to 1 month (mean 6.6 months). Once the patients went into clinical remission (phase II), they remained in remission. Table V and VI list the treatment related complications observed in the patients who are now in clinical remission. Some patients developed more than one form of complications and/or repeated episodes of a single side-effect.

Table-II: Distribution of Type of Pemphigus in the study participants (n=100)

ruble II. Distribution of Type of Tempingus in the study pur trespunts (n=100)			
1. Type of Pemphigus	2. Group A	3. Group B	4. Group C
5. P. vulgaris	6. 50	7. 15	8. 16
9. P. vegetans	10.	11. 3	12.
13. P. foliaceus	14. 10	15. 2	16. 3
17. P. erythematosus	18.	19.	20. 1
21. Total	22. 60	23. 20	24. 20

Table-III: Status of the patients at the end of the study (n=100)

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Remission	20	14	11
Death	12	1	5
Lost to follow up	8	1	4
Non-complaint	20	4	0
Total	60	20	20

Table-IV: Systematic complications of the therapy (n=35)

	Group A (n=15)	Group B (n=11)	Group C (n=9)
Respiratory infection	7	3	5
Dyspepsia	4	2	1
Nausea	1	1	1
Obesity	8	2	1
Myopathy	2	1	1
Hyperglycemia	0	0	0
Psychosis	2	0	0
Amenorrhea	2	1	2
Electrolyte imbalance	2	2	1
Osteoporosis	1	0	0
Cataract	1	0	0

Table-V: Cutaneous complications of the therapy (n=35)

Tuble (Continued to implications of the therap) (if te)			
	Group A	Group B	Group C (n=9)
	(n=15)	(n=11)	
Pyoderma	8	6	7
Eczema	0	1	2
herpeticum			
Candidiasis	1	1	1
Moon face	7	6	2
Acne	6	2	1
Striae	8	2	1
Hirsutism	2	0	0
Alopecia	2	3	3
Phlebitis	1	0	0

Table VI: Mode of death among the study participants (n=15)

	Group A	Group B	Group C
Infections	4	2	4
Unknown	1	1	0
Ischaemic heart disease	0	0	1
Adrenal insufficiency	2	0	0
Total	7	3	5

DISCUSSION

Oral ulcerations were particularly difficult to treat and were very painful. Indeed, mucosal lesions of pemphigus respond to therapy more slowly than the skin lesions1[7]. There was statistically no significant difference between Groups and B in terms of time taken to achieve initial control of the disease (p=0.9), but the frequency of relapses and incidence of treatment complications were higher in patients treated with steroids alone (p<0.05). Though at the end of the study period the maintenance doses of steroids in Groups A and B (15-40 and 10-30 mg on alternate days, respectively) were not much different, azathioprine allowed early reductions in Prednisolone dosages in Group-AI. This resulted in lower cumulative Prednisolone dose and hence reduced incidence of side effects in this treatment group. Group-C was treated with an arbitrarily designed regimen pioneered by Pasricha [8]. We, however, used betamethasone instead of dexamethasone for monthly pulses. Prednisolone is the usual brand recommended for glucocorticosteroid pulse therapy, but betamethasone was chosen because of its easy availability and cost factor. Our results of betamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy were not as dramatic as are reported in the literature [9-12]. Twelve patients (70%) required additional Prednisolone in between the monthly pulses for first 2-3 months to lessen the seventy of the disease. Although the dosage of additional steroids used was smaller (20-30 mg of predmsolone per day) it does indicate the failure of BCP as sole therapy in initial stages of treatment. The other and more important drawback of BCP therapy was an increase in susceptibility to infections (p=0.07). Nine patients developed 14 episodes of respiratory tract infections, two developed eczema herpeticum and 9 patients suffered repeated attacks of moderate to severe pyogenic cutaneous infections. But metabolic complications of steroids and cyclophosphamide

induced bone marrow suppression were not observed. Though BCP therapy is not suitable for routine management of the patients with pemphigus, it may be used in patients with the severe disease refractory to less toxic form of treatment or for those who have coexisting medical illness (e.g. hypertension, diabetes mellitus) that could be exacerbated by long-term continuous use of corticosteroids. Multiple treatment schedules of glucocorticoids have been proposed for the management of patients with pemphigus. Latter workers observed that patients receiving Prednisolone in a dosage of 120 mg/day had lower mortality rates than those who received higher doses of steroids [12]. Ratnam and associates reported that high dose Prednisolone therapy did not have any long termbenefit over the low dose regimen with respect to the frequency of relapse or the incidence of complications [11]. Though corticosteroids remain the mainstay of treatment for control of acute episodes [12], several adjuvants have become available for overall management of patients with pemphigus. Peiying and associates also believe that combination therapy in pemphigus is more effective than steroids alone [12]. Our results suggest that azathioprine-- corticosteroid treatment is highly effective and safe. Azathioprine exerted an impressive steroid-saving effect and this resulted in reduction of incidence of side effects (Table IV and V) and rate of relapses (p<0.05). Modality is also useful to control superficial variety of mild pemphigus manifested by only a few lesions. Some patients with limited disease can be controlled entirely by it without resorting to systemic therapy.

Limitations of the study

This was a single centre study with small sample size, which may not represent the scenarios of the whole country.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Despite having catalog of statistics, pemphigus remains a disease that will not yield to a "cook-book" approach. Instead of a rigid treatment schedule, pemphigus patients require an individualized treatment regimen tailored to the needs of each patient. Our therapeutic trial recommended that azathioprine-corticosteroids combination treatment of pemphigus is more effective and safe than corticosteroids alone or betamethasone- cyclophosphamide pulse therapy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ahmed AR, Moy R. Death in pemphigus. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology. 1982 Aug 1;7(2):221-8.
- 2. Aki HE, Sotto MN, Sampaio SA. Post-mortem evaluation in endemic pemphigus foliaceus and pemphigus vulgaris. Medicina cutanea ibero-latino-americana. 1984;12(2):151-7.
- 3. Seidenbaum M, David M, Sandbank M. The course and prognosis of pemphigus: A review of 115 patients. International journal of dermatology. 1988 Oct;27(8):580-4.
- 4. Spark RE Systemic corticosteroids. In: Fitzpatrick TB, Elsen AZ, Wolff K. eds. Dermatology in general medicine. New York, McGraw-Hill. 1987: 2564-70.
- 5. Lamey PJ, Rees TD, Binnie WH, Wright JM, Rankin KV, Simpson NB. Oral presentation of pemphigus

- vulgaris and its response to systemic steroid therapy. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology. 1992 Jul 1;74(1):54-7.
- 6. Kaur, S., & Kanwar, A. J. (1990). Dexamethasone-cyclophosphamide pulse therapy in pemphigus. *International journal of dermatology*, 29(5), 371-374.
- 7. Pasricha JS, Gupta R. Pulse therapy with dexamethasone cyclophospamide in pemphigus. Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology, and Leprology. 1984 Sep 1;50(5):199.
- 8. Hietanen J, Salo OP. Pemphigus: an epidemiological study of patients treated in Finnish hospitals between 1969 and 1978. Acta dermato-venereologica. 1982;62(6):491-6.
- 9. Smorle J, Arazt H. Therapy of pemphigus. Critical remarks passed on 44 clinical cases. Hautarzt. 1985; 36:96-102.
- 10. Ratnam KV, Phay KL, Tan CK. Pemphigus Therapy with Oral Prednisolone Regimens A 5-Year Study. International journal of dermatology. 1990 Jun;29(5):363-7.
- 11. Korman NJ. Pemphigus, Dermatol. Clin. 1990, 8:689-700.
- 12. Peiying J, Changgeng S, Ganyun Y. Chronic bullous dermatoses in China. International journal of dermatology. 1993 Feb;32(2):89-92.