Sonographic Assessment of Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter and Its Correlation with CSF Opening Pressure in Patients with Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension
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Background: Idiopathic Intracranial Hypertension (IIH) has an incidence of about 2.2/100000 in general population. Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter (ONSD) is found to be high in raised intracranial tension. Studies on ONSD and IIH are limited. ONSD measurement is non-invasive compared to spinal manometry. So, measuring the ONSD can be used as a screening tool on outpatient basis in patients suspected to have IIH and also for follow up of patient on treatment.

Methods: The aim of this study was to correlate cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) opening pressure with optic nerve sheath diameter measured using ultrasonogram in patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension and to study changes in optic nerve sheath diameter before Lumbar puncture (LP), one hour after, and 6 months after CSF drainage in patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension. IIH was diagnosed with modified Dandy’s criteria. A total of 30 cases and 30 controls were included in the study. Trans orbital sonography was done to assess the optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) before and after lumbar puncture. CSF opening pressure was measured. ONSD was done in 30 matched controls. Follow up ONSD was done at 6 months. Results: Mean age was 31 years in cases and 30 years in controls. Mean BMI was 35 in cases and 25.5 in controls. Mean ONSD was 6.46±0.67mm in cases, 4.87±0.26mm in control (p<0.001). Post LP mean ONSD was 5.83±0.48mm (p<0.001). Optimum ONSD for detecting IIH was 5.42 mm with sensitivity and specificity of 96.7%. Mean ONSD at 6 months follow up was 5.18±0.58 mm (p<0.001).

Conclusions: ONSD measurement can be used as an additional tool to identify patients with IIH. It can also be used to follow up patients on treatment.
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indirect sign of IH because changes in ICP have a direct influence on the peri optic subarachnoid space diameter. However, cut off values of ONSD have not yet been defined to predict raised intracranial pressure. So to identify raised intracranial pressure and to diagnose IH, lumbar puncture and CSF manometry is essential. Repeated lumbar punctures are required in patients having IH on treatment to know the response to treatment. Noninvasive methods like ONSD will be an ideal alternative to CSF manometry especially for follow up of patients having IH. This study was conducted to find out the correlation between CSF pressure and ONSD and to find out the optimal ONSD value above which we can confirm the diagnosis of raised CSF pressure and IH.

Aims and Objectives

Aim
To correlate CSF opening pressure with optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) in patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension and to study changes in ONSD before and after CSF drainage. To find the optimal ONSD cut off which will predict IH.

Primary Objective
1. To measure optic nerve sheath diameter in patients with Idiopathic intracranial hypertension.
2. To find out CSF opening pressure by lumbar puncture and manometry.
3. To correlate CSF opening pressure with ONSD
4. To measure optic nerve sheath diameter after lumbar puncture and to find out changes in ONSD following CSF drainage.

Secondary Objectives
1. To compare ONSD in test and control groups
2. To find optimal ONSD cut off which will identify IH
3. To study changes in optic nerve sheath diameter 6 months after CSF drainage in patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension.

Methodology

Study Design: Prospective observational study.

Study Setting: Department of Neurology, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram.

Study Subjects: Patients who are diagnosed to have IH

Inclusion Criteria: Patients in the age group 12 and above who are clinically diagnosed to have IH according to modified Dandy criteria

Diagnostic criteria for IH: Modified Dandy criteria [8]:

1. Signs and symptoms of the increased intracranial pressure (headache, nausea, vomiting, transient visual obscurations or papilledema)
2. Absence of focal neurological symptoms (except for abducens nerve palsy).
3. Cerebrospinal fluid opening pressure increase > 250 mm H2O
5. Normal CT / MRI (including MR venogram)
6. No other reason for intracranial hypertension

Exclusion Criteria:
1. Patients who are having other causes for raised ICP
2. Patients who did not give a valid consent

Sample Size and Sampling

N= [Z_{1-β} + Z_{1-α/2}]^2 / [R^2/(1-R^2)] = 25

R-Correlation coefficient=0.5, Z=95% confidence interval, 1-α/2 =confidence limit, β=power of study=80%

All diagnosed cases in the department of Neurology during the study period were included in the study. Total 30 cases were included in the study period. 30 age and sex matched asymptomatic persons were included as controls.

Duration of Study: April 2017 to July 2018

Data Collection

Institutional research committee and ethics committee approval were obtained.30 patients were included after getting informed consent.30 age and sex matched asymptomatic persons were included as control. Patients in whom IH was suspected clinically were evaluated with MRI & MRV to rule out secondary cause for raised ICP. Transorbital ultrasonography was done under guidance of neuroradiologist to measure ONSD. It was done using B mode ultrasound system-PHILIPS machine, and probe frequency of 7-12 MHZ was used. The probe is placed on the temporal part of the upper eyelid slightly superior to the upper corneal rim using a thick layer of ultrasound gel. ONSD was measured 3 mm behind lamina cribrosa as this area is more sensible to change in CSF pressure. Transorbital ultrasonography was done in 30 controls also. The ONSD is a hypoechoic strip extending from the back of the orbit. It is measured 3 mm from its insertion. The ocular nerve sheath diameter is marked in the diagram as solid arrows (B).
Lumbar Puncture

Lumbar puncture was performed to find the CSF opening pressure and CSF analysis. The patient is asked to lie on her side, facing away from the examiner. The neck is gently anteflexed and the thighs pulled up toward the abdomen. LP was performed at or below the L3–L4 interspace. CSF opening pressure was measured using a manometer attached to lumbar puncture needle. The upper limit of normal opening pressure with the patient supine is 180 mm of CSF in adults. Therapeutic CSF drainage was done to normalize the CSF closing pressure. Transorbital sonography was repeated one hour after CSF drainage to assess the changes in ONSD following CSF drainage. Correlation between CSF opening pressure and ONSD was assessed. Patients were advised salt restriction, weight reduction and acetazolamide. They were kept under follow up. Follow up ONSD was done at 6 months.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data were entered into Microsoft Excel sheet. Analysis of data was done using statistical software SPSS 24. All continuous variables were tested for normality using Shapiro Wilk test. Mean of normally distributed variables were compared using independent t test and non-normally distributed variables using Mann-Whitney U test. Chi square test was used to compare categorical variable and Fischer-Exact test was used when count inside a cell was <5. ROC curve was drawn to find the optimum cut off of ONSD that would predict raised CSF pressure. Optimum cut off was calculated using Youdends index. To find the correlation between two continuous variables, Pearson’s test was used when variables were normally distributed and Spearman test was used when variables were not normally distributed. Difference between pairs of means was compared with paired t test when variables were normally distributed and with Wilcoxon signed Rank test when variables were having non-normal distribution.

RESULTS

A total of 60 subjects (30 cases and 30 controls) were included in the study. The baseline characteristics of the study population is shown in Table-1.

Table-1: Baseline characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age in years</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 30</td>
<td>N = 30</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.533</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 – 40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.783</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.286</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obesity was present in 14 patients (46.6%) of patients having IIH and in 9 (30%) of controls. 11 patients (36.7%) were overweight. Mean BMI in test group was 29 ± 3.4. In control group it was 26.9 ± 4.2. (p=0.36, Mann-Whitney U test) and the difference was statistically significant. The comparison of symptoms between cases and controls is shown in table 2. CSF study and MRI findings are shown in Table-3.
Table-2: Comparison of symptoms between case and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52.500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurring of vision</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.308</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menstrual irregularities</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.571</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent weight gain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.286</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.455</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilledema</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.000(a)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth cranial nerve palsy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-3: CSF and MRI findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean CSF opening pressure (mm of CSF)</td>
<td>343 ± 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CSF protein (mg/dl)</td>
<td>24±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial empty sella</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete empty sella</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckling of optic nerve</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slit like ventricles</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left transverse sinus stenosis</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-4: ONSD measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ONSD (mm)</th>
<th>Case (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Control (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Paired comparison</th>
<th>Paired Differences Mean</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>Paired t test p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Opening VS closing</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre LP</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.93± 0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post LP</td>
<td>5.83 ± 0.48</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001, t=9.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>Opening VS 6 months follow-up</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months follow up</td>
<td>5.18 ± 0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig-2: Scatter plot showing the correlation between ONSD before LP and CSF opening pressure

The correlation coefficient between mean CSF opening pressure and ONSD was found as R=0.584, p<0.001. There was strong correlation between mean CSF opening pressure and ONSD.

Fig-3: ROC curve of mean ONSD before LP and CSF opening pressure

To find the optimum ONSD which will correctly predict IIH, ROC curve was plotted. Area under the curve was 0.973, p<0.001. Optimum ONSD
to detect increased CSF pressure and to diagnose IIH was 5.42 mm with sensitivity and specificity of 96.7%, positive predictive value was 96.7% and negative predictive value was 96.7%.

**DISCUSSION**

The study was conducted in patients admitted in Neurology ward, Government Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram. In this study, 30 patients with IIH were studied. 30 people without any neurological disorders also underwent ultrasonographic ONSD measurement. Among patients having IIH, mean age of onset was 30.6 ± 9.2 years. In the study by Durcan et al., 59% of patients were in the third decade of life at diagnosis [9]. In the study conducted by Radhakrishnan et al., and mean ages at onset was 28 years [10]. It was 29 years in the study by Craig et al., and 31 years in the study by Wall and George [11, 12]. So, the mean age of onset in the present study was similar as that in other published studies. Among patients having IIH, 90% were females. Female to male ratio was 9:1. This is similar to reported female to male ratios from larger studies. It was 8:1 in the study by Kesler et al., and 9:3:1 in the study by Galvin and Van Stavern in 2004 [13, 14]. Obesity was present in 46.6% of patients having IIH. Published rates of obesity in patients with IIH include 71% in the study by Radhakrishnan et al., 88% in the study by Galvin and Van Stavern in 2004 and 91% in the study by Kesler and Gadoth, in 2001 [10, 13, 14]. A recent case-control study done in US by Daniels et al., reported that patients who are overweight also had an increased risk of IIH [15]. In this study, 11 patients having IIH (36.7%) were overweight. Recent weight gain was present in 4 patients (13.3%). None of the controls had recent weight gain and the difference was significant. Study by Radhakrishnan et al., reported that recent gain in weight as such is a risk factor for IIH [10].

Menstrual irregularities were present in 6 (22.2%) cases. This finding is similar to the study conducted by Ireland et al., in which menstrual irregularities were more in patients having IIH. Studies conducted by Glueck et al., also have listed menstrual dysfunction amongst reported symptoms [16].

History of drug intake was present in 8 (26.6%) patients having IIH. Five among them were taking thyroxin for hypothyroidism and three were taking oral contraceptive pills. Oral contraceptive pills are proven to be a risk factor for IIH. The study by Glueck et al., reported a series of 65 women with IIH in which 24 (37%) were taking oral contraceptive or exogenous estrogens [16]. Hannah Massey et al 168 reported a case of IIH associated with levothyroxine therapy [17]. Total 11 such cases are reported in literature till now. Headache was the most common presenting symptom. Headache was present in 23 patients (93.3%). This is similar to the finding in studies by Wall and George, Radhakrishnan et al., and Kesler and Gadoth [10, 12, 13]. In these studies, headache was the most common presenting symptom and occurrence varied from 68% to 98% of patients having IIH.

Blurring of vision was the next common presenting symptom which was present in 21 (70%) cases. This is similar to the studies by Galvin and Van Stavern, in which blurred vision was the second common manifestation [14]. Other studies like studies by Wall and George, Radhakrishnan et al. and Kesler and Gadoth also reported similar findings [10, 12, 13].

Papilledema was present in all cases.6th Cranial nerve palsy was present in 66.6% of patients, it was bilateral in 23.3%, unilateral in 43.3%. None of the controls had cranial nerve palsy. As per diagnostic criteria of IIH, there should not be any focal neurological deficit. But unilateral or bilateral 6th cranial nerve palsy is expected secondary to raised intracranial pressure. Previous studies by Corbett et al., Radhakrishnan et al., and Mezaal and Saadah reported unilateral or bilateral 6th cranial nerve palsy and occurrence varied from 17% to 33% in those studies [10, 17, 18].

Mean CSF opening pressure was 343 ± 96 mm of CSF. This was similar to the finding in the study by Corbett and Mehta where the average CSF pressure was 344 mm of CSF. Mean CSF protein was 24 ± 8. In the study by Chandra et al., it was reported that CSF protein level may be less than normal in patients with IIH [19].

In MRI findings, partial empty sella was present in 15cases (50%), complete empty sella in 4 cases (13.3%), buckling of optic nerve in 7(23.3%) and slit like ventricles in 7 (23.3%). In MRV, left transverse sinus stenosis was present in in 12 cases (40%). These findings were similar to the findings of Brodsky and Vaphiades who reported that vertical tortuosity of the orbital optic nerves and empty sella are specific markers of raised intracranial pressure in patients having IIH [20].

Mean ONSD in control group was 4.93± 0.26 mm. In patients with IIH, mean ONSD before LP was 6.46 ± 0.67 mm, after LP, mean ONSD was 5.83 ± 0.48. These findings were similar to the findings from the study conducted by Jochen Bauerle et al., in 25 patients in Germany showed that subjects with IIH showed mean ONSD of 6.4 ± 0.6 mm [21]. In controls, the mean ONSD was 5.4 ± 0.5. Another multicentric study done in 21 patients by Piergiorgio Lochner et al., shown that ONSD values were significantly higher in patients with IIH, mean being 6.50 ± 0.67mm. In control group mean was 5.73 ± 0.66 mm [22]. Another study from Pakistan by Hina Rehman et al., done in 26 patients also shown similar findings with mean ONSD 6.61 ±0.39 mm in patients with IIH and 4.33 ±0.38 mm in controls [23].
There was positive correlation between mean CSF opening pressure and ONSD. This finding was similar to the findings of the study done by Pablo del Saz-Saucedo et al., which also showed a positive correlation [24]. But the study by Piergiorgio Lochner et al., failed to show a correlation between ONSD and CSF opening pressure [22].

There was statistically significant reduction in ONSD following CSF drainage. This finding was also similar to the study results of Pablo del Saz-Saucedo et al., [24] In this study optimum ONSD to detect increased CSF pressure and to diagnose IIH was 5.42 mm with sensitivity and specificity of 96.7%, positive predictive value was 96.7% and negative predictive value was 96.7%. This finding is similar to the results of study conducted by Jochen Bauerle et al., which reported the best cut-off value of ONSD for detecting raised ICP was 5.8 mm with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 84% [21]. The study by Pablo del Saz-Saucedo et al., showed the best cutoff point for detecting raised ICP was 6.3 mm, with a sensitivity and specificity of 94.7% and 90.9% respectively [24]. This study got a higher cut off of ONSD compared to previous study by Jochen Bauerle et al., [21] The author concluded it could be due to interobserver variation. At 6 months follow up, mean ONSD was 5.18 ± 0.58. This change was statistically significant when compared with mean ONSD before LP. This indicated the response to treatment i.e., the reduction in CSF pressure in response to CSF drainage and medical treatment.

CONCLUSIONS

- CSF opening pressure and optic nerve sheath diameter are having positive correlation, r=0.584, p <0.001
- Optimum value of ONSD to predict IIH is 5.42 mm with sensitivity and specificity of 96.7%.
- There was significant reduction in ONSD following LP and CSF drainage, p<0.001
- 6 months follow up showed significant reduction in ONSD on treatment with acetazolamide, salt restriction and weight reduction.
- Hence sonographic ONSD measurement can be used as a noninvasive method to diagnose and to follow up IIH patients.
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