Anterior Transverse Approach in Osteosynthesis of a Type II Fracture of the Coronoid Process in an Adolescent
Mohamed Rida El Galiou*, E.Y. Houass, M. Boufettal, R.A. Bassir, M. Kharmaz, M.O. Lamrani, M.S. Berrada

Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, University Hospital Center of Rabat, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Rabat, University Mohammed V Souissi, Rabat, 10000, Morocco

DOI: 10.36347/sjams.2020.v08i12.012 | Received: 24.11.2020 | Accepted: 05.12.2020 | Published: 14.12.2020

*Corresponding author: Mohamed Rida El Galiou

Abstract
The anterior transverse elbow approach for the management of coronoid process fractures is not common. The authors report the case of an 18-year-old youngster presenting with a type II coronoid fracture according to Regan and Morrey, treated surgically, by anterior approach, with compressive osteosynthesis by two mini screws. At a follow-up of six months, the clinical radiological evolution was satisfactory.
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INTRODUCTION
Isolated fractures of the coronoid process are not frequent. On the other hand, they are frequently associated with posterior dislocations of the elbow, thus compromising its stability. When internal fixation of the coronoid process is necessary, direct control of the fracture site simplifies reduction and synthesis, compared to recall screwing. Direct screwing also gives the lesion better stability.

CLINICAL OBSERVATION
This is an 18-year-old male, left-handed adolescent, presenting to the emergency room for treatment of a trauma to the left elbow following a sports accident with landing on the palm of the hand. Clinical examination found an upper limb trauma attitude, pain, diffuse edema of the elbow and complete functional impotence of the limb. The vasculo-nervous examination was unremarkable. The elbow x-ray revealed a postero-external dislocation fracture of the elbow (fig. 1). The patient benefited at H4 from a reduction in his dislocation under general anesthesia. Post-reduction examination revealed instability in the elbow. The follow-up radiograph showed the reduction in dislocation associated with a displaced fracture of the coronoid process classified as type II according to Regan and Morrey (Fig. 2). The decision was to go for surgical treatment. Under local anesthesia and by transverse anterointernal route of the elbow (fig. 3), along the internal edge of the biceps muscle without exceeding the elbow fold, the brachialis muscle is discarded in the direction of its fibers at the level of the 2/3 lateral 1/3 medial junctio, up to the joint capsule incised longitudinally directly on the coronoid process, then we proceeded to the reduction of the displaced fragment and its compression osteosynthesis by two 1.5 mm mini screws (fig. 4). The left upper limb was immobilized by a posterior brachioantébrachial splint for fifteen days. The postoperative consequences were simple. Rehabilitation was authorized after 15 days. Consolidation was obtained on day 45. With a six-month follow-up, the mobility of the elbow was normal.

Fig-1:X-ray of the elbow, face and profile, showing a postero-external dislocation fracture of the elbow.
DISCUSSION

Fracture of the coronoid process is rarely isolated [1]. It is generally part of a dislocated elbow trauma, and is almost always associated with radial head fracture and/or medial collateral ligament injury.

Regan and Morrey [1] classified coronoid fractures into 3 types according to the level of injury: in type 1, only the tip of the coronoid is fractured; in type 2, half the volume of the coronoid is fractured along a line parallel to the major axis of the ulna and in type 3 which corresponds to a fracture of the coronoid base.

In the case of a dislocated elbow fracture, the preoperative or especially post-reduction radiographic assessment requires the systematic search for the fracture of the coronoid and the assessment of its displacement and size.

Orthopedic treatment is reserved for types I and II with complete post-reduction stability. The results of orthopedic treatment are so far good or very good for fractures taking less than 25% of the height of the process. Surgical treatment is indicated in the event of type II fracture with instability of the elbow and type III. According to Doornberg [2], patients with fractures greater than 25% of the heights of the process who have benefited from surgical treatment have mostly excellent results. The functional result seems to be related to the size of the fracture fragment. Surgical treatment recommends the use of the anterior approach rather than the posterior approach, which does not offer control over the fracture fragment and only allows screwdriving with less stability, unlike the anterior approach [3]. Ameur [4] proposed a more direct approach through the tendon of the brachialis. Compression screwing is facilitated by the use of cannulated screws with distal threads. In this case, a guide wire is used instead of the drill bit. The screw is then placed on the guide wire while controlling its length through the endo-articular route. The temporary reduction spindle which allowed rotation control during tightening can then be removed. On the other hand, Ring [5] describes screwing as an unsuitable treatment, the reference according to him being the fixation by buttress plate. Functional results are assessed according to the functional score of the Mayo Elbow Performance Index (MEPI), studying pain, mobility, stability and function [3].

CONCLUSION

The surgical treatment of fractures of the coronoid process by an anterior approach by longitudinal dissociation of the brachialis muscle, allows direct, more stable screwing, with a rapid and satisfactory functional recovery.
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