

“Outcome of Primary Closure of Common Bile Duct After Choledochotomy- Personal Experience”

A.B.M. Abdul Matin¹, Md. Serazul Islam², Md. Akramuzzaman³, Md. Masudur Rahman⁴¹Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Sheikh Sayera Khatun Medical College, Gopalganj, Bangladesh^{2,3,4}Assistant Professor, Department of Surgery, Sheikh Sayera Khatun Medical College, Gopalganj, BangladeshDOI: [10.36347/sjams.2020.v08i12.022](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjams.2020.v08i12.022)

| Received: 05.12.2020 | Accepted: 16.12.2020 | Published: 20.12.2020

*Corresponding author: A.B.M. Abdul Matin

Abstract

Original Research Article

Introduction: Choledocholithiasis is the common problem that necessitates surgical intervention. It is managed either by endoscopic sphincterotomy or surgical exploration i.e. choledochotomy. The choice of surgical technique to extract stones from the common bile duct (CBD) depends on local experience, anatomical characteristics and also on the size, location and number of stones. Most authors consider choledochotomy an alternative to failed Transcystic exploration, although some use it exclusively. **Objective:** To assess the outcome of primary closure of common bile duct after choledochotomy. **Methodology:** This retrospective case series was conducted at the Department of Surgery, Sheikh Sayera Khatun Medical College & Hospital, Gopalganj, and different Clinical Centers Gopalganj and Dhaka, Bangladesh. Clinical records of all the patients who underwent open CBD exploration with primary closure between January 2017 to December 2019 were retrieved. Patient's demographics (like age and gender), operative time, duration of hospital stay, and postoperative complications; including bile leakage, biliary peritonitis, subphrenic abscess and postoperative jaundice were recorded on a proforma. **Results:** A total of 38 patients were found from clinical records having male to female ratio of 1:6.6. Mean (\pm SD) operating time was 95 (+7) minutes. The overall complication rate was 10.52%. Bile leak was encountered in three (7.89%) patients whereas small subphrenic collection was noticed in one (2.63%) patient who was treated conservatively. None of the patients experienced postoperative jaundice and biliary peritonitis. Mean (\pm SD) duration of hospital stay was 7.0 (+1.63) days. **Conclusion:** Primary repair of common bile duct after open choledochotomy is safe and associated with low complication rate.

Keywords: Common bile duct, Choledochotomy, Choledocholithiasis.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Choledocholithiasis is the common problem that necessitates surgical intervention. It is managed either by endoscopic sphincterotomy or surgical exploration i.e. choledochotomy [1]. Traditionally, common bile duct (CBD) is closed over T-tube but potential of complications exists with this therapeutic modality [2]. Stone in Common Bile Duct (CBD) also known as Choledocholithiasis develops in about 10–15% of patients with gall-bladder stone (Cholelithiasis) [3]. CBD stones are encountered in approximately 7–15% of patients undergoing cholecystectomy. It is managed either by endoscopic sphincterotomy and stone extraction (ERCP) or surgical exploration i.e. choledocholithotomy and closure of CBD over a T-tube. Primary closure of CBD has been described in literature to overcome adverse consequences of T-tube [4, 5]. But the debate has continued in the laparoscopic era and an increasing number of surgeons are favoring primary closure. The availability of choledochoscopy and ERCP has greatly reduced the incidence of retained

stones in bile duct. However, despite its obvious advantages, primary closure is not performed routinely. This study was conducted to assess the outcome of primary repair of CBD in wound infection, operation time, bile leak, biliary peritonitis and hospital stay. These include bacteremia, dislodgement of tube, obstruction and/or fracture of tube [6]. Furthermore, leakage of bile may be encountered after removal [7]. Patient may have to carry it for several weeks before removal [8]. All of these lead to prolong length of hospital stay [9]. Currently, primary closure of CBD has been described in literature to overcome these adverse consequences of T-tube [10, 11]. This study was designed to assess the outcome of primary repair of CBD in terms of operating time, duration of hospital stay and postoperative complications.

METHODOLOGY

This retrospective case series was conducted at the Department of Surgery, *Sheikh Sayera Khatun Medical College & Hospital*, Gopalganj, and different Clinical Centers Gopalganj and Dhaka, Bangladesh. Clinical records of all the patients who underwent open CBD exploration with primary closure between January 2017 to December 2019 were retrieved. Patient's demographics (like age and gender), operative time, duration of hospital stay, and postoperative complications; including bile leakage, biliary peritonitis, subphrenic abscess and postoperative jaundice were recorded on a proforma. Duration of hospital stay included postoperative days up to discharge of the patient. The data was compiled and the results tabulated using SPSS (Version 19.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

A record of 38 patients was retrieved who underwent open choledochotomy for ductal stone followed by primary closure over the three year period. There were 05(13.2%) males and 33(86.8%) females [Figure-1]. Table-1 shows CBD diameter of the patients. CBD diameter was found ranging from 7mm to 24 mm. In most cases, 18 (47.3%) were between 11-15mm.




Fig-1: Distribution of male and female patients




Fig-2: Age distribution of the patients

Table-1: CBD diameter of the patients

Diameter of CBD in USG	N
6-10mm	12
11-15mm	18
16-20mm	6
21-25mm	2




Fig-3: Primary closure of CBD

Table-2: Postoperative complications of the patients

Complications	%	P value
Bile leak	7.89	0.001
subphrenic	2.63	0.001

Table-3: Operating time and hospital stay during the procedure

Surgery	Duration of surgery	Hospital stay
Open type	80 min	5 days
Laparoscopy type	135 min	2 days

The male to female ratio was 1:6.6. The ages of the patients ranged from 18-65 years with mean (\pm SD) age as 41.16 (+ 13.48) years. All patients were accessed through right subcostal incision. After cholecystectomy, longitudinal incision was made over supraduodenal portion of bile duct. Stones were extracted with the help of Desjardin forceps and patency of distal passage was confirmed by negotiation of Bakes dilators into second part of duodenum. This was followed by irrigation of bile duct with normal saline via feeding tube. Then primary repair of CBD was done with placement of interrupted polyglycolic 3/0 suture. In all cases, subhepatic drain was placed. Mean (\pm SD) operating time was 95 (+ 7) minutes. The overall complication rate was 10.52% in this study. Bile leak was encountered in three (7.89%) patients whereas small subphrenic collection was noticed in one (2.63%) patient. All of them were treated conservatively. Mean (\pm SD) duration of hospital stay was 7.0 (+ 1.63) days.

DISCUSSION

This study showed no major morbidity associated with primary repair of bile duct after supraduodenal choledochotomy for choledocholithiasis. Moreover, this technique carried shorter operating time and duration of hospital stay. T-tube placement after CBD exploration has long been a standard surgical practice for choledocholithiasis [12]. The main advantages of this modality were provision of external biliary drainage till edema of sphincter of Oddi subsided and percutaneous removal of retained bile duct stones [9]. However, this technique is associated with significant complications, therefore, primary repair of CBD has been advocated in literature. Zhang et al [13], noticed 28.6% of complications rate associated with T-tube in contrast to 11.1% in whom primary repair was performed. In this study, overall complications rate was 10.52% which is nearly comparable to the study conducted by Leida and associates [14]. They encountered 15% complications in those patients in whom primary closure was the method used. In this study, bile leak was encountered in three (7.89%) patients whereas small subphrenic collection was noticed in one (2.63%) patient. Biliary complications are considered to be the major consequence after primary repair of CBD, however, their overall frequencies are much less than that of T-tube closure. Ahmad and colleagues [2], observed 22% and 8.9% of these complications in T-tube and primary closure groups respectively. Ambreen et al [7], noticed one (6.3%) patient of bile leakage that subsided conservatively, which is comparable to this study. Ha et al [10], also encountered one patient of subphrenic collection in their series. None of the patients in this study experienced postoperative jaundice and biliary peritonitis. This is comparable to the study conducted by Ambreen and associates [7]. However, Perez et al reported biliary peritonitis after removal of T-tube [15]. As a result of postoperative complications and long placement of T-tube till removal, duration of hospital stay gets prolonged. This forced surgeons to move towards primary repair technique that have been advised in literature. In this study, mean duration of hospital stay was 7.63 days which is nearly comparable to the study conducted by Decker et al. [16]. The mean operating time was 95 minutes, as previously encountered by Ha and colleagues in their retrospective case series [10]. This study is distinctive in approach, but has a limitation of being a retrospective analysis. To correlate the complications and further comparison between the two treatment options, randomized clinical trials will be needed to strengthen the scientific evidence in favor of primary closure.

CONCLUSION

Primary closure of CBD is safe and effective alternative measure and associated with low complication rates. It is cost effective too. However,

randomized trials on a larger scale of patients and with a longer follow up are necessary to address the issue.

REFERENCES

1. Kharbutli B, Velanovich V. Management of preoperatively suspected choledocholithiasis: a decision analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2008; 12:1973-80.
2. Ahmed I, Pradhan C, Beckingham IJ, Brooks AJ, Rowlands BJ, Lobo DN. Is a T-tube necessary after common bile duct exploration? *World J Surg* 2008; 32:1485-8.
3. Perez G, Escalona A, Jarufe N, Ibáñez L, Viviani P, García C, et al. Prospective randomized study of T-tube versus biliary stent for common bile duct decompression after open choledochotomy. *World J Surg* 2005; 29:869–72.
4. Alhamdani A, Mahmud S, Jameel M, Baker A. Primary closure of choledochotomy after emergency laparoscopic common bile duct exploration. *Surg Endosc* 2008; 22:2190-5.
5. Martin DJ, Vernon DR, Toouli J. Surgical versus endoscopic treatment of bile duct stones. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; 10 (2): CD003327.
6. Shojaiefard A, Esmailzadeh M, Ghafouri A, Mehrabi A. Various techniques for the surgical treatment of common bile duct stones: *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2009;840208.
7. Ambreen M, Shaikh AR, Jamal A, Qureishi JN, Dalwani AG, Memon MM. Primary closure versus T-tube drainage after open choledochotomy. *Asian J Surg* 2009; 32:21-5.
8. Zhang WJ, Xu GF, Wu GZ, Li JM, Dong ZT, Mo XD. Laparoscopic exploration of common bile duct with primary closure versus T-tube drainage: a randomized clinical trial. *J Surg Res* 2009, 157:e1-5.
9. Jameel M, Darmas B, Baker AL. Trend towards primary closure following laparoscopic exploration of the common bile duct. *Ann Roy Coll Surg Engl* 2008; 90:29-35.
10. Ha JP, Tang CN, Siu WT, Chau CH, Li MK. Primary closure versus T-tube drainage after laparoscopic choledochotomy for common bile duct stones. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2004; 51:1605-8.
11. Alhamdani A, Mahmud S, Jameel M, Baker A. Primary closure of choledochotomy after emergency laparoscopic common bile duct exploration. *Surg Endosc* 2008; 22:2190-5.
12. Ahmad I, Jan AU, Ahmad R. Obstructive Jaundice. *J Postgrad Med Inst* 2001; 15:194- 8.
13. Zhang LD, Bie P, Chen P, Wang SG, Ma KS, Dong JH. Primary duct closure versus T-tube drainage following laparoscopic choledochotomy. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2004; 42:520-3.
14. Leida Z, Ping B, Shuguang W, Yu H. A randomized comparison of primary closure and T-tube drainage of the common bile duct after

- laparoscopic choledochotomy. *Surg Endosc* 2008; 22:1595-600.
15. Perez G, Escalona A, Jarufe N, Ibanez L, Viviani P, Garcia C *et al*. Prospective randomized study of T-tube versus biliary stent for common bile duct decompression after open choledochotomy. *World J Surg* 2005; 29:869- 72.
16. Decker G, Borie F, Millat B, Berthou JC, Deleuze A, Drouard F, *et al*. One hundred laparoscopic choledochotomies with primary closure of the common bile duct. *Surg Endosc* 2003; 17:12- 8.