## **Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences**

**a** OPEN ACCESS Abbreviated Key Title: Sch J App Med Sci ISSN 2347-954X (Print) | ISSN 2320-6691 (Online) Journal homepage: <u>www.saspublishers.com</u>

**Community Medicine** 

**Original Research Article** 

# Pattern and Determinants of Non-Fatal Major Injuries in Road Traffic Accidents: A Hospital Based Study from Northern India

Dr. Baljeet Singh<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Narender Mahajan<sup>2</sup>, Dr. D.S. Dhadwal<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Devender<sup>4\*</sup>, Dr. Amit Sachdeva<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Prem Lal<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Ankit Chaudhary<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Neeraj Kanwar<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Junior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, IGMC, Shimla, India
<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, IGMC, Shimla, India
<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Community Medicine, IGMC, Shimla, India
<sup>4</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Community Medicine, IGMC, Shimla, India
<sup>5</sup>Medical Officer, Psychiatry, District Hospital, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh, India

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Devender DOI: <u>10.36347/sjams.2019.v07i04.023</u>

| Received: 06.04.2019 | Accepted: 14.04.2019 | Published: 30.04.2019

#### Abstract

*Introduction:* Injuries due to RTAs are significant contributor to the hospital admissions, taking out a huge amount of lives as well as health resources. Pattern of injuries and the circumstances in which these injuries occur depends a lot upon different factors. Necessary information, about pattern and severity of the injuries, and where, exactly preventive measures are urgently needed, is required. Objectives of the study were to determine the pattern and determinants of non-fatal major injuries in road traffic accidents. *Methods:* Analytical cross sectional study has been conducted among the victims of non-fatal RTA reporting at emergency department during the year 2017-18. *Observations:* Most common major injury in current study was lower limb fracture (16.6%) followed by head injury (16.3%). Rural area, heavy transport vehicles, foggy/rainy /snowy conditions, uphill drive, rolling down accident were associated with significantly higher major injuries. Use of protective gears and metalled road were associated with lower odds of having major injuries during RTAs. *Conclusion:* Circumstances leading up to the accidents, if timely recognized, and acted upon, can reduce injury incidence and severity.

Keywords: Road traffic accidents, non-fatal injuries, road traffic injuries, injuries.

**Copyright** © **2019**: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Road traffic accidents (RTAs) are a major cause of disability and death globally, with a disproportionately higher number occurring in developing countries [1, 2]. Global urbanization and industrialization has led to rapid expansion of roads and motorization accompanied by a rise in road accidents. Today RTAs are one of the leading causes of deaths, disabilities, and hospitalizations with severe impacts on the economy, public health and the general welfare of the people [3].

Road traffic injury (RTI) is major but neglected public health problem especially in developing countries like India [1]. The Global status report on road safety highlights that the number of annual road traffic deaths has reached 1.35 million. Road traffic injuries are now the leading killer of people aged 5-29 years [4]. According to World Health Organization (WHO) data, deaths from road traffic injuries account for around 25% of all deaths from injury. WHO expected it to be the 5th leading cause of death, overtaking diabetes and human immunodeficiency virus infection/ acquired immunodeficiency syndrome by 2030 [5]. It has been predicted that by 2020, RTAs will rank as high as third among causes of disability adjusted life years lost [6, 7].

India has one of the highest road accident rates in the world. During 2015, a total of 4, 64, 674 cases of 'Road Accidents' were reported in India, which rendered 4, 82, 389 persons injured and 1, 48, 707 deaths. Deaths due to 'Road Accidents' in the country have increased by 5.1% during 2015 over 2014. 53 cases of road accidents took place every one hour during 2015, wherein 17 persons were killed. Significant variations also arise between different states of India [8].

In Himachal Pradesh, a hilly state situated in the north-west Himalayas with hilly terrains, a total of 3010 road traffic accidents had been reported in year 2015 [8]. According to social welfare council of India, Himachal Pradesh witnesses average 3,000 road accidents each year, killing over 1000 people and leaving 5000 injured [9].

Injuries due to RTAs are significant contributor to the hospital admissions at Medical College, taking out a huge amount of lives as well as health resources. Pattern of injuries and the circumstances in which these injuries occur depends a lot upon different factors i.e agent, host & Environment (Haddon Matrix) [10]. Necessary information, about pattern and severity of the injuries, and where, exactly preventive measures are urgently needed, is required. Risk factors for major injuries could be identified by interviewing victims of RTAs with nan-fatal injuries. Keeping in mind all these facts, the present study has been conducted in emergency department of IGMC Shimla, Himachal Pradesh in year 2017-18. Objectives of the study were to determine the pattern and determinants of non-fatal major injuries in road traffic accidents during the study period.

### METHODS AND MATERIALS

#### Study setting

The Study was conducted among the victims of non-fatal RTA reporting at emergency department of Indira Gandhi Medical College (IGMC), Shimla.

#### Study design

Analytical cross sectional

#### Study duration

One-year w.e.f. 1<sup>st</sup> September 2017 to 31<sup>st</sup> August 2018.

#### **Study population**

All consecutive cases of non-fatal road traffic accident (RTA) reporting at IGMC Shimla, hospital and required admission.

#### Inclusion criteria

- Non-fatal road traffic accident victims fulfilling the case definition visiting the emergency department were included in the study.
- People giving consent were included in study.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

- Injury on road occurring without involvement of a vehicle.
- Critically injured victims in RTA.
- Cases referred to this hospital for tertiary care management from others health institutions.

#### **Case definitions**

Road traffic accident (RTA) is defined (for the purpose for this study) as an accident which took place on the road between two or more objects one of which had been in any kind of a moving vehicle and the other the human being. Major injury: - Major injury was taken as fracture of bones and abdominal injuries, such as visceral injuries, vascular injuries and collection of blood in the abdominal cavity.

Minor injuries: – included abrasions, contusions, lacerations etc.

Human error: - Overtaking, over speed, driver's fatigue, misjudgment by driver, sleep related factors like: driving after inadequate rest and sleep, after consuming alcohol and drugs, unexpected demands or break downs etc.

#### Study tool

A structured, pretested, self-designed questionnaire schedule was used for interviewing RTA victims in the emergency department IGMC. This questionnaire includes information regarding sociodemographic characteristics, pattern of injury, determinants of RTA i.e. person related, vehicle related and environment related and any delay in management.

#### Data collection

A pre-tested semi structured interview schedule was used for interviewing RTA victims in emergency department of IGMC Shimla, after satisfying for the eligibility criteria. Interview was carried out after taking written informed consent from victims and in case of minor (age <18 years), written consent was taken from parents/ legal guardian. Where the conditions of the victims would not warrant the interview, the relatives, or attendants were interviewed. Detailed information was collected comprising of sociodemographic data, time, date, day and types of vehicles involved in RTA, weather or road condition etc. The medico-legal records and case sheets were referred for collecting additional information for necessary crosschecking. Information was gathered regarding associated characteristics of the RTA like weather condition, category of road user, road characteristic at the site of accident, use of cell phones at the time accidents and any protective gear used by the vehicle occupants.

#### Statistical analysis

Data was entered in Microsoft excel spread sheet, collated and analyzed using Epi info version 7.2.2.software. We have used chi-square test for univariate association analysis. Odd's ratios of association between different variables and major injuries were also calculated. A two-sided *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

A total of 410 Road Traffic accidents Victims have been studied in current study sustaining non-fatal injuries. Most common major injury in current study was lower limb fracture (16.6%) followed by head

© 2019 Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences | Published by SAS Publishers, India 1488

injury (16.3%) and abdominal injury (15.4%). 22.3% had only superficial injuries while 11.4% had

lacerations and open injuries (Table 1).

|       | Tuble 1.1 attern of injuries among KTTT (learning (in 110) |               |             |                        |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|---------------|-------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|       | Natures of injuries  | Frequency (n) | Victims (%) | Confidence Limit (95%) |  |  |  |  |
|       | Skull fracture   | 9             | 2.20        | 1.16 - 4.12            |  |  |  |  |
| Major | Spine fracture   | 19            | 4.63        | 2.99 - 7.12            |  |  |  |  |
|       | Upper limb fracture  | 41            | 10          | 7.46 -13.29            |  |  |  |  |
|       | Lower limb fracture  | 68            | 16.59       | 13.30 - 20.49          |  |  |  |  |
|       | Head injury  | 67            | 16.34       | 13.08 - 20.23          |  |  |  |  |
|       | Pelvis fracture  | 9             | 2.20        | 1.16 - 4.12            |  |  |  |  |
|       | Abdominal injury   | 63            | 15.37       | 12.20 - 19.18          |  |  |  |  |
| Minor | Laceration & open injury                                   | 45            | 11.4        | 8.30 - 14.37           |  |  |  |  |
|       | Superficial injury   | 89            | 21.27       | 17.94 - 25.95          |  |  |  |  |

| Table-1: Pattern o | of injuries among RTA | A victims (n - 410) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|

#### Table-2: Severity of injuries among RTA victims according to their demographic profile

|                   | Severity     | of Injuries  | OP   | 05% CI      | p- value |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|------|-------------|----------|
|                   | Major Injury | Minor Injury | OK   | 93% CI      |          |
| Age Groups (Years | 5):          |              |      |             |          |
| <15               | 18           | 21           | 1    |             |          |
| 16 - 30           | 94           | 104          | 1.05 | 0.53 - 2.10 | 0.88     |
| 31 – 45           | 48           | 76           | 0.73 | 0.35 - 1.52 | 0.40     |
| >45               | 18           | 41           | 0.51 | 0.20 - 1.18 | 0.11     |
| Gender:           |              |              |      |             |          |
| Male              | 170          | 137          | 1    |             |          |
| Female            | 62           | 41           | 1.21 | 0.77 - 1.91 | 0.39     |
| Area:             |              |              |      |             |          |
| Rural             | 112          | 49           | 1    |             |          |
| Urban             | 120          | 129          | 0.40 | 0.26 - 0.61 | < 0.01   |
| Education Status: |              |              |      |             |          |
| Illiterate        | 5            | 3            | 1    |             |          |
| Primary           | 14           | 9            | 0.93 | 0.17 - 4.9  | 0.93     |
| Middle            | 29           | 9            | 0.9  | 0.38 - 9.7  | 0.4      |
| Matriculation     | 64           | 34           | 1.13 | 0.25 - 5.01 | 0.87     |
| Higher secondary  | 59           | 80           | 0.44 | 0.10 - 1.92 | 0.27     |
| Graduate          | 54           | 33           | 0.98 | 0.22 - 4.32 | 0.98     |
| PG & above        | 7            | 10           | 0.42 | 0.07 - 2.32 | 0.32     |

Victims from urban area were found to have lower odd's of major injuries (OR-0.4). Victims in the age group 16-30 years and age group > 45 years had highest and lowest odd's of having major injuries in RTAs respectively but the difference is not statistically significant. Similarly female had higher non-significant odd's of major injuries. Educational status did not found to be significantly associated with major injuries.

| Table-3: Distribution | of Agent Risk factors   | for Severity of injuries |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Lubic 5: Distribution | of figene hisk factors. | for beverie, or injuries |

| Agent Risk factors          | Major Injury  | Minor Injury  | OR    | 95% CI      | n- value |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-------------|----------|
|                             | wiajor injury | wintor injury | OK    | 7570 CI     | p- value |
| Vehicle Type                |               |               |       |             |          |
| Motorized Two wheeler       | 39            | 67            | 1     |             |          |
| Light Motor Vehicle(Car)    | 75            | 150           | 0.85  | 0.53 - 1.39 | 0.53     |
| HTV (Bus, truck)            | 17            | 2             | 14.61 | 3.21-66.59  | < 0.01   |
| Age of vehicles during acci | idents        |               |       |             |          |
| <5years                     | 102           | 64            | 1     |             |          |
| 5-10 years                  | 54            | 31            | 1.09  | 0.6 - 1.87  | 0.74     |
| >10 years                   | 76            | 82            | 0.58  | 0.37 - 0.90 | 0.01     |
| Mode of Accident            |               |               |       |             |          |
| Side impact                 | 52            | 66            | 1     |             |          |
| Rolling down                | 99            | 36            | 3.49  | 2.06 - 5.91 | < 0.01   |
| Head on collisions          | 74            | 71            | 1.32  | 0.81 - 2.15 | 0.26     |

Odds of having major's injuries were significantly higher (OR-14.61) among HTV victims in comparison to MTW victims in current study. Victims travelling in vehicle with bad condition had higher odds of having major injuries in RTAs. Victims involved in rolling down accident had higher odds (OR- 3.49) of having major injuries in comparison to simple side impact accidents (Table 3).

| Host Risk factors      | Major Injury                  | Minor Injury | Odd Ratio | 95% CI      | P value |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Risk factor of Drivers |                               |              |           |             |         |  |  |  |
| Consumed alcohol       | 24                            | 13           | 1.13      | 0.53 - 2.39 | 0.74    |  |  |  |
| Mobiles used           | 32                            | 1            | 25.81     | 3.44 - 193  | < 0.01  |  |  |  |
| Talking                | 21                            | 17           | 0.68      | 0.33 - 1.40 | 0.30    |  |  |  |
| Music                  | 69                            | 21           | 3.28      | 1.76 - 6.13 | < 0.01  |  |  |  |
| Smoking                | 4                             | 0            |           |             |         |  |  |  |
| Victim Category        |                               |              |           |             |         |  |  |  |
| Driver                 | 120                           | 72           | 1         |             |         |  |  |  |
| Passenger              | 86                            | 75           | 0.68      | 0.44 - 1.05 | 0.08    |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian             | 26                            | 31           | 0.50      | 0.23 -0.91  | 0.02    |  |  |  |
| Protective gear        |                               |              |           |             |         |  |  |  |
| Not wearing Helmet     | 23                            | 9            | 9.23      | 3.59 - 3.92 | < 0.01  |  |  |  |
| Not using Seat-belt    | 166                           | 63           | 5.85      | 2.53 - 3.54 | < 0.01  |  |  |  |
| Valid driving license  | Valid driving license (n-192) |              |           |             |         |  |  |  |
| Yes                    | 102                           | 54           | 1         |             |         |  |  |  |
| No/ learner            | 18                            | 18           | 1.89      | 0.91 - 3.92 | 0.08    |  |  |  |

| Table-4: Distribution | of Host risk | factors for | Severity of | of injuries i | n victims |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|
|                       | OI HUSU HSK  | 1401010101  |             | or mighted i  |           |

Mobile use during driving had been found significantly associated with major injuries in current study. Odds (OR-5.85) of having serious injuries were

significantly higher among those who were not wearing protective gears (Helmet or Seat belt) at the time of accident (p-value <0.05).

Table-5: Distribution of Environmental Risk factors for Severity of injuries in RTAs

| Environmental Risk factors            | Major Injury | Minor Injury | Odd's Ratio | 95% CI      | p- value |  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|--|
| Weather Condition on the day Accident |              |              |             |             |          |  |
| Clear                                 | 198          | 170          | 1           |             |          |  |
| Foggy/ Raining/snowing                | 34           | 8            | 3.65        | 1.64 - 8.09 | < 0.01   |  |
| Location of RTA on Road               |              |              |             |             |          |  |
| National Highway                      | 108          | 91           | 1           |             |          |  |
| State Highway                         | 89           | 50           | 1.49        | 0.96 - 2.34 | 0.07     |  |
| Local road                            | 32           | 37           | 0.72        | 0.42 - 1.26 | 0.26     |  |
| Road condition                        |              |              |             |             |          |  |
| Metalled                              | 196          | 173          | 1           |             |          |  |
| Non-metalled                          | 36           | 5            | 0.15        | 0.06 - 0.4  | < 0.01   |  |
| Level of road                         |              |              |             |             |          |  |
| Straight                              | 44           | 35           | 1           |             |          |  |
| Curved                                | 116          | 74           | 1.25        | 0.73 - 2.12 | 0.41     |  |
| Intersection                          | 16           | 8            | 1.59        | 0.61 - 4.14 | 0.34     |  |
| Up-hill                               | 20           | 3            | 5.30        | 1.45 - 9.30 | 0.01     |  |
| Down-hill                             | 36           | 58           | 0.49        | 0.26 - 0.90 | 0.02     |  |
| Overcrowding during RTA               |              |              |             |             |          |  |
| No                                    | 196          | 143          | 1           |             |          |  |
| Yes                                   | 10           | 3            | 2.43        | 0.65 - 8.31 | 0.27     |  |

Major injuries were found significantly higher in foggy/rainy /snowy conditions and on non-metalled roads (p value < 0.05). Major injuries were higher during RTA in uphill drive in comparison to downhill drive in current study (Table 5).

#### **DISCUSSION**

current study. Most common major injury was lower limb fracture (16.6%) followed by head injury (16.3%) and abdominal injury (15.4%). (Table 1) Mahajan *et al.* reported lower limb fractures as most common major injury following RTAs in Shimla City [11]. Ganveer *et al.* from Nagpur, Patil *et al.* from Maharashtra also observed lower limb fractures as the most common major injury from RTA victims [12, 13]. In contrast, Singh *et al.* in their study from Haryana reported y SAS Publishers, India

| А          | total of 4   | 10 Road    | Traffie | c accid  | lent victi | ms       |   |
|------------|--------------|------------|---------|----------|------------|----------|---|
| sustaining | non-fatal    | injuries   | have    | been     | studied    | in       |   |
| © 2019 Sc  | holars Journ | al of Appl | ied Me  | dical Sc | iences   P | ublished | b |

abdominal injuries to be the most common form of major injuries followed by limb fractures [14]. Multiple injuries followed by injuries to the lower limbs have been reported by Bayan et al in their study from Pune, Maharashtra [15]. In our study as well as from the studies in other parts of country, RTAs leads to multiple set of injuries which need medical and surgical care from different specialties. Accredited trauma centers must be prepared to treat serious life threatening and disabling injuries. These trauma centers though should not be intended to replace the traditional hospital and its emergency department for minor injuries.

Victims from rural area were found to have higher chances of sustaining major injuries (OR-0.4). Victims in the age group 16-30 years had highest odds but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2). Odds of having major's injuries were significantly higher (OR-14.61) among HTV victims in comparison to MTW victims. Victims travelling in vehicle with bad condition had higher odds of having major injuries in RTAs. Victims involved in rolling down accident had higher odds (OR- 3.49) of having major injuries in comparison to simple side impact accidents (Table 3).

The interior roads in the region from where most of the rural victims belong are not double lane and it is difficult for two vehicles to cross or overtake smoothly. Difficult hill terrain (where most of the time vehicle ended up in deep gorges), bad road conditions, poor vehicle maintenance and almost no road safety regulation in some of these areas are the possible reasons for significantly higher major injuries. A review article done on road traffic accidents in India reported majority of the victims as young adults, with a significant male predominance. Same review reported pedestrians and two wheeler riders as the most vulnerable group in road traffic accidents [16]. Some of the contrasting findings in our study actually highlight the difference that is needed to be addressed in making local road safety regulations for the state.

Mobile use while driving, not wearing protective gears (Helmet or Seat belt), foggy/rainy /snowy conditions and on non-metalled roads and uphill drive are the other factors which has been significantly associated with major injuries in this study. These are mostly in accordance with documented risk factors addressed in general road safety regulation [17, 18].

The hill roads are narrow, often poorly maintained and the increasing numbers of vehicles have brought a big pressure on the limited infrastructure. Lack of co-ordination between departments like PWD, Forest, Police and Transport, deficiency of funds for developing infrastructure (repairing roads and replacing the old buses, recruiting manpower) has been talked for a while after every major road accident but then again ignored after sometime. An accident is often described as unintentional, undesirable, and unplanned event but there are always some circumstances leading up to the accident, which if timely recognized, and acted upon, can reduce injury incidence and severity. Current study was an effort to highlight determinants of major injuries in RTAs. These findings in collaboration with results of other studies [11, 19] conducted on road traffic accidents should be considered for formulating as well as reframing road safety regulations in the state.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Nantulya VM, Reich MR. The neglected epidemic: road traffic injuries in developing countries. Bmj. 2002 May 11;324(7346):1139-41.
- Lagarde E. Road traffic injury is an escalating burden in Africa and deserves proportionate research efforts. PLoS medicine. 2007 Jun 26;4(6):170.
- Kumar M, Niranjan A, Kumar S. A study to assess the pattern and determinants of road traffic injuries during a year, a tertiary care hospital-based study. International journal of research in medical sciences. 2016 Jul;4(7):2696-700.
- World health organization. Global status report on road safety 2018. Available with https://www.who.int/violence\_injury\_prevention/ro ad\_safety\_status/2018/en/ [Last accessed on 06 Feb 2019]
- Peden M, McGee K, Sharma G. The injury chartbook: A graphical overview of the global burden of injuries. World Health Organization: Geneva. 2002.
- Ghaffar A, Hyder AA, Masud TI. The burden of road traffic injuries in developing countries: The first national survey of Pakistan. Public Health. 2004;118:211-7.
- Museru LM, Leshabari MT. Road traffic accidents in Tanzania: A 10-year epidemiological appraisal. East Cent Afr J Surg. 2002;7:23-6.
- Accidental deaths and suicides in India. National crime records bureau. Ministry of home affairs, Government of India. 2015. Available with http://ncrb.gov.in/StatPublications/ADSI/ADSI201 5/adsi-2015-full-report.pdf [Last accessed on 06 Feb 2019]
- 9. The times of India. Himachal Pradesh witnesses over 3,000 accidents every year: Survey. April 2018. Available from: https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com.Last accessed on 21 Dec 2018.
- 10. Haddon Jr W. Advances in the epidemiology of injuries as a basis for public policy. Public Health Report. 1980, 95:411-421.
- 11. Mahajan N, Agrawal M, Raina S, Verma LR, Mazta SR, Gupta BP. Pattern of non- fatal injuries in road traffic crashes in a hilly area: A study from Shimla, North India. Int. J. Crit. Illn. Inj Sci. 2013 Jul-Sep; 3(3): 190–94.

© 2019 Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences | Published by SAS Publishers, India

- Ganveer GB, Tiwari RR. Injury pattern among non-fatal road traffic accident cases: A crosssectional study in Central India. Indian J Med Sci. 2005; 59:9-12.
- Patil SS, Kakade R, Durgawale P, Kakade S. Pattern of road traffic injuries: a study from Western maharashtra. Indian J Community Med. 2008;33(1):56-7.
- Singh A, Bhardwaj A, Pathak R, Ahluwalia S. An epidemiological study of road traffic accident cases at a tertiary care hospital in rural haryana. Indian J Community Health. 2011;23(2):53-5.
- 15. Bayan P, Bhawalkar J S, Jadhav S L, Banerjee A. Profile of non-fatal injuries due to road traffic accidents from an industrial town in India. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci. 2013;3:8-11.
- Gururaj G. Road traffic deaths, injuries and disabilities in India: Current scenario. Natl Med J India. 2008;21:14–20.
- 17. Sanyang E, Peek-Asa C, Bass P, Young T L, Daffeh B, Fuortes L J. Risk Factors for Road Traffic Injuries among Different Road Users in the Gambia. Journal of Environmental and Public Health. 2017. Available with https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8612953 Accessed on 10/02/2019
- Sherafati F, Homaie-Rad E, Afkar A, Gholampoor-Sigaroodi R, Sirusbakht S. Risk Factors of Road Traffic Accidents Associated Mortality in Northern Iran; A Single Center Experience Utilizing Oaxaca Blinder Decomposition. Bull Emerg Trauma. 2017;5(2):116-121.
- Singh B, Dhadwal DS, Mahajan N, Kumar D, Sachdeva A, Chaudhary A. Profile of non-fatal injuries due to road traffic accidents from a hilly northern state of India. EAS Journal of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care. 2019;1(1):5-10.