Scholars Journal of Dental Sciences (SJDS)

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch. J. Dent. Sci. ©Scholars Academic and Scientific Publisher A Unit of Scholars Academic and Scientific Society, India www.saspublishers.com

Knowledge, Awareness and Practices regarding Sharp Injuries amongst the Dental students in Lucknow, India

Dr. Sneh Lata Verma¹*, Dr. Gyan P. Singh², Dr. Rana Pratap Maurya³, Dr. Tripti Tikku⁴, Dr. Kamna Srivastava⁵, Dr. Anshul Srivastava⁶, Dr.Rohit Khanna⁷, Dr. Reema Kumar⁸

¹Reader MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

²Associate Professor MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India

³Reader MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

⁴Prof & HOD MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

⁵Reader MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

⁶MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

⁷Prof MDS Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics Dept of Orthodontics, Babu Banarasi Das College of Dental Sciences, Lucknow, India

⁸Prof., S.P.M. Dept. King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India

Original Research Article

*Corresponding author Dr. Sneh Lata Verma

Article History *Received:* 28.07.2018 *Accepted:* 14.08.2018 *Published:* 30.08.2018

DOI: 10.36347/sjds.2018.v05i08.001



their clinical training, is the possibility of exposure to blood borne pathogens by Needle Stick Injuries (NSIs) as they work in a limited-access and restricted-visibility field and frequently use sharp devices. To assess the knowledge, awareness and practice regarding sharp injuries among post graduate and intern students of a dental college in Lucknow, India. 150 participants comprising of 90 post graduate students from various specialties of the dentistry (Orthodontics-15, Endodontics-17, Prosthodontics-18, Oral surgery-7, Oral medicine-4, Periodontics-13, Pedodontics-7, Community medicine-9) and 60 interns students were included in the study. The pretested structured questionnaire was comprised of forty questions, twenty five questions to elicit the level of knowledge and awareness and fifteen questions to elicit the practice towards the sharp injuries. Most of the students had adequate knowledge and awareness regarding NSI's but they were not practicing the universal precautions and biomedical waste management rules for sharp disposal.Intern students had more NSI as compared to postgraduate dental students. The main cause of injury was endodontic files during endodontic treatment, orthodontic wires followed by explorer, scalpel blade, hollow needle, burs and extraction instrument. Dental students required appropriate training and teaching regarding biomedical waste management and should be encouraged to report NSIs to concerned authority, setting up an NSI management center in dental hospital, as well as follow up of the injured individuals also required.

Abstract: One of the most serious threats to Dental students, which they face during

Keywords: Needle stick injury, dental post graduates, biomedical waste management.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most serious threats to Dental students, which they face during their clinical training, is the possibility of exposure to blood borne pathogens by Needle Stick Injuries (NSIs) as they work in a limited-access and restricted-visibility field and frequently use sharp devices. Injuries from occupational accidents are associated with the agents of biological risk and have capability to create serious and potentially lethal infectious diseases which can be spread between people by contact. The level of risk depends on the number of patients with type of infection and the precautions taken by them while dealing these patients. NSIs constitute a major hazard for the transmission of viral diseases such as Hepatitis-B, Hepatitis-C and HIV. It is a percutaneous piercing wound typically set by a needle point, but possibly also by other sharp instruments or objects. Variety of procedures like needle recapping, injuries sustained in the operating room, blood collection or intravenous line administration, suturing and checking blood sugar can lead to accidental NSI [1]. The probability of transmission varies depending on whether the exposure is with a hollow-bore needle or a solid needle due to higher fluid content and pathogen load. World Health Report 2002 stated that amongst the 35 million HCWs, two million experiences percutaneous exposure to infectious diseases each year. More than 90% of these infections occur in developing countries but most of these NSIs remain unreported. It is also found that 37.6% of Hepatitis-B, 39% of Hepatitis-C and 4.4% of HIV/AIDS in health care workers around the world are due to needle stick injuries[2]. Other infections transmittable through needle stick injuries are syphilis, malaria, and herpes[3].

In India, in spite of large number of awareness programs, it is not possible to estimate the annual incidence of NSI in different occupations because of the scarcity of data[4]. Moreover most of the NSIs remain unreported as many dental professionals and students are not aware of the preventive and immediate prophylactic measures to be taken in case of such happenings[5].

Dental students work in various dental departments where they can be exposed to injuries. The most frequently reported places for injuries have varied across the literature. In South Africa, 91% of junior doctors reported sustaining a NSI in the previous year. Results of another cross-sectional study conducted in Iran among medical and dental students showed that 74.3% had experienced NSIs, and the highest incidence among the dental students was seen in Endodontics, Surgery and Periodontics Departments. According to another study conducted in a Dental Institute in London, it was found that oral surgery clinics were the major source of reporting of NSIs compared with other specialised dental clinics within the institute[5].

Determinants of NSIs are overuse of injections, recapping of needles after use, lack of supplies of disposable syringes, safer needle devices, sharps-disposal containers, work pressure, passing instruments from hand to hand in the operating suite, lack of awareness of hazard and lack of training among HCWs [6-8]. More than 80% of the needle stick injuries can be prevented through the use of safety devices and effective safety programmes and by applying "Universal precautions" as a safety measure. Both financial and psychological impacts may occur due to NSIs. The financial impact includes both direct and indirect costs, whereas, psychological impact include tension and fear, and absent from their work[2,9].

Although modern dentistry has been cited as the least hazardous of the all the occupations, risks like NSI still challenge the status of this occupation. In literature, no studies are available regarding needle stick/sharp injuries among the dental student in Lucknow. Hence, the aim of present study was to assess the knowledge, awareness and practices regarding sharp injuries amongst the undergraduate and intern dental students in Lucknow, India.

AIM & OBJECTIVES

The aim of the present study was to assess the Knowledge, Awareness and Practices regarding Sharp Injuries amongst the post graduate and intern students of a dental college in Lucknow, India.

OBJECTIVES

- To assess the knowledge and awareness regarding sharp injuries among post graduate and intern students of a dental college of Lucknow, India.
- To assess the practices regarding sharp injuries among the post graduate and intern students of a dental college of Lucknow, India.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The sample for this survey based study included 150 participants comprising of 90 post graduate students from various specialties of the dentistry and 60 interns students (Table 1, Figure 1&2). All students voluntarily participated in the study and were fully informed about the design and purpose of the study and verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Data was recorded on a pretested structured questionnaire (Table2) distributed among the students in their respective clinical departments and collected at the same time. The questionnaire was comprised of forty questions, out of which twenty five questions were to elicit the level of knowledge and awareness and fifteen questions were to elicit the practice towards the sharp injuries. The questionnaire was used to collect demographic data, prevalence of sharps injury, frequency of sharps injuries, department of injury occurrence, mechanism of injury, type of device causing injury, reporting of injury, reasons for not reporting injury, protective practices, prevalence of hepatitis B immunization, prevalence of hepatitis B status immunization, perception of risk of acquiring Sharp instruments injuries ,BBVs from dentistry, level of awareness of rate of transmission of BBVs HIV; and level of knowledge of prevalence of BBVs. The recorded data was divided into of two parts: firstly, to assess the statement regarding knowledge and awareness; and secondly, to assess practice and incidence of sharp injuries. The data were recorded in number (N) and percentages (%) which were tabulated in the following manner:

- Knowledge, awareness and practice regarding sharp/needle stick injury in overall dental students.
- Knowledge, awareness and practice regarding sharp/needle stick injury in postgraduates and interns.

- Incidence of Sharp/needle sticks injury in dental students during various clinical procedures.
- Causes of sharp/needle stick injury in post graduate students and interns.

RESULTS

Results of the study are summarized in Table3-6 & Figure 3-4.



Fig-1: Distribution of participants



Fig-2: Distribution of Post graduate dental students



Fig-3: Causes of Sharp/Needle stick injury (NSIs) among Dental Students



Fig-4: Incidence of Sharp/Needle sticks injury (NSIs) among Dental Students during various Clinical procedures

Table-111. Dental statents involved in the stady							
S.N.	Students	Number (N)=150	Percentages (%)=100%				
1	Post graduate dental students	90	60%				
2	Intern dental students	60	40%				

Table-1A: Dental students involved in the study

Table-1B: Distribution of Post graduate students involved in the study

	Tuble 121 Distribution of 1 obt graduate stadents involved in the stady						
S.N.	No. of Post graduate dental	Number (N)=90	Percentages=				
	Students from different specialties		(100%)				
1	Oral surgery	7	7%				
2	Oral Medicine	4	4%				
3	Orthothodontics	15	17%				
4	Periodontics	13	13%				
5	Pedodontics	7	8%				
6	Prosthodontics	18	20%				
7	Community medicine	9	10%				
8	Endodontics	17	19%				

Table 2: <u>Questionnaire</u>

Name of the participant: Year of student:

□ Postgraduate dental student

Interns

Please tick the appropriate answer:

<u>Ouestions regarding Knowledge and Awareness about Needle stick/Sharp injuries</u>

- 1. Do you know about the risk of needle stick/sharp injury (NSI) during procedure? ☐ Yes No □
- 2. More than 20 different types of pathogens may be transmitted by sharp injuries.
- $\Box \operatorname{Yes} \qquad \operatorname{No} \Box$
- 3. Hepatitis-B can be prevented by vaccine. □ Yes No □
- 4. Currently no vaccine is present to prevent Hepatitis-C infection.
- Yes No
- 5. Do you know about universal precautions? ☐ Yes No □
- Needle stick injury (NSI) is an occupational hazard in dental community.
- □ Yes No □
- Hypodermic needle increases the risk for NSIs.
 □ Yes No □

Sneh Lata et al., Sch. J. Dent. Sci., Vol-5, Iss-8 (Aug, 2018): 393-404

snen	Lata <i>et ut.</i> , Sch. J. Dent. Sch., Vol-5, 185-6 (Aug, 2016): 393-404
8.	Most of needle stick injuries occurred during recapping of used needle.
9.	Most of needle stick injuries have been neglected and unreported.
10.	After a needle stick injury the affected area should be rinsed and washed thoroughly with soap and water.
	□ Yes No □
11.	Do you know about post exposure prophylaxis (PEP)?
12.	A needle stick injury may lead to significant stress and anxiety for the affected injured person.
13.	☐ Yes No ☐ Biomedical waste if not treated properly can be hazardous to community and our environment.
14	\Box Yes No \Box
14.	\square Yes No \square
15.	Post-exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) should be initiated within one hour of the injury.
16.	Most injuries occurred during disposal of used needles.
17	☐ Yes No ☐ Riomodical waste should be corrected at the point of its generation
17.	\square Yes No \square
18.	Segregated waste at the point of its generation reduces the chance of injury to waste handler. \square Yes
19.	Universal precaution should be followed during all clinical procedure.
20	□ Yes No □ To avoid injury all the sharps should be disposed in:
20.	□ Poly bags □ Puncture proof containers
21.	Decontamination/disinfection of sharp waste reduces the chance of infection.
22.	Needle after use should be recapped.
23	□ Yes No □ Disposal of sharp how should be done when it is:
23.	$\Box \text{ Less than } 3/4^{\text{th}} \text{ filled} \qquad \text{Mo} \Box \text{than } 3/4^{\text{th}} \text{ filled}$
24.	All needle stick injury should be reported.
25.	Risk of infection after injury depends on the amount of blood contact and amount of virus load in the patient at the
	time of exposure.
26	Questions regarding Practice and Incidence about Needle stick/Sharp injuries
20.	\square Yes No \square
27.	Have you experienced any NSI during clinical training in last six month?
28	□ Yes No □ Number of NSIs you get in last 6 months during clinical training
20.	$\Box 1-2 \qquad \qquad 3-4\Box \qquad \text{More tha} \Box^4$
29.	What was the main cause of NSIs?
	□ Hollow Needle Explo : Endodontic □e
	Extraction instrument Ortho intic wire
30.	Have you received any teaching/training in biomedical waste management (BMW)?
	□ Yes No □
31.	During which procedure you get NSIs?
	\Box Endodonic \Box eathent
	Collision with sharp instrument Ortho Intic wire bending / Treatment
	Restoration Wating of sharp instrument Sharp instrumint disposal
32.	Do you dispose all kinds of waste including sharps into general garbage?
33.	Do vou recap needle after its use?
20.	

Available online: www.saspublishers.com

Sneh Lata et al., Sch. J. Dent. Sci., Vol-5, Iss-8 (Aug, 2018): 393-404

34. Where you dispose needle and sharp objects after its use?					
□ Red plastic bags	Punct proof containers				
Yellow plastic bag	Blacplastic bag				
35. Do you wear gloves and other	er protective measures during work?				
□ Yes	No 🗖				
36. After sharp injury what shou	ld be the first action?				
\Box It should be washed with s	soap and water				
☐ It should be bandage imm	ediately				
Pricked finger should be p	out into the mouth				
37. Have you reported the incide	ent of needle stick injury to assigned authority?				
□ Yes	No 🗖				
38. Do you use needle destroyer	before discarding needles?				
□ Yes	No 🗖				
39. Do you wash your hand befo	re and after procedure?				
□ Yes	No 🗖				
40. Are you vaccinated for Hepa	titis-B?				
□ Yes	No 🗖				

I am voluntarily participating in this survey study and purpose of the study was explained to me.

Date:

Signature:

Table-3: Knowledge, Awareness and Practice regarding Sharp/Needle stick injury (NSIs) among overall Dental Students

S.N.	N. Questions about Knowledge and Awareness regarding NSIs		Overall Dental Students (N=150)			
		Number	Percentages			
		(N)	(%)			
1.	Risk of NSIs			93.33		
2.	NSI is an occupational hazard in dental community		139	92.66		
3.	More than 20 different types of pathogen may be tran	smitted by NSIs	139	92.66		
4.	Hepatitis-B can be prevented by vaccine		110	73.33		
5.	Knowledge about universal precaution		125	83.33		
6.	Currently no vaccine is present to prevent Hepatitis-C	infection	120	80.00		
7.	Hypodermic needle increases the risk for NSIs		111	1 74.00		
8.	Most NSIs occurred during recapping of used needle		126	84.00		
9.	Most of NSIs have been neglected and unreported		110	70.33		
10.	Affected area should be rinsed and washed thoroughly	y with soap and water after	138	92.00		
	NSIs		100	07.00		
11.	Post exposure prophylaxis (PEP)		128	85.33		
12.	Timing of Post-exposure Prophylaxis		112	74.66		
13.	Stress and anxiety after NSIs		115	/6.66		
14.	Hazardous nature of biomedical waste		118	78.66		
15.	Proper hand washes before and after procedure		128	85.33		
16.	Most NSIs occurred during disposal of used needles		132	88.00		
17.	Segregation of biomedical waste at the point of its gen	neration	134	81.33		
18.	Segregation of waste reduces chances of spread of in	127	84.66			
19.	Universal precaution			89.33		
20.	Decontamination/disinfection of sharp waste reduces the chance of infection.			84.00		
21.	Personal preventive measure			76.66		
22.	Correct method of sharp disposal		98	65.33		
23.	Recapping of needle after use		110	73.33		
24.	Reporting of needle stick injury		102	68.00		
25.	Determinants of risk of infection		93	62.00		
Quest	ions about Practice regarding NSIs		146	07.00		
26.	Dealing with any sharp objects during clinical training		146	97.33		
27.	Experience about any NSI in last six month		84	56.00		
28.		1-2 times	68	80.09		
	Number of NSIs in last 6 months	3-4 times	12	14.28		
20	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	More than 4 times	4	04.00		
29.	Injury washed with soap and water immediately		108	72.00		
30.	NSIs reported to the assigned authority		58	38.66		
31.	Received any teaching/training in BMW	1 1	50	33.33		
32.	Disposal of all kinds of waste including sharps into general garbage			88.00		
33.	Recapping of needle after its use			86.00		
34.	Dispose needle and sharp objects after its use in punc	ture proof container	25	16.67		
35.	Wear gloves and other protective measures		136	90.66		
36.	washed hands before and after procedure		138	92.00		
37.	Used needle destroyer before discarding needles		8	5.33		
38.	Vaccinated for Hepatitis-B	142	94.67			

	of Dental Students								
S.N.	Questions about Knowledge and Awareness regarding NSIs		Post graduate		Interns				
			students		(N=60)				
				N=90)	=90)				
			Ν	%	Ν	%			
1.	Risk of NSIs		83	92.22	57	95.00			
2.	NSI is an occupational hazard in dental commun	ity	83	92.22	56	93.33			
3.	More than 20 different types of pathogen may be	e transmitted by NSIs	86	95.55	54	90.00			
4.	Hepatitis-B can be prevented by vaccine		70	77.77	40	66.66			
5.	Knowledge about universal precaution		81	90.00	44	73.33			
6.	Currently no vaccine is present to prevent Hepat	itis-C infection	85	94.44	35	58.33			
7.	Hypodermic needle increases the risk for NSIs		73	81.12	38	63.34			
8.	Most NSIs occurred during recapping of used ne	edle	87	96.67	39	65.00			
9.	Most of NSIs have been neglected and unreported	ed	70	77.78	40	66.67			
10.	Affected area should be rinsed and washed thore	bughly with soap and water	86	95.55	52	86.67			
	after NSIs								
11.	Post exposure prophylaxis (PEP)		81	90.00	47	78.34			
12.	Timing of Post-exposure Prophylaxis		73	81.12	39	65.00			
13.	Stress and anxiety after NSIs		75	83.34	40	66.67			
14.	Hazardous nature of biomedical waste		78	86.67	40	66.67			
15.	Proper hand washes before and after procedure		86	95.55	42	70.00			
16.	Most NSIs occurred during disposal of used need	dles	87	96.67	45	75.00			
17.	Segregation of biomedical waste at the point of i	ts generation	85	94.44	49	81.67			
18.	Segregation of waste reduces chances of spread of infection			88.88	47	78.33			
19.	Universal precaution			93.34	50	83.34			
20.	Decontamination/disinfection of sharp waste reduces the chance of			88.88	46	76.66			
	infection.								
21.	Personal preventive measure			83.34	40	66.67			
22.	Correct method of sharp disposal			77.78	28	46.67			
23.	Recapping of needle after use		74	82.23	36	60.00			
24.	Reporting of needle stick injury		74	82.23	28	46.46			
25.	Determinants of risk of infection		68	75.56	25	41.62			
Quest	ions about Practice regarding NSIs		00	10100					
26	Dealing with any sharp objects during clinical tr	aining	86	95 56	60	100.00			
23.	Experience about any NSI in last six month		34	40.47	50	59.52			
28		1-2 times	25	73.52	36	72.00			
20.	Number of NSIs in last 6 months	3-4 times	7	20.58	10	20.00			
		More than 4 times	2	05.88	4	08.00			
29	Injury washed with soan and water immediately	Wore than 1 times	80	88.88	28	46.67			
30	NSIs reported to the assigned authority		42	46.67	16	26.67			
31	Received any teaching/training in RMW		30	33 33	20	33 33			
32	Disposal of all kinds of waste including sharps into general garbage		70	77 77	52	86.66			
33	Recamping of needle after its use		67	74 44	52	86.66			
34	Dispose needle and sharp objects after its use in	puncture proof container	16	17 78	9	15			
35	Wear gloves and other protective measures	puncture proof container	80	88.88	56	93 33			
36	Washed hands before and after procedure		82	91 12	56	93 33			
37	Used needle destroyer before discarding needles		5	05.00	3	05.00			
38	Vaccinated for Hepatitis-B		86	95 55	56	93.34			

Table-4: Knowledge, Awareness and Practice regarding Sharp/Needle stick injury (NSIs) among different batches of Dental Students

Table-5: Cause of Sharp/Needle stick injury (NSIs) among Dental Students								
S. N.	Cause of NSIs	Post gra	I	ntern	Total			
		(N=34)		(N=50)		(N=84)		
		Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
1.	Hollow Needle	2	05.80	6	12.00	8	09.52	
2.	Explorer	7	20.58	9	18.00	16	19.04	
3.	Endodontic File	2	05.80	10	20.00	12	14.28	
4.	Scalpel blade	6	17.64	6	12.00	12	14.28	
5.	Scaler	6	17.64	6	12.00	12	11.28	
6.	Extraction Instrument	0	0.00	3	06.00	3	03.57	
7.	Orthodontic Wire	10	29.41	8	16.00	18	21.42	
8.	Bur	3	08.82	2	04.00	5	05.95	

ATOT

. . .

Sneh Lata et al., Sch. J. Dent. Sci., Vol-5, Iss-8 (Aug, 2018): 393-404

.

Table-6: Sharp/Needle sticks injury (NSIs) among Dental Students during various Clinical procedures

S. N.	Clinical Procedure	Post graduates		Intern		Total	
		(.	(N=34)		N=50)	(N=84)	
		Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%
1.	Local anaesthesia administration		05.80	4	08.00	6	07.14
2.	Diagnosis		11.76	3	06.00	7	08.33
3.	Endodontic treatment	5	14.70	9	18.00	14	16.66
4.	Extraction/Surgical procedure		02.94	4	08.00	5	05.95
5.	Scaling	2	05.80	5	10.00	7	08.33
6.	Needle recapping		11.76	6	12.00	10	11.90
7.	Collision with sharp instrument		05.80	1	02.00	3	03.57
8.	Orthodontic wire bending/Treatment		07.14	8	16.00	14	16.66
9.	Restoration		08.82	3	06.00	6	07.14
10.	Washing of sharp instruments	3	08.83	1	02.00	4	04.76
11.	Disposal of sharp instruments	2	05.80	6	12.00	8	09.52

DISCUSSION

Health care workers (HCWs) are at increased risk for acquiring various infectious blood borne diseases due to occupational exposure to blood. The incidence of NSI is considerably higher than current estimates, due to gross under reporting [4,10]. According to WHO, the annual estimated proportions of HCWs exposed to blood borne pathogens globally were 2.6% for HCV, 5.9% for HBV & 0.5 % for HIV [3,5]. In USA 6,00,000 to 10,00,000 receive NSI from conventional needles and sharps every year, while in UK it is 1,00,000 HCWs/year¹¹. As per the 2008-2009 HIV estimates, there are an estimated 23.9 lakh people currently living with HIV/AIDS in India with an adult prevalence of 0.31% in 2009[10].

Dental students are generally considered at a higher risk because they undertake exposure prone techniques during their training years and use sharp instruments more often[12,13]. Several studies have highlighted that knowledge and compliance among dental students is inadequate regarding prevention and management of sharps injuries. Lack of experience and skill in performing dental procedures during clinical training places dental students at risk of exposure to blood-borne viruses (BBVs) [14].The carrier rate following transmission is 20% for HBV, 80% for HCVand almost 100% for HIVof exposure to bloodborne viruses (BBVs)[7]. The risk of infection for dentists depends on the prevalence of disease in patient population, nature and frequency of exposure. Potential exposures are not limited to needle sticks alone because manipulation of other sharp instruments or mucous membrane exposures to infected bodily fluids also can result in the transmission of infectious diseases.

Most of the sharps injuries are due to the absence of sharps bin at the site of the procedure and used needles left in trays, kidney dishes, among drapes and among trash. It is also found that noncompliance or failure to adhere to guidelines can become a contributory factor to needle stick injuries [8]. The commonest clinical activity to cause the NSIs among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of India were blood withdrawal (55%), followed by suturing (20.3%) and vaccination (11.7%). In which recapping of needles was a common cause for NSIs[4]. In a dental environment, the incidence of NSIs can be reduced if a dental professional abides by the current and universally accepted standard precautionary measures against NSIs[15].

In the present study, 93% students were having knowledge about the risk of NSI during clinical procedures. In similar studies *Saini* R [16] at Maharashtra reported on an average 89.23% students had correct knowledge about NSI *,Garima et al.* [17]reported 22% students knew the definition of

Available online: www.saspublishers.com

needle stick injury and 58.6% knew the immediate measure to be taken i.e. to wash the wound with soap and water. In present study, more than 90% dental students had knowledge about different types of pathogens transmitted by NSIs and 73 % knew that hepatitis-B can be prevented by vaccine while 80% knew about the nonavailability of vaccine to prevent hepatitis-C infection. *Gichki et al.* [15] found 71% of the sample in their study had good knowledge about the transmission of pathogens through NSI, 83% knew that there is no effective vaccine available against HCV. *Lakshmi S et al.* [18] in their study population found that 91% knew the correct meaning NSI and 6.4% assumed it is an injury sustained while handling blood products.

80% students in the present study, had knowledge and awareness about universal precautions. 74% dental students believed that hypodermic needle increases the risk for NSIs and 84% aware that most of needle stick injuries occurred during recapping of used needle. 70% dental students confirmed that most of NSIs have been neglected and unreported while 90% believed that after NSIs affected area should be rinsed and washed thoroughly with soap and water. 85.33% students knew the importance of post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) while only 74.33% knew the correct timing for post-exposure prophylaxis. Cervini and Bell[19] have shown that PEP practices for NSI are inadequate among medical students. Siddiqui et al. [20] found only 10% of HCW who were aware of PEP. In the present study more than 70% students admitted that a needlestick injury may lead to significant stress and anxiety to them, whereas Saini et al. [16] reported only 47% students lead to stress and anxiety after NSIs. Osman [21] found anxiety and stress the most frequent immediate post injury reaction reported by 59.4% students and 28.1% directed anger to themselves while 12.5% felt indifferent following the injury. More than 75% students believed that biomedical waste if not treated properly can be hazardous to community and our environment and agreed that universal precaution should be followed during all clinical procedure[22]. 74% believed that personal preventive measure to be taken during handling with sharps but very few know that sharps should be disposed in puncture proof container to avoid injury.

When we asked questions regarding practice and incidence about needle stick/sharp injuries in present study amongst 150 dental students it was found that 97.33% students deal with sharp objects during their daily clinical training. Inspite of having appropriate knowledge regarding NSI they are not practicing well and indulging in to it..*Osman et al.* [21] found 69.6% dental students exposed to a sharp instruments injury during the past twelve months. *Garima M et al.* [17] 43%, *Verma Y et al.*[6] 75.7% *Sharma et al.* [23], 79.5 % *,Askarian et al.*[24] 72.2% and *Nee et al.*[25] reported 62.2% prevalence of NSIs in their studies. In contrast *Pavithran et al.* [26] showed that only 27.5% participants had an NSI in same duration. Prevention of NSIs can be achieved through elimination of needle recapping and use of safer needle devices, sharp collection boxes, gloves and personal protective gear.

In the present study main culprit of NSIs was orthodontic wires (21.42%) during orthodontic wire bending followed by explorer (19.04%), endodontic file and scalpel blade (14.58%), scaler (11.46%), hollow needle and bur (5.75%) and minimum by extraction instrument (3.57%).Almost similar findings were obtained by Osman et al. [21] Muralidhar et al. [4], Gupta et al. [8], Verma et. al [6].

The most frequently reported places for injuries have varied across the literature. Endodontoics, surgery, prosthodontics, operative dentistry, pediatrics and periodontics departments were reported as places for injury occurrence [12,24]. A ten year prospective study in the United States has shown that more than 70% of local anesthetic-related NSIs occur during needle insertion or withdrawal and the remaining during patient movement at time of injection[27]. Other procedures for injuries identified in this study such as sharps disposal, needle re-capping, washing instruments, scaling, wound suturing were also reported in similar studies[12,4,24,28].

In present study, students who get NSIs, 91.67% washed injured sites immediately with soap and water and only 55.20% reported NSIs to the assigned authority. Sharma et al. [23] reported in their study that 60.9% HCWs washed the site of injury with water and soap and only 7.8% of the HCWs took post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) against HIV/AIDS after NSIs. In a study conducted by Verma et al. [6] found that the action taken by HCWs after NSIs included washing the site with soap and water (53.5%), applying alcohol/betadine/antiseptics (41%), expressing blood from NSI site (1.5%), applying pressure (2%), tying the part (2%) or doing nothing (1%). Sharma et al. [23] observed that patient overload and fatigue due to long hours of working was the commonest reason for causing the needle stick injury. Gichki et al. [15]. Found that 99% respondents believed that the injury should be reported 91% agreed that the post exposure prophylaxis should be initiated within one hour of injury. Lakshmi et al. [18] in their study reported that 85.9% students had knowledge that prophylaxis can prevent diseases transmitted after an NSI.

In this study, Students were unaware (only 35.33% had received teaching) regarding the objectives of biomedical waste management and 86.66% students disposed all kinds of waste including sharps into general garbage and recapping the needles as a routine practice. *Lakshmi et al.* [18] reported that 64.1%, students were aware to reduce the occurrence of NSI by wearing gloves while 88.5% students were aware that

NSI could transmit diseases transmitted by blood and 79.5% students knew that HIV and HBV could be transmitted by NSI.

Although post exposure prophylaxis (PEP) for HCW can dramatically reduce the risk but is not so effective for HCV and HIV. In present study, 96.67% students were vaccinated for hepatitis-B vaccine. Other studies have reported complete vaccination rates ranging from 95% - 100% [13,14,24]. Unvaccinated individuals may have a 6% - 30% risk of becoming infected with the virus following an injury [14]. However, the effectiveness of the vaccine is the most significant factor that needs to be tested among healthcare students [13]. Pavithran et al. [23] showed that 81.5% of dental professionals were vaccinated against hepatitis B. Number of HCWs vaccinated for Hepatitis-B varied in different studies, Garima et al. [17] showed 64.3% students, Wicker et al. [29] reported an average of 78.2% and Radha et al. [30] reported range between 83% in doctors and 8% in nurses for HBV vaccination.

NSIs remains a major health hazard in dental colleges especially the ones which deal with high patient load. The implementation of universal precautions, elimination of needle recapping, use of safer needle devices, and use of sharps containers for safe disposal will reduce NSIs. The primary prevention of NSI can be achieved by setting up model injection centers and NSI management centers in colleges, as well as follow up of the injured individuals are recommended. In all health care settings, active Infection control and biomedical waste management teaching and training should be an integral part of the curriculum of all disciplines including medical, dental and paramedical by well designed seminars, programs, and workshops. Record keeping and reporting of sharp injuries should be considered as an essential part of infection control activity. Post exposure prophylaxis and follow up facility by the hospital management seem quite necessary. In due course this multifaceted approach will definitely improve the attitude of Dental students towards NSIs.

CONCLUSION

- Intern students had more NSI as compared to postgraduate dental students. The main cause of injury was endodontic files, orthodontic wires followed by explorer, scalpel blade, hollow needle, burs and extraction instrument.
- Highest number of NSIs was found during endodontic treatment, followed by the diagnosis, scaling, needle recapping, local anesthesia restoration, orthodontic wire bending/treatment, washing of sharp instruments, disposal of sharp instruments, extraction/surgical procedure and collision with sharp instrument.
- Majority of students had adequate knowledge and awareness regarding Needle stick/sharp injury.

Approximately all dental students had knowledge that NSIs can transmit hepatitis-B and were vaccinated for Hepatitis-B vaccine.

- In practice, maximum number of dental students washed hands, used glove but most of them do not follow the biomedical waste management rules for sharp disposal.
- Dental students required training and teaching regarding biomedical waste management and should be encouraged to report NSIs to concerned authority. Setting up an NSI management center in dental hospital, as well as follow up of the injured individuals also required.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pruss-Ustan A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Sharps injuries: Global burden of diseases from sharps injuries to health-care workers. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003. (WHO Environmental Burden of Diseases Series, No. 3).
- WHO. Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. Geneva; 2002. [cited 2013 Sep 7]. Available from: http://www.who.int/whr/2002/en/whr02_en.pdf.
- Bolyard EA, Tablan OC, Williams WW, Pearson ML, Shapiro CN, Deitchman SD, Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. Guideline for infection control in healthcare personnel, 1998. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 1998 Jun;19(6):407-63.
- Muralidhar S, Kumar Singh P, Jain RK, Malhotra M, Bala M. Needle stick injuries among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital of India. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 2010 Mar 1;131(3):405.
- Ayatollahi J, Ayatollahi F, Ardekani AM, Bahrololoomi R, Ayatollahi J, Ayatollahi A, Owlia MB. Occupational hazards to dental staff. Dental research journal. 2012 Jan;9(1):2.
- Verma Y, Bandlish D, Kumar B. The prevalence of needle sticks injury among healthcare personnel in a tertiary care hospital in Kolkata. Webmed Central Clinical Microbiology. 2015;6(9):WMC004983
- 7. Tan L, Hawk JC, Sterling ML. Preventing needlestick injuries in health care settings- report of the council on scientific affairs. Arch Intern Med. 2001; 161 : 929-36.
- Gupta DK, Agrawal VK, Gupta SB, Ahmad Faheem: Needle Stick Injuries amongHealth Care. Worker People's Journal of Scientific Research July. 2015; Volume 8, Issue 2.
- Singh G, Gupta P, Kumari R, Verma S. Knowledge, Attitude and practices regarding biomedical waste management among healthcare personnel in Lucknow, India. Indian J Clin Pract. 2014 Feb;24(9):830-3.
- 10. Wilburn SQ, Eijkemans G. Preventing needlestick injuries among healthcare workers: a WHO-ICN collaboration. International journal of occupational and environmental health. 2004 Oct 1;10(4):451-6.

- 11. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Alert: Preventing needlestick injuries in healthcare settings. Washington DC: NIOSH. 1999.
- Gaballah K, Warbuton D, Sihmbly K, Renton T. Needle stick injuries among dental students: risk factors and recommendations for prevention. Libyan Journal of Medicine. 2012 Jan 1;7(1):17507.
- 13. McCarthy GM, Britton JE. A survey of final-year dental, medical and nursing students: occupational injuries and infection control. Journal-canadian dental association. 2000 Nov;66(10):561-.
- Jaber MA. A survey of needle sticks and other sharp injuries among dental undergraduate students. International journal of infection control. 2011 Jan 25;7(3).
- Gichki AS, Islam A, Murad W. Knowledge and awareness about needle stick injuries among dental students of bolan medical college, QUETTA. Pakistan Oral & Dental Journal. 2015 Dec 1;35(4).
- Saini R. Knowledge and awareness of needlestick injury among students of Rural Dental College, Maharashtra, India. Annals of Nigerian Medicine. 2011 Jan 1;5(1):12.
- Garima M, Anmol T, Garwal RK, Gupta P, Gupta P. Knowledge, awareness and prevalence of needle stick injury among Students of medical college of Uttarakhand, India. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research. 2015;6(3):3055-8.
- Sangeetha Lakshmi G, Bhat R. A study on awareness of needle sticks injury in students undergoing paramedical course. IOSR-JDMS. 2015;14(11):34-8.
- 19. Cervini P, Bell C. Brief report: needlestick injury and inadequate post-exposure practice in medical students. Journal of general internal medicine. 2005 May 1;20(5):419.
- Siddique K, Mirza S, Tauqir SF, Anwar I, Malik AZ. Knowledge attitude and practices regarding needle stick injuries amongst healthcare providers. Pakistan J Surg. 2008;24(4):243-8.
- 21. Osman T. Epidemiology of sharp instruments injuries at a dental school in Sudan. International Journal of Infection Control. 2014 Dec 11;10(4).
- 22. Maurya RP, Maurya MK, Kushwaha R, Verma SL, Kumari R. Knowledge, Awareness and Practices regarding Sharp Injuries amongst the Dental students. International Journal of Oral Health Dentistry. 2017;3(3):181-7.
- 23. Sharma R, Rasania S, Verma A, Singh S. Study of prevalence and response to needle stick injuries among health care workers in a tertiary care hospital in Delhi, India. Indian J Community Med. 2010; 35(1): 74-7.
- Askarian M, Malekmakan L, Memish ZA, Assadian O. Prevalence of needle stick injuries among dental, nursing and midwifery students in Shiraz, Iran. GMS Krankenhaushygiene interdisziplinär. 2012;7(1).

- 25. Nee L, Lim HL, Chan YH, Bachok DB. Analysis of sharps injury occurrences at a hospital in Singapore. *Int J NursPrac*2002; *8:* 274-81.
- 26. Pavithran VK, Murali R, Krishna M, Shamala A, Yalamalli M, Kumar AV. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of needle stick and sharps injuries among dental professionals of Bangalore, India. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry. 2015 Sep;5(5):406.
- 27. Younai FS, Murphy DC, Kotelchuck D. Occupational exposures to blood in a dental teaching environment: results of a ten-year surveillance study. Journal of dental education. 2001 May 1;65(5):436-48.
- Hashemipour MA, Sadeghi A. Needlestick injuries among medical and dental students at the University of Kerman. A questionnaire study. Journal of Dentistry of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. 2008;5(2):71-6.
- 29. Wicker S, Jung J, Allwinn R, Gottschalk R, Rabenau HF. Prevalence and prevention of needlestick injuries among health care workers in a German university hospital. International archives of occupational and environmental health. 2008 Jan 1;81(3):347.
- Wicker S, Jung J, Allwinn R, Gottschalk R, Rabenau HF. Prevalence and prevention of needlestick injuries among health care workers in a German university hospital. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2008 Jan;81(3):347-54.

Available online: www.saspublishers.com