Sterilization & Infection Control in Orthodontic Clinic: A Literature Review
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Abstract

Corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19), is a novel disease of global concern and has evolved rapidly into a public health crisis. The infection is highly contagious, with disease transmission reported from asymptomatic carriers, including children. So in today's orthodontic practice, disease control must undergo major reevaluation and restructuring and must be given prime importance. Effective infection control must be a routine component of professional activity. Thorough understanding of the application of sterilization will help ensure safety from the invisible but deadly world of microbial pathogens. The present article reviews the various recent sterilization protocols about orthodontic instrumentation and armamentarium and along with the general infection control measures.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a contagious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). It emerged as a global pandemic in early 2020, affecting more than 200 countries and territories. The infection is highly contagious, with disease transmission reported from asymptomatic carriers, including children. It spreads through person-to-person contact via aerosol and droplets. The practice of social distancing—maintaining a distance of 1-2 m or 6 ft—between people has been recommended widely to slow or halt the spread. In orthodontics, this distance is difficult to maintain, which places orthodontists at a high risk of acquiring and transmitting the infection [1].

Infection control is crucial for orthodontists and for patient health. The concept of sterilization and disinfection was introduced into the dental practice with the recognition of hepatitis B as an occupational disease in 1975, and considerable steps have been taken in infection control procedures with increasing prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) in the mid-1980s [2].

The present article reviews the various recent sterilization protocols about orthodontic instrumentation and armamentarium and along with the general infection control measures.

Primary Goals of Infection Control in Dental Clinic

- To lower the risk of contamination by reducing the level of pathogens.
- To correct any break in aseptic technique.
- To use universal precautions with every patient (treat every patient and instrument as potentially infective).
- To protect patients and personnel from occupational infection [3].

Definitions

Sterilization is a process by which an article, medium or surface is freed from all the pathogenic micro-organisms either in vegetative or spore form.

Disinfection- Disinfection is the process of destroying or inhibiting most pathogenic microorganisms and inactivating some viruses, hence reducing microbial contamination to the safety level.

Infection control measures in orthodontic clinic

1. Patient’s history: During the initial appointment, a detailed and complete medical history to be taken from the patient, and in subsequent visits, updated accordingly.

2. Use of personal protective equipment-
Orthodontist does not perform oral surgery,
however, they should wear a lab coat, face mask and hand gloves as a general precautionary measure. Disposable gowns, surgical masks, protective eyeglasses and plastic face masks should be worn during procedures that are likely to splash blood, saliva and oral fluids [4]. As a result of the pandemic, the routine use of complete personal protective equipment may be advisable. Eventhough this equipment, that's usefulness is unquestionable, is very uncomfortable in the day-to-day clinical activity and also hinders psychological interaction with the patient—a fundamental tool in the treatment of children and adults [1].

3. Washing and care of hands- Before gloving- to remove transient micro-organisms to suppress residual micro-flora while wearing the gloves. After glove removal- to remove micro-organisms, which may have penetrated the gloves through microscopic defects or tears to reduce any residual micro-flora build up that may have occurred. Hand washing should be performed for about 40-45 seconds for performing routine orthodontic procedures. Hands should be dried with hot air or disposable paper towels, and should be followed by the use of disposable gloves [3].

4. Cleaning and sterilization procedures- thorough cleaning and sterilization of instruments and other supplies, which are routinely carried out in dental offices and the operating area such as chair, table, light handles, spittoon, three-way syringes etc., should be wiped frequently with 70% isopropyl alcohol after every patient. During the pandemic it has been considered paramount to implement other systematic cleaning protocols between patients regarding the waiting room furniture, toilets, floors, and other surfaces. These new protocols will probably continue to be applied, at least partially, in the future, even if they slow down the pace of practice [1].

5. Minimize the use of aerosol generating procedures-Many orthodontic procedures such as the bonding and debonding of brackets and attachments generate a substantial amount of aerosols which, in turn, pose potential risks of infection transmission. Depending on the size of the office, it will be advisable to designate an isolated and adequately equipped space to carry out those procedures that require the use of rotatory instruments as handpieces or ultrasonic scalers [5].

6. Immunization- is crucial that the orthodontist and the dental staff must be vaccinated against tuberculosis, rubella, diphtheria, tetanus and most importantly, against HBV [4].

Sterilization/disinfection of different material and instruments used in orthodontic clinic Instruments
Instruments used in orthodontics can be of three categories according to Spaulding system:

a) Critical: - Instruments that penetrate the mucosa should be sterilized. E.g. Molar bands, band remover plier, band pinching pliers, mini-implant placement kit etc.
b) Semi Critical: - Instruments that touch the mucosa should be sterilized whenever possible or treated with high-level disinfectants. E.g. Most of the orthodontic instruments, intra-oral mirrors, cheek retractors, and dental hand pieces, etc.
c) Least Critical: - Instruments that do not come in contact with mucous membrane such as bracket positioners, arch-forming pliers, torqueing keys, bracket positioning gauges, bird beak plier etc. should be disinfected [6].

Disinfection of Orthodontic Brackets
Reuse of the orthodontic brackets is not advisable because it affects the clinical performance of the brackets and also increases the chances of infection. Speera et al., did a study to evaluate the effect of 0.01% chlorhexidine disinfectant solution on bond strength of metal and ceramic brackets and concluded that chlorhexidine does not have a significant effect on the metal and ceramic brackets adhesion ability. Thus, chlorhexidine is the recommended disinfectant for metal and ceramic brackets [7].

Disinfection of Orthodontic Bands
Stainless steel bands of various sizes are frequently used on molars during fixed orthodontic treatment. Choosing the appropriate size requires often several trials. If trying of the bands is attempted inside the patient’s mouth and determined that the size is not appropriate, the band should be decontaminated from saliva and blood, and autoclaved for future use. There is currently little information about the contamination level and the disinfection procedure’s success of the bands that are to be reused. Fulford et al., suggested that bacterial multiplication is not observed on the bands that are exposed to enzymatic disinfectant prior to autoclave sterilization [9].

Glass bead sterilizer has been shown to produce equally effective sterilization as that of autoclave [10, 11]. The recommended protocol for sterilization of single molar band is 220°C for 5 seconds. If more than one band is placed at the same time the amount of time required is doubled. Other techniques for disinfecting bands, such as a 5-second tap water rinse, 10-second soap scrub, 30-minute immersion in alcohol, and alcohol flame, were ineffective in killing bacteria or spores. However, alcohol flames were effective in preventing growth on orthodontic molar bands inoculated with staphylococcus albus [12].

Orthodontic Pliers
If cross-infection prevention is to be taken seriously in orthodontics (bearing in mind the increasing number of adult patients being treated), then
probably all instruments should be routinely sterilized using an autoclave. Some studies have showed that routine autoclaving of orthodontic pliers using a centralized system does not causes any more damage than chair side cold disinfection. This study suggested that chromed instruments are probably to be preferred to stainless steel as regards the pliers maintaining their 'looks' and keeping corrosion to the minimum [13]. A study by Andrea Wichelhaus et al., showed that heat sterilization caused less corrosion than chemical sterilization [14].

Corrosion resistance-Prior to dry-heat sterilization, if water drops or excess disinfectant is left on the pliers they can be severely damaged. Corrosion of these instruments is one of the few sterilization consequences that orthodontists face [3].

Precleaning and drying before autoclaving is recommended for orthodontic pliers.

Orthodontic Archwires
Orthodontic wires are frequently packaged in individual sealed bags in order to avoid cross-contamination. The instructions on the wrapper generally advise autoclave sterilization of the package and its contents if additional protection is desired. According to Pernier et al., autoclave sterilization had no adverse effects on the surface parameters or on the selected mechanical properties based on their study on stainless steel, nickel titanium and TMA wires [15].

Elastomeric Ligatures
Polyurethane elastomers are used in orthodontics in the form of ligatures and chains or modules. Numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate their strength, in terms of force delivery and rate of force decay in various environments and different testing condition. A limited number of studies testing the effect of antibacterial solutions on orthodontic elastomers appear in the literature. Mayberry et al., measured the force required to stretch three brands of modules a prescribed distance after 20 cyclic exposures of 10 minutes to a 0.5% glutaraldehyde solution, in addition to testing after other disinfection procedures. They found a slight weakening of the modules [16]. Jeffries and von Fraunhofer examined the tensile force to fail of six elastomeric chains exposed to two brands of 2% alkaline glutaraldehyde solution for 30 minutes, 10 hours, and 144 hours. Compared to as-received material, they found a significant decrease in failure load in four of the chains after exposure to one brand for 10 hours. At 144 hours, all materials were significantly decreased in strength. Curiously, the other solution did not affect the failure load of the chains. In general, these studies show that exposure to disinfectant solution may result in a decrease in tensile strength and force delivery [17].

Disinfection of elastomeric ligatures in 5% glutaraldehyde solution is recommended, but literature shows that repeated disinfection of elastomeric ligatures can damage these ligatures.

Mini Implants
After removal of temporary devices, the recovered devices are usually discarded. However, economic factors have caused some clinicians to re-use implants or other medical devices that are meant to be disposable, like pacemakers, intra-aortic balloons, haemodialysis membranes, coronary angioplasty catheters and orthodontic brackets and wires [20-22]. In case of re-use, it is mandatory to ensure the sterility and mechanical qualities of the device [22]. Not all implants can be re-used, but metal implants, like those made from titanium may be more amenable to re-use because they can be mechanically and chemically cleaned and re-sterilized with potentially little or no loss of form or function [20]. A study on effect of Autoclaving on the Fracture Torque of Mini-implants Used for Orthodontic Anchorage concluded that the autoclave sterilization of the mini-implants did not affect their resistance to fracture; however, the mini-implants from different manufacturers presented with statistically significant differences in their resistance to fracture [24] mini-screws when they were sterilized up to 10 times indicate that sterilization bears no clinical relevance to stability. A study by Sercan Akyalcin et al., showed that sterilization bears no clinical relevance to stability even when they were sterilized up to 10 times.

Removable Acrylic Appliance
When using removable appliances, there is an excessive formation of a biofilm layer that is observed on the retentive areas of hooks and springs, and on the smooth acrylic surfaces of the appliance. Studies showed that Lactobacillus and Streptococcus mutants levels are increased inside dental biofilm as a result of changing oral micro flora during orthodontic therapy with active removable appliances. Toothbrushes were not efficient enough to remove the microorganisms on the retentive areas of the appliances. Hence, it is recommended to use antimicrobial agents to eliminate the bacterial biofilm. Disinfection methods of acrylic orthodontic appliances should inactivate pathogenic microorganisms immediately, without damaging the composition of the appliance [9]. Soaking the appliance in a chemical solution could cause decomposition of the acrylic resin molecules [26]. In Lessa et al., study, chlorhexidine gluconate, cetilpyridinium chloride and sterile water were compared in terms of their eliminating action on Streptococcus mutans. Antimicrobial solutions in spray form were used, and they were examined for causing any changes in the composition of acrylic or not. The results of this study suggested that both of the previously mentioned antimicrobial agents reduced contamination compared to sterile water, but chlorhexidine gluconate was found to be significantly more effective than cetilpyridinium chloride [27].
Impression

Dental impression materials can act as vectors transmitting a significant amount of microorganisms. Sodium hypochlorite disinfection is an efficient disinfection method for alginate impressions. Tap water rinsing reduces microbial load but does not eliminate the cross-infection potential of alginate [28]. The British Dental Association in the Health Technical Memorandum 01–05 recommends disinfection and decontamination of dental impressions before dispatching them to the dental laboratories, and states that the responsibility for ensuring dental impressions are both disinfected and labelled as such before being sent to dental laboratory lies solely with the dentist who should inform the dental lab technicians about the status of impression disinfection. Dental impressions contaminated with patient’s saliva and blood may cross infect the dental casts poured from them. Rinsing the impression with water solely does not remove contamination, therefore disinfecting of the impression and further rinsing the disinfectant off is required [29].

Surface and air disinfection in dental clinic

Surfaces that cannot be sterilized must be disinfected effectively. These surfaces include the air-water sprayers, aspirator heads, reflector arms, cuspidors, drawers, head rest and arms.

Suitable clinic and instrument setting will reduce the surfaces to be disinfected. If the chair’s positions can be controlled using a pedal and cuspidors controlled by buttons at the level of the elbow or the knee, hand contact is therefore minimized. Sodium hypochlorite 1% or solutions including 70% alcohol are used for surface disinfection in orthodontic clinics. Iodine solutions used for disinfection are cheap, easily stored and highly effective. The only disadvantage is the staining characteristic of iodine. There are types that can be diluted in water or in 70% isopropyl alcohol [6].

Various devices/ methods, including ventilation system, ultraviolet lamps, high-volume evacuator, automated room disinfection systems with hydrogen peroxide vapor (fumigation) are used for room surfaces and air disinfection [30-32].

Ozone Generators

Air ozonizer (ozonator) is a device, in which ozone is formed under the influence of electric current that has a strong disinfecting effect. Ozonators eradicate bacteria, viruses, fungi, and their spores.

Advantages
- Strong decontamination of air, removal of inhalation allergens, and unpleasant odors

Disadvantage
- They form nitrogen oxides causing destructive and discolored effect on plastic elements and rubber structures, e.g., gaskets.
- Cannot be used together with other disinfection devices, such as UV lamps

Fogging Equipment

These devices can utilize different substances for spraying, such as hydrogen peroxide, chlorine dioxide, and a mixture of peracetic acid with hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide generators are widely used for dental offices disinfection [34, 35].

Advantages:
- Short disinfection procedure with about 10 minutes on average, and short ventilation time after fumigation with about 30 minutes (time depends on the concentration of fumigation agent).
- Strong decontamination effect.
- Safe for medical and electronic devices (minimal increase in air humidity).
- Low cost (compressed air fumigators) [33].

Disadvantages:
- Quite high price (turbine fumigators).
- Low availability of stabilized hydrogen peroxide during the COVID-19 pandemic (it is essential to ask the distributors of fumigators whether they supply stabilized hydrogen peroxide).
- No medical staff can be in the room during the fumigation process.33

Ultraviolet Lamps

UV-C radiation is a result of low-pressure mercury discharges (germicidal radiators). During the operation of these devices, a UV-C electromagnetic wave, with length ranging between 250 and 270 nm, is generated. The bactericidal mechanism of UV-C lamps is evoked by DNA damage [33].

Advantages:
- Easy disinfection procedure [33].

Disadvantages:
- No destruction of spores larger than many bacteria and viruses [33].
- Highly resistant to Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation
- No effect on dust mites and allergens,
- To get a bactericidal effect, the lamp should be turned on for many hours,
- Disinfecting effects on surfaces are obtained after 8 hours of continuous application,
- Staying in the room while the UV-C lamp is working is forbidden. 33

Conventional Air Filtration System

Ultra-low particulate air (ULPA) and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters capture particles from the air stream, but HEPA filters initially retain larger clusters of bacteria. Still, after a while, a single bacterium is released, which starts to replicate.
Moreover, the small size of holes in the ULPA filters requires more pressure and energy needed to run the system. HEPA filtration is often used in combination with other technologies. By retention of the pathogens, filters create a localized biological hazard, which is why they need to be replaced regularly [33].

Advantages:
- Possibility of continuous operation, filtration of particles larger than 0.3 μm [33].

Disadvantages:
- Colonization of filters is a common problem of physical filters since they capture rather than destroy bacteria and fungi. Also, filters are a good medium for the growth of bacteria and fungi because of captured dust and other organic particles.
- Trapped atmospheric dust can serve as a nutrient for fungi and this process can transform the filter into a secondary source of pollution.
- HEPA filtration does not capture or kill viruses [33].

CONCLUSION
Covid 19 disease has altered the entire dental community. In today’s orthodontic practice, disease control must undergo major reevaluation and restructuring. Effective infection control must be a routine component of professional activity. Thorough understanding of the application of sterilization will help ensure safety from the invisible but deadly world of microbial pathogens. Always “Prevention is better than cure”.
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