

Comparison of Conventional Rapid Palatal Expansion with Bone Anchored and Tooth-Bone Anchored Rapid Palatal Expansion Appliances

Dr. Ipsit Trivedi^{1*}

¹Reader, Narsinhbhai patel dental college and hospital, Sankalchand Patel University, Visnagar, Gujarat, India

DOI: [10.36347/sjds.2021.v08i09.003](https://doi.org/10.36347/sjds.2021.v08i09.003)

| Received: 28.08.2021 | Accepted: 04.10.2021 | Published: 06.10.2021

*Corresponding author: Dr. Ipsit Trivedi

Abstract

Review Article

In modern orthodontics, several types of designs for bone anchored expansion appliances have been developed with the objective to increase the amount of skeletal expansion with rapid palatal expansion. In the present review, the skeletal and dental effects of rapid palatal expansion have been evaluated immediately following expansion and in the long-standing interval following expansion. The effects of conventional rapid palatal expansion appliances are compared with bone anchored maxillary expansion appliances and tooth-bone anchored maxillary expansion appliances. Temporary Anchorage Devices (TADs), or mini-screws, or mini-implants are used for the insertion of bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored maxillary expansion appliances. These lead to higher forces on the bone while expansion is undertaken and consequently more orthopedic effects. This article will discuss how the modern bone anchored expansion appliance used in modern orthodontics compare to conventional rapid palatal expansion appliances.

Keywords: Maxillary expansion, rapid palatal expansion, bone anchored expansion, tooth anchored expansion, mini implant supported maxillary expansion, MARPE, RPE.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Rapid palatal expanders (RPE) are frequently utilized for the correction of transverse malocclusion between maxilla and mandible. The expanders apply horizontal force on the right and left parts of maxilla and lead to widening of the mid palatal suture. The result is the widening of maxillary arch and correction of the malocclusion. The effects of rapid palatal expanders have been investigated intensely in young adolescents [1, 2]. It has been reported that due to the articulation of maxilla with different bones, the expansion of maxilla is higher in the palatal aspects of maxilla and decrease as we move higher towards the cranium. Moreover, it has been reported that there is higher opening of the maxilla anteriorly than posteriorly [3]. This leads to a triangular opening of the mid palatal suture with conventional RPE. These effects can be different when bone anchored expansion appliances are used. This review will critically assess the literature and compare the changes achieved with conventional rapid palatal expansion and bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored rapid palatal expansion appliances.

Bone Anchored Expanders

With the utilization of mini-screws in orthodontics, bone anchored expanders have been designed by orthodontists to obtain more skeletal expansion and parallel suture opening. The conventional RPE appliances apply the forces to the right and left parts of maxilla by a teeth supported appliance. In contrast, the bone anchored expansion appliances apply the forces to maxilla by mini-screw supported appliance. The appliance may or may not be connected to the teeth for a bone anchored expansion appliance design. Different designs for bone anchored expansion appliance have been introduced in the orthodontic field [4-8]. The bone anchored expansion appliances are also known as MARPE that is, Mini-screw Assisted Rapid Palatal Expansion. A type of MARPE appliance is also known as MSE that is, Maxillary Skeletal Expander. MARPE can be purely bone anchored or both tooth-bone anchored appliance. MSE is a tooth-bone anchored RPE.

Opening of Mid-palatal Suture

Bone anchored expansion appliances produce a parallel opening of the mid-palatal suture compared to

the triangular opening of mid-palatal suture with conventional RPE. The reason for the triangular opening of mid-palatal suture with conventional RPE is the resistance from the posterior part of maxilla and the pterygoid plates [9]. With bone anchored expansion appliances, the forces are applied to the temporary anchorage devices (TADs) inserted into the palatal bone. This results in the higher forces on the posterior part of palatal bone which lead to increased opening of suture posteriorly with MARPE compared to conventional RPE [3, 6]. The parallel expansion of the mid-palatal suture with bone anchored expansion appliances is considered to be responsible for the increase in the nasopharyngeal airway volume in the long run following expansion [3].

Sleep Apnea and Expansion

There has been an increased interest in sleep apnea in modern orthodontics [10-13]. More emphasis has been placed on performing research on the skeletal effects of bone anchored expansion appliances and the resultant effects in the dimensions of oral and pharyngeal airway [5, 6, 9]. The introduction of three dimensional volumetric imaging systems with cone beam computed tomography CBCT has enabled orthodontists to measure the effects of expansion appliances on airway dimensions [14]. Cone beam computed tomography allows the clinician to observe the effects of maxilla and mandible without magnification [15, 16]. Moreover, the cone beam computed tomography measurements are not affected by errors due to rotation of head position which affects the two dimensional radiographs [16]. Cone beam computed tomographs are reconstructed with 3D imaging software and aligned in the desired orientation by the researchers. These reconstructions enable the view of axial, sagittal, and coronal slices of the CBCT at different location in addition to the volumetric reconstruction [16]. The measurements of maxilla, maxillary basal bone, mandible, mandibular basal bone, and the effects on dentition such as dental tipping, root resorption can be measured with the help of the CBCT [17].

Skeletal and dental effects with conventional RPE and bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances

The effects of expansion appliances can be analyzed by the transverse changes observed after expansion for assessing the immediate effects. For the long-standing, the changes are analyzed at extended intervals of time after the expansion. Immediately after expansion, conventional RPE showed significant increase in the opening of mid palatal suture [18, 19]. This indicates that there is skeletal expansion following conventional RPE. The pattern of suture opening was observed to be parallel with bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances and the magnitude of suture opening was higher [20]. The suture opening can be measured both at the ANS for identifying the

effects of expansion on anterior aspects of suture anterior opening and PNS for posterior aspects of suture [21]. A slightly higher opening anteriorly than posteriorly has been reported with bone and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances, however the suture opening is relatively parallel. It is worthwhile to note that the position of the mini-implants influence this outcome of the suture opening [22].

The literature search for the long-standing outcomes with maxillary expansion did not lead to a high number of studies. However, it has been reported that the palatal width of maxillary arch increases with both bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances in the long run [3]. Moreover, the skeletal width of maxilla is observed to be higher with bone anchored expansion appliances and conventional RPE compared to controls [3]. The long standing results show that both conventional RPE and bone anchored expansion appliances do not affect the condyle negatively and no side effects are observed on the Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ) [23]. In recent years, different MARPE designs have been introduced. But the long standing results of these appliances are not observed in literature frequently. Moreover, the evaluation of the CBCTs with such expansion devices is subject to human error [24]. With the development of artificial intelligence, the radiographs can be evaluated by computer vision and minimizing the human error [25]. Artificial Intelligence uses the processing of digital images with the help of different algorithms. In the earlier stages, the rule based systems were used for identification of radiographic images with AI. With the development of better algorithms and introduction of deep learning in the dental field, the emphasis is on using convoluted neural networks in evaluating the dental and orthodontic radiographs [25].

Therefore, more studies focusing on the long-standing effects of bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances need to be evaluated. If the assessment of the results of the expansion appliances can be performed with artificial intelligence in the future, it will limit the human error and a large dataset of information with high accuracy can be generated with the help of machine learning.

CONCLUSIONS

The evaluation of the effects of expansion appliances with the help of cone beam computed tomography helps the clinicians and researchers to identify the effects of conventional rapid palatal expansion and bone anchored expansion appliances. The magnitude and pattern of mid palatal suture opening was different with bone anchored expansion appliances compared to conventional appliances. More skeletal changes can be observed with bone anchored expansion appliances. Bone anchored and tooth-bone anchored expansion appliances are a viable and effective option for the correction of narrow maxilla.

REFERENCES

1. Krebs, A. (1964). Midpalatal suture expansion studies by the implant method over a seven-year period. *Report of the congress. European Orthodontic Society*, 40, 131–142.
2. Wertz, R., & Dreskin, M. (1977). Midpalatal suture opening: a normative study. *American journal of orthodontics*, 71(4), 367–381.
3. Mehta, S., Wang, D., Kuo, C. L., Mu, J., Vich, M. L., Allareddy, V., Tadinada, A., & Yadav, S. (2021). Long-term effects of mini-screw-assisted rapid palatal expansion on airway. *The Angle orthodontist*, 91(2), 195–205.
4. Lin, L., Ahn, H. W., Kim, S. J., Moon, S. C., Kim, S. H., & Nelson, G. (2015). Tooth-borne vs bone-borne rapid maxillary expanders in late adolescence. *The Angle orthodontist*, 85(2), 253–262.
5. Choi, S. H., Shi, K. K., Cha, J. Y., Park, Y. C., & Lee, K. J. (2016). Nonsurgical miniscrew-assisted rapid maxillary expansion results in acceptable stability in young adults. *The Angle orthodontist*, 86(5), 713–720.
6. Liu, Y., Hou, R., Jin, H., Zhang, X., Wu, Z., Li, Z., & Guo, J. (2021). Relative effectiveness of facemask therapy with alternate maxillary expansion and constriction in the early treatment of Class III malocclusion. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 159(3), 321–332.
7. Lagravère, M. O., Carey, J., Heo, G., Toogood, R. W., & Major, P. W. (2010). Transverse, vertical, and anteroposterior changes from bone-anchored maxillary expansion vs traditional rapid maxillary expansion: a randomized clinical trial. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 137(3), 304.e1–305.
8. Dzingale, J., Mehta, S., Chen, P. J., & Yadav, S. (2020). Correction of Unilateral Posterior Crossbite with U-MARPE. *Turkish journal of orthodontics*, 33(3), 192–196.
9. Carlson, C., Sung, J., McComb, R. W., Machado, A. W., & Moon, W. (2016). Microimplant-assisted rapid palatal expansion appliance to orthopedically correct transverse maxillary deficiency in an adult. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 149(5), 716–728.
10. Behrents, R. G., Shelgikar, A. V., Conley, R. S., Flores-Mir, C., Hans, M., Levine, M., McNamara, J. A., Palomo, J. M., Pliska, B., Stockstill, J. W., Wise, J., Murphy, S., Nagel, N. J., & Hittner, J. (2019). Obstructive sleep apnea and orthodontics: An American Association of Orthodontists White Paper. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 156(1), 13–28.e1.
11. Banabilh S. M. (2017). Orthodontic view in the diagnoses of obstructive sleep apnea. *Journal of orthodontic science*, 6(3), 81–85.
12. Ramar, K., Dort, L. C., Katz, S. G., Lettieri, C. J., Harrod, C. G., Thomas, S. M., & Chervin, R. D. (2015). Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Snoring with Oral Appliance Therapy: An Update for 2015. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine*, 11(7), 773–827.
13. Altalibi, M., Saltaji, H., Roduta Roberts, M., Major, M. P., MacLean, J., & Major, P. W. (2014). Developing an index for the orthodontic treatment need in paediatric patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a protocol for a novel communication tool between physicians and orthodontists. *BMJ open*, 4(9), e005680.
14. Ghoneima, A., & Kula, K. (2013). Accuracy and reliability of cone-beam computed tomography for airway volume analysis. *European journal of orthodontics*, 35(2), 256–261.
15. Maroua, A. L., Ajaj, M., & Hajeer, M. Y. (2016). The Accuracy and Reproducibility of Linear Measurements Made on CBCT-derived Digital Models. *The journal of contemporary dental practice*, 17(4), 294–299.
16. Mehta, S., Dresner, R., Gandhi, V., Chen, P. J., Allareddy, V., Kuo, C. L., Mu, J., & Yadav, S. (2020). Effect of positional errors on the accuracy of cervical vertebrae maturation assessment using CBCT and lateral cephalograms. *Journal of the World federation of orthodontists*, 9(4), 146–154.
17. Kapila, S. D., & Nervina, J. M. (2015). CBCT in orthodontics: assessment of treatment outcomes and indications for its use. *Dento maxillo facial radiology*, 44(1), 20140282.
18. Chanchala, H. P., Nandlal, B., Murthy, N., & Shanbhog, R. (2020). Validation of cone beam computed tomography-based classification method for individual assessment of mid-palatal suture with respect to clinical application among Indian children. *Indian journal of dental research : official publication of Indian Society for Dental Research*, 31(1), 85–90.
19. Seif-Eldin, N. F., Elkordy, S. A., Fayed, M. S., Elbeialy, A. R., & Eid, F. H. (2019). Transverse Skeletal Effects of Rapid Maxillary Expansion in Pre and Post Pubertal Subjects: A Systematic Review. *Open access Macedonian journal of medical sciences*, 7(3), 467–477.
20. Lee, R. J., Moon, W., & Hong, C. (2017). Effects of monocortical and bicortical mini-implant anchorage on bone-borne palatal expansion using finite element analysis. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 151(5), 887–897.
21. da Silva Filho, O. G., Lara, T. S., de Almeida, A. M., & da Silav, H. C. (2005). Evaluation of the midpalatal suture during rapid palatal expansion in children: a CT study. *The Journal of clinical pediatric dentistry*, 29(3), 231–238.
22. Garrett, B. J., Caruso, J. M., Rungcharassaeng, K., Farrage, J. R., Kim, J. S., & Taylor, G. D. (2008). Skeletal effects to the maxilla after rapid maxillary expansion assessed with cone-beam computed

- tomography. *American journal of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics*, 134(1), 8–9.
23. Mehta, S., Chen, P. J., Vich, M. L., Upadhyay, M., Tadinada, A., & Yadav, S. (2021). Bone-anchored versus tooth-anchored expansion appliances: Long-term effects on the condyle-fossa relationship. *Journal of the World federation of orthodontists*, S2212-4438(21)00031-X. Advance online publication.
24. Park, J., Baumrind, S., Curry, S., Carlson, S. K., Boyd, R. L., & Oh, H. (2019). Reliability of 3D dental and skeletal landmarks on CBCT images. *The Angle orthodontist*, 89(5), 758–767.
25. Mehta, S., Suhail, Y., Nelson, J., & Upadhyay, M. (2021). Artificial Intelligence for radiographic image analysis. *Seminars in Orthodontics*, 27(2), 109-120.