

Review Article

Literature Review on Artificial Neural Network and Support Vector Machine anchored in Face Recognition System

Rakesh Kumar Yadav¹, A.K Sachan²

¹Ph.D. Scholar, CSE, IFTM University, India

²Department of CSE, RITS, Bhopal, MP, India

***Corresponding author**

Rakesh Kumar Yadav

Email: rkyiftmuniversity@yahoo.com

Abstract: In view of two fundamental reasons, face recognition system has gotten consideration in the research. The primary reason is the extensive variety of business and law enforcement applications and second reason is concerned with the accessibility of the attainable advancements. The combination of PCA-SVM (Principal Component Analysis and Support Vector Machine) and PCA-ANN (Principal Component analysis and Artificial Neural Network) techniques for face recognition system have been easily found in recent years. Some researchers came up to improve PCA-SVM and PCA-ANN combinations. This paper gives state-of-the-art audits of these blends and tries to clarify the reason that why PCA is utilized with numerous classifiers and which one combination is ideal to use in future.

Keywords: Feature Extraction, Face Recognition, Support Vector Machine, Artificial Neural Network.

INTRODUCTION

A picture examination is an issue in face recognition framework and is done either by verification or identification. In verification, a solitary face is contrasted against and numerous classifications of appearances. In identification, a face is contrasted and every face in a database. The unwavering quality for a facial recognition framework relies on upon database of facial pictures and testing of face recognition frameworks. Adequacy of face recognition procedure relies on the accompanying criteria: The system used to speak to the facial picture to concentrate data, Issues identified with stance or facial introduction contrasts, and whether the removed data are implanted into a factual shape investigation algorithm. Thus, feature extraction and recognition steps are extremely crucial in face recognition frameworks. In this manner, the paper is sorted out to look for corresponding evaluation between the amalgamation of PCA-ANN and PCA-SVM, which is blend of feature extraction and recognition systems. Firstly, the paper will talk about element extraction methods and pick the best one after that joined it with most recent pattern ANN and SVM.

Feature Extraction:

Exhibit of difficulties in feature representation strategies are: condemnation of dimensionality, clamor lessening in sign examination & perception, and representation in the recurrence space. PCA, ICA (independent component analysis) and LDA (Linear Discriminant Analysis) are essential systems for appearance base component extraction. PCA [1] technique keeps just Principal elements to minimize loss of data. It uses second-order measurement in the data. Since, a lot of indispensable data may be contained in the high request relationship among the pixels, not just second request. Accordingly, where higher request conditions are needed in the PCA, there it can't be utilized. It utilizes just for Gaussian source model, while ICA strategy utilizes an autonomous segment examination system. It is an examination procedure utilizes second-order measurement, as well as utilization higher request measurement. Then again, the ICA may use in both cases Gaussian and non-Gaussian models. ICA system performs better over PCA for characteristic signs. LDA finds the vectors in the fundamental space that best separate among classes [2]. Different element extraction strategies are appeared in Table [1].

Table1: Categories of Feature Extraction Techniques [1]

Technique Name	Descriptions	Benefit	Complexity
Geometry-based Techniques	Elements are separated by utilizing relative positions and sizes of confront, for example, eye, nose, and mouth.	Focus on crucial parts of face.	Does not describe face the worldwide structure and face composition.
Template Based Techniques	A picture district is the best rightness with a layout for eye, mouth or nose, which will minimize the vitality. A vitality capacity is characterized to connections edges, tops, and valleys in the picture force with the relating properties of the template	Does not require diverse scientific computation and going before actualities about the element geometry	Does not speak to the worldwide face structure
Color Segmentation Based Techniques	These strategies use RGB shading models with a sure scope of shading pixels. At first, skin area is identified, then facial elements like eyes and mouth are extracted	Simple to process, natural, powerful, spatial data present and scalable. .	The performance of these systems on facial picture databases is marginally confined, because of the variety of moral foundation. .
Appearance Based Techniques	Utilizes Kernel change and measurable strategies to speak to the countenances into vectors.	Keeps just critical certainties of face picture reject repetitive data and repeat face worldwide structure. Along these lines, this system discovered the best player in facial element extraction.	These routines endure costly computational expense, and at times the peculiarity issue because of the high dimensionality of vectors.

An appraisal of procedures (PCA, LDA and ICA) inside of a face recognition framework [3]. This examination has taken ten corrupted adaptations of utilized freely accessible XM2VTS database. It was utilized database. Corruption was made utilizing various debasements procedures extending from straightforward smoothing of the facial pictures, expansion of commotion or facial impediment to the copies of data bundle misfortune as it happens when pictures are transmitted over a computer system. Examinations were proposing that the majority of the surveyed feature extraction methods debase comparably in their performance. Be that as it may, encompassed by the tried methods, LDA was observed to be the best accomplishing the least blunder rates with the larger part of testing debasements. A recommends that the PCA is a proper strategy for dimensionality reduction[4], LDA is suitable for example classification if the quantities of preparing specimens of every class are huge and ICA is adept to visually impaired source division or characterization utilizing free parts, when class id of preparing data is not accessible [5-6]. Corruption may be in charge of framework performance. Numerous high determination cameras and sensors are accessible so there me be less opportunities to debase a picture. Presently days, dimensionality is measure components which influence the framework's productivity. PCA may be utilized as feature extraction algorithm on the grounds that the face recognition issue endures the scourge of dimensionality. This case was likewise connected in ANN and SVM.

CLASSIFICATION:

The blend of methods PCA-ANN and PCA-SVM are effectively found as of late, which are utilized as a part of diverse region of pattern recognition and data mining. A few specialists are attempting to enhance PCA-SVM and PCA-ANN. Between these two, which one is better than make an impulse to audit. This segment will examine the PCA-ANN and PCA-SVM individually.

Artificial Neural Networks: An artificial neural system is an interconnected neuron unit. Every unit has info, yield qualities and actualizes nearby algorithms [7]. The advantage of neural systems lies in the accompanying hypothetical angles. In the first place, neural systems are data driven, self-versatile routines in that they can change themselves to the data with no unequivocal detail of the utilitarian or the distributional type of the fundamental model. Second, neural systems can estimated any capacity with subjective exactness, since any classification strategy looks for an utilitarian relationship between the gathering enrollment and the object's qualities, precise distinguishing proof of this fundamental capacity is surely vital. Third, neural systems are a nonlinear model, which makes them adaptable in displaying genuine complex connections. At long last, neural systems have the capacity to appraise the back probabilities, which give the premise to setting up recognition principles and performing factual investigation. Then again, the viability of neural system characterization has been tried observationally. These points of interest are propelling variables that shows need to survey a subject.

A survey on PCA and ANN

Initially, Fadzil *et al.* [8] and Delipersad *et al.* [9] were depicted the human face recognition framework by utilizing ANN. PCA was not presented in these papers. First time it was presented as a component extractor [10]. It was exhibited a face recognition issue through joining Fourier descriptors with PCA (Eigenface) as a component extractor and a neural system as a classifier. The creators prescribed utilizing Fourier descriptor to show signs of improvement results on account of turning or calling the data space. A while later, every face is anticipated in the eigenface to enhancing the performance in changing outward appearance. ANN was utilized to perceive the face through taking in the right characterization of these descriptors. An recognition rate of 91% had been accomplished over constant tests. The proposed strategy accomplishes precise on account of pivoted or scaled appearances and also for changes in demeanor. An eigenface was utilized to separate the elements that it could be portray the face pictures with critical coefficients.

Neural systems [11] were utilized to perceive the face through learning right characterization of the coefficients considered. The system is being prepared on the photos from the face database to begin with, and after that it is prepared to recognize face pictures given to it. In the investigation, eight subjects were utilized as a part of a database of 80 face pictures. An recognition precision of 95.4% was accomplished with vertically situated frontal face sees. PCA utilizes MLP (multilayer perceptron) strategy and after that contrasted with face recognition frameworks, whether every framework was made with classifiers i.e. PCA, MLP, RBF, (radial basis function) independently.

Oravec *et al.* [12] were additionally contrasted and a framework utilizing MLP as an element extractor RBF systems in the classifier's part. MIT database was utilized for exploratory results. At long last, proposed framework gave better performance contrasted with existing methodologies. A similar investigation of face recognition framework was proposed.

Riaz *et al.* [13] were centered around numbers of feature extractor such as PCA, ICA and classifier, for example, HMM (Hidden Markov Model), and ANN. The Results were condensed in the term of precision. It was shown that precision and proficiency of the framework relies on the circumstances. As indicated by Byung *et al.* [14] were utilized PCA and LDA as feature extractor. Elements of the face pictures were ordered by MLNN (multiple layer neural networks) or RBFN (radial basis function network). The exploratory results had been done on ORL database. Performance of consolidating impact of PCA with MLNN is better than the blend of LDA with RBFN. The creators discovered the best recognition rate 95.29 % by PCA with MLNN procedure. On the other hand, result does not ensure that the performance of the PCA with MLNN algorithm is constantly superior to anything LDA with RBF. To think about the performance

of the MLNN and RBF, more research on the different databases may be performed in assorted situations. Thusly, it is the sentiment of commentator that a streamlining system is needed.

Boualleg *et al.* [15] proposed a system for the recognition of confronts, which consolidates the neural systems with the essential segment examination. Firstly, the preparatory recognition of the countenances by PCA has been completed. At that point neural system used to PCA approach. The results, contrasted and those of the PCA and ANN with PCA classifiers, give 3 % change as far as recognition rate. Tests had been done on the database made in the Laboratory of Automatics and Informatics of Guelma.

Thakur *et al.* [16] presented that PCA as a component extractor. A short time later, RBF neural system was composed. It helps the RBF neural systems to get varieties in the lower-dimensional data space and add to its speculation capacities. The analyses had been done on ORL and UMIST face databases. At last, the creators discover great consequences of recognition. Proposed strategies, empowering further advancing the outcomes.

Sahoolizadeh *et al.* [17] were proposed a technique with four stages. To start with is picture pre-handling. Second is measurement lessening utilizing PCA? A short time later, third stage feature extraction utilizing LDA was connected lastly, grouped by neural system in the fourth stage. Yale database was utilized for the investigations. Proposed methodology was getting a high recognition rate equivalent to 99.5% (one misclassification for each 200 face pictures), which was shown a change in correlation with past techniques. This weaving machine empowers testing and streamlining the performance on different databases.

Singh *et al.* [18] proposed a methodology that began mapping a data picture nonlinearly into an element space and afterward figured the key parts straightforwardly in the mapped space. It had outlined RBF neural systems for recognition of data pictures in light of the figured chief parts. The ORL was utilized as trial database. The results demonstrate that the proposed technique has the capacity accomplish magnificent performance analyze than customary piece PCA. Essayists were utilized new approach Kernel KPCA with RBF neural system classifier.

Luo *et al.* [19], presented a strategy 2DPCA that is utilized for face picture feature extraction. The RBF neural system was utilized to arrange the test picture. Trials were demonstrated that on ORL database, for the given edge, the recognition rate of 2DPCA speaks the truth 95%. Exploration exhibits that two dimensional methodology of PCA is best to look at than customary PCA.

Kim *et al.* [20], were registered the eigenface through PCA and communicated the preparation pictures with it as a principal vector. Every picture was taken the

classification of weights for the principal vector as an element vector and it was diminished the picture's measurement in the meantime, and after that the face recognition was performed by inputting the multi-layer neural system. The proposed technique was demonstrated change in recognition rate as 95.3 % contrasted and existing strategies, Euclidean and Mahalanobis. The ORL database was utilized for tests. Examination touches base at; PCA with ANN enhances the outcomes if there should be an occurrence of continuous face area.

Kim *et al.* [21] formed a 1-legged robot and landscape environment (level, sand, and rock) for territory order tests. A heap cell mounted on the 1-legged robot measures the ground response power and torque sensors found each of the 3-joint measure torque. At that point it was exhibited two routines for feature extraction utilizing factual strategies (Variance, Skewness, and Kurtosis) PCA technique. After that it was exhibited two systems for landscape grouping, for example Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) and SVM. The territories were found out and arranged utilizing the BPNN and SVM algorithm. The hindrance of the SVM was that its recognition rate contrasted by the characterization request. In light of the restriction of a parallel classifier that it arranged data bunches one by one. The recognition rate fell underneath 50 %. The burden of the BPNN was that the recognition rate changed each season of learning. The joining velocity, ideal weighted worth and recognition rate changed in light of the fact that the introductory weighted quality was subjectively chosen. In any case, the two algorithms have distinctive normal recognition rates in the test utilizing the PCA and measurable technique, at around 75 %. This is a high recognition rate for the data for the heap cell just, and it was normal that it would guarantee almost 100% territory recognition performance when the torque cell, speeding up sensor and slant sensor are included into it. What's more, the test utilizing the measurable system and BPNN brought about a fairly high recognition rate of 78.6%. The recognition rate was low in the learning utilizing the SVM. This can be supplemented by the extra handling, including the bit mapping. The mapping utilizing the polynomial capacities did not prompt an effective result, but rather it appears that the achievement rate can build utilizing the mapping capacities including quadratic, spiral premise capacities or multilayer perceptron. Reference

Agarwal, *et al.* [22], proposed a philosophy that was utilized of two stages feature extraction utilizing PCA and recognition utilizing the food forward back proliferation neural system. The algorithm had been tried on ORL database. The recognition score was ascertained by considering every one of the variations of feature extraction. Test outcomes were given an recognition rate of 97.018%. Examination impacts, performing proposed way to deal with different databases moreover.

Chitaliya *et al.* [23], DWT (Discrete Wavelet Transform) was utilized to produce the element pictures

from individual wavelet sub groups. The element pictures developed from wavelet coefficients were utilized as a component vector for the further process. PCA was utilized to lessen the element's dimensionality vector. Lessened component vector was utilized for further recognition utilizing Euclidian separation classifier and a neural system classifier. This proposition is given 93.3 % recognition rate, which is preferable think about over separation classifier. Proposed methodology empowers checking the outcomes with different classifiers.

Jondhale, *et al.*[24], the facial elements were initially extricated by PCA strategy. The Fisher straight discriminant (FLD) was utilized to locate a Kernel subspace that maximally isolates class designs. The subsequent components from PCA were further prepared by the FLD procedure to obtain lower-dimensional discriminant designs. The RBF neural classifier was utilized to adapt to little preparing classifications of high measurement, which is an issue as often as possible experienced in face recognition. Reenactment results were led on the YALE face database, which was demonstrating that the framework was accomplished fantastic performance as far as recognition exactness of 92%. Nearly, it is great, yet perhaps likewise enhanced utilizing some streamlining systems.

Chan *et al.* [25], Face elements were removed taking into account PCA and LDA. BPNN (Back engineering Neural Network) was utilized to take in the examples of PCA and LDA feature and delivered pertinent customer and fraud scores for confirmation. The algorithms were assessed utilizing an AT&T face database. Test results demonstrated that BPNN fundamentally enhances the performance of face confirmation that depends on Euclidean separation. Rates of change in the equal error rate (EER) by reach 62%-85% was accomplished by BPNN. Proposed research further requires looking at utilizing other acknowledged routines.

Sudha, *et al.* [26] proposed a framework that was connected to principal component neural network (PCNN) with summed up Hebbian taking in for separating eigenface from the face database. It was exhibited a recognition performance of more than 85% when assessed on the benchmark Yale and FRGC databases. A proposed technique presented equipment recognition ideas, enhancing performance of face recognition framework. It was not Kernel to delineate general algorithm to a solitary systolic structural planning. A commitment of this exploration was an advanced mapping of fine-grained systolized signal flow graphs (SFGs) for every individual stride of the algorithm onto a solitary self-configurable straight systolic cluster of fitting converging of the algorithms relating to distinctive hubs of diverse SFGs. The building design was effectively versatile with the quantity of eigenface to be figured.

The above survey shows a few irritation about ANN like the advancement of ANN took after a heuristic way,

endure different nearby minima, the answer for a SVM is worldwide, the data's input space is in charge of the computational multifaceted nature of ANN and utilizes empirical risk minimization as per accessible momentum specialists' view. SVM may overcome on these confinements. It obliges high processing time for extensive neural systems. There is an alternate structural engineering of ANN which therefore obliges diverse sorts of algorithms, however notwithstanding to be a clearly complex framework. These weaknesses of ANN are activating off select some other option procedures.

Support Vector Machine:

SVM is an idea in insights that investigate data and perceive designs. It is utilized for order and relapse investigation. The SVM takes an classification of data and predicts, for every given data, which of two conceivable classes contains the data. The base of this methodology is the projection of low dimensional preparing data in higher dimensional component space. The higher dimensional component space can make simpler to spate the data which can't divisible in straightly. In low dimensional space SVM is known as a greatest edge classifier. This implies that the subsequent hyper plane expand the separation between the closest vectors of distinctive classes with the presumption that substantial edge is better for the speculation capacity of the SVM. These closest vectors are called bolster vectors and SVM consider just these vectors for recognition assignments. Despite the fact that, it is superior to anything other classifier, however potential may be builds utilizing with some different methodologies. Nonetheless, SVM can't be connected when the element vectors characterizing specimens have missing sections. In spite of the fact that, The SVM classifier utilized over conventional neural system due to it can accomplish better speculation performance. SVM can be connected to the first appearance space or a subspace of in the wake of applying an element extraction strategy. In this study creators concentrates on PCA as feature extractor.

Survey on PCA and SVM:

Kim *et al.* [27] was actualized the kernel PCA as a system for removing facial elements. Whereby, the utilization of a polynomial bit was empowered the essential parts to be figured inside of the item space of the info pixels making up a facial example, SVMs were utilized as the classifier. The test results with the ORL database affirm the viability of the proposed system. Proposed blend strategy for KPCA and SVM unearth most minimal lapse rate 2.5 %.

Gumus *et al.* [28] creators were connected PCA and Wavelets of two component extraction approach on the ORL face database. SVM (with three sorts of pieces) and closest remove recognition are utilized as classifier toward the general's end partition errand; the creators were gotten the order precision 98.1% with Wavelet-SVM. The essayists have done trials on the unique environment of source code. It is our supposition, PCA-SVM may be

better on the off chance that we utilize comparable environment.

Wang *et al.* [29], PCA was utilized concentrate picture feature, and SVM was utilized to manage face the recognition issue. The investigations were performed on the Cambridge ORL Face database. At last, the proposed technique was contrasted with PCA & Nearest Neighbor (NN) on recognition rate and recognition time separately. The exploratory results demonstrate that the recognition rate of the proposed technique, under little specimens situation, is superior to the next two routines. This system is material just little quantities of countenances.

Raghavendra *et al.* [30] were viewed as a methodology as a mix of PCA, LDA and bolster vector machine. This technique comprised of three stages. The primary step is measurement diminishment, second component extraction, and third classification. The creators were utilized SVM as classifier, LDA as feature extractor and PCA as measurement diminishment. Blend of PCA and LDA was utilized for enlightening the ability of LDA when new specimens of pictures are accessible and SVM was utilized to reduce misclassification brought about by not Kernels distinguishable classes. At long last, the creators discover attractive results.

Yadav *et al.* [31] introduced a wide overview on PCA and SVM. This article inferred that in the event that we settle on all the four parameters, for example, preprocessing, element extraction, classification, and streamlining in better and synchronized mode, then the exactness rate may be improved and algorithm time decreased. In conclusion, according to creator's examination, a mix of PCA and SVM framework offers better results.

Yadav *et al.* [32] proposed an ideal face recognition framework. This proposition utilized the blend of PCA and SVM outline. The creators were proposed that two parameters are responsible of better performance of a framework. In the first place is ideal element and, second best bit. The creators additionally were specified about the versatility parts of face recognition framework taking into account PCA and SVM blend procedure.

Valuvanathorn *et al.* [33] were looking to extend a strategy to expand the effectiveness of face recognition frameworks. Proposed routines were utilized worldwide face feature and neighborhood face feature with four sections: the left-eye, right-eye, nose and mouth. The creators were utilized 115 face pictures for learning and testing. Every distinctive individual's pictures are isolated into three unique pictures for preparing and two unique pictures for testing. 2-D (Two Dimensional) PCA was utilized as feature extractor and the SVM system was utilized for recognizable proof. The outcomes of tentatively demonstrate that 97.83% exactness. This is significantly better.

Recognizable proof and verification by face recognition for the most part utilize worldwide face feature PCA and classifier SVM. Nonetheless, the recognition exactness rate, training time, testing time is still not sufficiently high when test size increments as find in the study.

CONSOLIDATED ANALYSIS:

To the extent Table [2] is concerned, SVM is a superb classifier on the grounds that it lives up to expectations less number of parameters look at than neural system and others. SVM is a viable system to fathom auxiliary danger minimization and get the best bargain between learning

precision and learning capacity, under the limited example circumstances, with a perspective to accomplishing the speculation performance. Hence, SVM with PCA mix for face recognition may have more prominent quality think about than others. The blend's performance of PCA and SVM is dependent upon two parameters, firstly, how to pick the optimal element and, furthermore, how to set the best bit. Along these lines, from the audit part, and examination part it might be said that PCA and SVM blend will be the best, on the off chance that we utilize great preprocessing and enhancement procedures for face recognition.

Table 2: Brief analysis between PCA-NN and PCA-SVM

Parameters	PCA-ANN	PCA-SVM
Recognition Rate	Its recognition rate changed each season of learning.	Its recognition rate contrasted by the classification request. On account of the confinement of a twofold classifier
Scalable	This methodology is Scalable. It acknowledges constant data.	This gathering of technique is Non – Scalable. A few creators have attempted to make adaptable.
Nonlinearity	The Neural system is the high nonlinearity dynamic framework. It utilizes an angle plummet system and irregular quest technique for seeking problems.	It might liner or non straight both. They take a shot at high dimensional component space by method for double detailing terms of the portion.
Speed	Speed of learning is moderate when increases number of tests.	Speed of learning may be speedier. It is the creator's perspective
Over fitting Problem	The Over fitting issue is settled by experience risk.	It is illuminated basic danger rather than experience hazard.
Accuracy	Particularly, when the number the preparation tests is insufficient, the judgment precision will be affected.	Its work proficiently when no of tests is less.
Architecture	System building design must be widely tuned (no of layers, no of hubs, learning rates) to get excellent performance.	It has two classes. Coordinated and one to all
Total Performance	The creators reported 96.2 % right recognition on ORL database when just ANN is utilized. The order takes under 0.5 seconds, however preparing time is the length of 4 hours.	This strategy gets best tradeoff between inclining precision and learning capacity under the limited examples.
Number of classes	At the point when the quantity of persons expands, the processing cost will get to be more.	It is initially created for two class issue
Image Model	ANN is not suitable for single model picture recognition test in light of the fact that numerous model pictures per individual are fundamental all together preparing framework for ideal parameters setting.	It is suitable for both single and various models.
Robustness	It is robust. At the point when a component of the neural system fizzles, it can proceed with no issue with their parallel nature. A neural system learns and does not should be reprogrammed.	It may be made strong and regularize

DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

An assortment of methods is utilized as a part of diverse stages in face recognition frameworks. On the other hand, these procedures give a conspicuous part in effectiveness. Today's, dimensionality is a variable that encroaches on the framework's productivity. PCA can be utilized as feature extraction algorithm in light of the

fact that the face recognition issue endures the scourge of dimensionality, which is the best component extraction algorithm. The mix of PCA-SVM may be better blend methods contrast with PCA-ANN in different conditions of issues. The PCA-ANN mess adapts once at once, it doesn't should be reinvented for next time. The meeting pace, ideal weighted quality and

recognition rate of ANN has been changed in light of the fact that the discretionarily determination of introductory weighted. It can likewise perform assignments notwithstanding when; a component of the neural system falls flat. In any case, PCA-SVM is a successful system to understand auxiliary danger minimization. It gets best tradeoff between learning precision and learning capacity, under the limited specimen circumstances, with a perspective to accomplishing the speculation performance. The performance of face recognition framework utilizing a blend of PCA - SVM is dependent upon, how to pick the ideal component and, how to set the best part. Thus, we have the capacity to explain, PCA-ANN classifier is better in some specific circumstance while PCA-SVM is a husky classification system dependably and to encourage a structure which may be adaptable.

This confirmation may be used to enhance the gadgets, which are utilized as a part of different fields such as face recognition, discourse recognition, data mining, unique mark coordinating, Master card extortion, all security frameworks, UID card, and Voter ID card, in resistance.

REFERENCES:

1. Turk MA, Petland AP; Eigen faces for Recognition. *Journal of Cognitive, Neuroscience*, 1991; 3:71-86
2. Belhumeur PN, Hespanha JP, Kriegman DJ; Eigenfaces vs. Fisherfaces: Recognition using Class Specific Linear Projection. *Proceeding of the 4th European Conference on Computer Vision*, 1996; 45-58
3. Vitomir Štruc , Nikola Pavešić ; comparative assessment of appearance based feature extraction techniques and their susceptibility to image degradations in face recognition systems. *WCSET*, 2009; 326-334
4. Draper B, Baek K, Bartlett MS, Beveridge JR; Recognizing Faces with PCA and ICA. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, 2003; 91(1-2):115-137
5. Baek K, Draper BA, Beveridge JR, She K; PCA vs. ICA: A comparison on the FERET data set "Joint Conference on Data Sciences, 2000, Durham, NC
6. Liu C, Wechsler H; Comparative Assessment of Independent Component Analysis (ICA) for Face Recognition. *Second International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication, USA*, 1999; 211-216.
7. Guoqiang Peter Zhang ; Neural Networks for Classification: A Survey " *IEE Transactions and reviews*, 2000; 30(4): 451-462
8. Ahmad Fadzil MH, Abu Bakar H; Human face recognition using neural networks. *Image Processing IEEE International Conference* , 1994; 3:936 - 939
9. Delipersad SC, Broadhurst AD; Face recognition using neural networks. *Communications and Signal Processing South African Symposium*, 1997; 33 – 36
10. El-Bakry HM, Abo-Elsoud MA, Kamel MS; Itegrating Fourier descriptors and PCA with neural networks for face recognition. *Radio Science Conference*, 2000; C22/1-C22/8
11. Jamil N, Lqbal S, Iqbal N; Face recognition using neural networks. *IEEE INMIC*, 2001; 277 - 281
12. Oravec M, Pavlovicova J; Face recognition methods based on principal component analysis and feed forward neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 2004;1:441
13. Riaz Z, Gilgiti A, Mirza SM; Face recognition: a review and comparison of HMM, PCA, ICA and neural networks. *E-Tech*, 2004; 41- 46.
14. Byung-Joo Oh; Face recognition by using neural network classifiers based on PCA and LDA. *Man and Cybernetics*, 2005;2: 1699 – 1703.
15. Boualleg AH, Bencheriet C, Tebbikh H; Automatic Face recognition using neural network-PCA. *Data and Communication Technologies*, 2006; 1: 1920 – 1925.
16. Thakur S, Singh JK, Basu DK, Nasipuri M, Kundu M; Face Recognition Using Principal Component Analysis and RBF Neural Networks. *Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology*, 2008; 695 – 700.
17. Sahoolizadeh H, Ghassabeh YA; Face recognition using eigen-faces, fisher-faces and neural networks. *Cybernetic Intelligent Systems*, 2008; 1 – 6.
18. Singh JK, Thakur S, Basu DK, Nasipuri M; Kernel kernel PCA with RBF neural networks for face recognition. *TENCON*, 2008;1.
19. Renze Luo, Ruisheng Ran, Ruyan Wang; Face Recognition Based on 2DPCA under NNSE rule and RBF Neural Network. *Education Technology and Computer Science*, 2009; 3: 804-8071.
20. Jong-Min Kim, Myung-A Kang; A Study of Face Recognition Using the PCA and Error Back-Propagation. *IHMSC*, 2010;2:241- 244.
21. Kisung Kim, Kwangjin Ko, Wansoo Kim, Seungnam Yu, Changsoo Han; Performance comparison between neural network and SVM for terrain classification of legged robot", *SICE Annual Conference*, 2010; 1343 – 1348.
22. Agarwal M, Agrawal H, Jain N, Kumar M; Face Recognition Using Principal Component Analysis, Eigenface and Neural Network. *International Conference on Signal Acquisition and processing*, 2010; 310-314.
23. Chitaliya NG, Trivedi AI; Feature Extraction Using Wavelet-PCA and Neural Network for Application of Object Classification & Face Recognition, *Computer*, 2010; 1: 510 - 514 .
24. Jondhale KC, Waghmare LM; Improvement in PCA Performance Using FLD and RBF Neural

- Networks for Face Recognition. Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology, 2010; 500 – 505
25. Lih-Heng Chan, Salleh S, Chee-Ming Ting, Ariff AK; PCA and LDA-based face verification using back-propagation neural network “, International conference on Data Sciences Signal Processing and their Applications, 2010;728 - 732
 26. Sudha N, Mohan AR, Meher PK; A Self-Configurable Systolic Architecture for Face Recognition System Based on Principal Component Neural Network. IEEE Transaction Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, 2011, 21(8):1071 – 1084.
 27. Kwang In Kim, Keechul Jung, Hang Joon Kim; Face Recognition Using Kernel Principal Component Analysis. IEEE signal processing letters, 2002;9(2): 40-42.
 28. Ergun Gumus, Niyazi Kilic, Ahmet Sertbas, Osman N U; Evaluation of face recognition techniques using PCA, wavelets and SVM. Expert Systems with Applications, Elsevier, 2010; 37:6404–6408.
 29. Chengliang Wang, Libin Lan, Yuwei Zhang, Minjie Gu; Face Trcognition based on Principal Componenet analysis and support vector machibne. Intelligent System and application , IEE, 2011;1-4.
 30. Raghavendra U, Mahesh PK, Anjan Gudigar; A Novel Face Recognition Method Using PCA, LDA and Support Vector Machine. Advances in Computer Science and Data Technology, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012; 85: 241-249
 31. Rakesh Kumar Yadav, Sachan AK, Rai D; Sate of Art in Kernel Classifier SVM with PCA for Face Recognition. Journal of computer Engineering . 2012; 3(1):6-16.
 32. Rakesh Kumar Yadav, Sachan AK, Rai D; Research and Applications of Optimal Face Recognition System. IJCA, IJCA, 2012; 44(3):1-5.
 33. Sompong Valuvanathorn, Supot Nitsuwat, Mao Lin Huang; Multi-Feature Face Recognition Based on 2D-PCA and SVM. the Era of Interactive Media, Springer New York, 2013; 65-75.