A Challenging Diagnosis of A Rare Association between Crohn's Disease, Behcet's Disease and Ankylosing Spondylodiscitis: Case Report And Review of the Literature
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Introduction

Behçet's disease (BD) was first described by Turkish dermatologist Hulusi Behçet in 1937 as a syndrome with oral and genital ulceration and ocular inflammation [1, 2]. It is a rare multisystemic inflammatory disease with an unknown etiology and a recurrent chronic pattern, characterized by recurrent oral and genital ulcers / ulcers with mucocutaneous, ocular, articular, vascular and / or gastrointestinal lesions. BD is included in both vasculitis, affecting vessels of all kinds and sizes, and in classifications of auto-inflammatory diseases [3]. Crohn's disease (CD) is a type of inflammatory bowel disease that can affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract, from the mouth to the anus. It often includes intestinal symptoms (abdominal pain, diarrhea, vomiting) and extra-intestinal symptoms (fever, weight loss, anemia, rashes, arthritis, inflammation of the eye) [4]. When the gastrointestinal tract is involved, a differential diagnosis between BD and CD is very difficult. There are no pathognomonic examinations in the laboratory or endoscopic results of entero-Behçet, although few, large and deep ulcers with discrete margins are described as a typical endoscopic model. Recently, new diagnostic criteria and an index of disease activity have been proposed in the diagnosis of entero-Behçet [5]. The treatment of entero-Behçet is similar to that of CD, including steroids, immunomodulators and biological agents (anti-tumor necrosis factor α antibody) [6, 7].

We report a case of a man hospitalized in our department for Crohn's and Behçet's diseases in order to present the diagnosis process and the therapeutic specificities of this association.

Case Report

Man of 33 years, chronic smoking at 20 PA. The patient has been under treatment and medical follow-up of Behçet's disease since 2014, his diagnosis was retained by the recurrence of bipolar aphtosis and a skin pseudo-folliculitis, anterior uveitis and positif pathergy test. The patient was treated with colchicine with good progress. The patient was admitted to abdominal pain aggravated by food and relieved by fasting, stool and gas, asthenia and weight loss of 14 kg in six months. The physical examination was normal. Biological tests highlight inflammatory anemia, with high levels of C-reactive protein (165.30 mg / l). The patient was also tested for Tuberculosis infection: both sputum tests and interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) release assay were negative. Serologic marker of ASCA (anti-Saccharomyces cerevisiae antibodies) and HLA B51 were both positive. The colonoscopy revealed diffuse ileitis with large ulcerations, inflammatory intervening mucosa and presence of ulcerable stenosis impassable to the endoscope (Figure-1). The enteroscopy revealed jejunal aphthous ulcerations without stenosis. Oesogastroduodenal fibroscopy was normal. Histological examination of the ileal biopsy revealed...
ulcerative ileitis and epithelioid granuloma compatible with Crohn’s disease; there was any evidence of vasculitis, and histological examination of staged colon biopsies revealed inflammatory changes vasculo-exudative of the colonic mucosa without any signs suggestive of ulcerative colitis. Duodenal biopsy and celiac serology were without abnormalities. MRI Enterography reveals a thickening of the jejunal and of the last ileal loop, the latter infiltrates the fat and the neighboring structures (sigmoid and bladder), and have deep cracks and ulcers (Figure-2). The diagnosis of Behçet’s disease associated to Crohn’s disease was made, and the patient was treated with first injected corticosteroids for 7 days then oral and colchicine. A positive evolution of the clinical and biological evolution of the two diseases was observed during the follow-up. During the 3rd month the patient presented a pain with bilateral functional impotence of the hip, the X-ray of the pelvis objectified the presence of a bilateral fracture of the two femoral heads. Joint ultrasound showed bilateral effusion of the knees and hips, a joint puncture was sterile. A complement by an MRI of the hips (Figure-3) showed signs compatible with osteochondritis of the two femoral heads with coxaplana, without any osteolytic lesions and no image of abscess or joint effusion. Bone densitometry showed a respectively at the level of the spine and femur, a T-score at -3.1, -2.8, a Z-score at -2.2, -2.1, with a total of 0.866 g / cm² and 0.699 g / cm²: very low for its age and sex. The diagnosis of ankylosing spondylodiscitis associated with the two previous diagnoses was probable. No surgical indication was asked. The decision was to stop corticosteroids immediately and to start treatment with anti-TNF (Infliximab). The evolution after one year of treatment was good.

**DISCUSSION**

Behçet’s disease (BD) is a chronic, multisystemic and recurrent inflammatory disease
characterized by recurrent oral ulcers, genital ulcers, uveitis and skin lesions. It also attacks other systems involving the musculoskeletal system, the blood vessels, the nervous system and the gastrointestinal tract. The disease is mainly found in Eastern Mediterranean countries and in eastern Asia, especially China [8, 9]. The prevalence of entero-Behect ranges from 0% to 60% of all BD patients with geographic and ethnic differences. The ileocecal region is the most commonly affected area and abdominal pain, diarrhea and gastrointestinal bleeding are common symptoms [10-12]. Entero-Behect often mimics Crohn’s disease (CD). Both diseases usually have an early age of onset, non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms, and similar extraintestinal manifestations [13]. Their clinical distinction is difficult. There are only a few comparative studies of differential diagnosis, which were conducted in Korean patients [14].

CD is a complex disorder that mainly affects the small intestine and the colon. It is a transmural and inflammatory disease that can affect the entire gastrointestinal tract. Typical presentations include the presence of longitudinal ulcers with a cobblestone appearance, skip lesions, and the development of complications such as stenoses and fistulas. However, various extraintestinal manifestations of the disease, including oral and genital ulcers, erythema nodosum, uveitis and arthritis may also be observed [15,16]. Skin changes can be seen in 5% to 10% of patients. Erythema nodosum (5.6% -13.5%), pyoderma gangrenosum (0.75% -0.15%) and acute neutrophilic dermatoses, also known as Sweet’s disease, are among the major skin lesions. Other skin conditions include oral aphthous lesions, perianal lesions, large ulcers, fissures, fistulas and aseptic abscesses [17,18]. Pathergy positivity is extremely low in patients with CD compared to those with BD [19]. The most common ocular conditions are uveitis, episcleritis, conjunctivitis and blepharitis. Non-granulomatous anterior uveitis may develop and recurrent episodes may result in permanent vision loss. Ocular complications are not associated with the severity. Additionally, retinal vasculitis, which is extremely rare, has been reported in the literature as a case study [17, 20].

In BD, neutrophilic infiltration, lymphocyte aggregation of surrounding vessels and vascular proliferation were observed in biopsy samples of oral and genital ulcers. Infiltration predominantly neutrophils, abscess formation, and vasculitis-related changes may be present in the skin lesions. Aggregation of lymphocytes, neutrophils and eosinophils, as well as edema and leukocytoclasia occur in the pathergy test site within the first 12 hours. In the presence of significant vessel involvement such as aortic involvement, rupture or loss of medial elastic fibers may be observed, while vaso vasorum proliferation and lymphocytic infiltration of surrounding tissues may develop. [21, 22]. Lymphocytic and necrotizing vasculitidis are other conditions involving the pulmonary arteries, the veins and the septal capillaries. In addition, transmural necrosis and aneurysms of the great vessels and pulmonary arteries may occur. Despite the nonspecific nature, perivascular lymphohcyte/plasma cell infiltration and myelin loss of parenchymal CNS lesions may occur [22].

Entero-Behect’s disease may lead to mesenteric vasculitis with ischemia or necrosis of the intestines. Ulcer specimens often show non-specific profiles, including fibrinopurulent exudates and necrotic debris in active ulcers and transmural fibrosis in chronic ulcers. Inflammation of the lumen to the serosa is present in the perforated site with mural necrosis. Vasculitic changes secondary to the inflated surrounding tissue and thrombus formation in small vessels, including both arteries and veins, are other critical manifestations. Lymphoid follicles can be observed due to mucosal erosion in some cases. The differential diagnosis of these lesions, which are histopathologically suggestive of CD, is highly challenging [23,24].

The histopathological features of CD are discontinuous cryptic architectural abnormalities, the preservation of mucin at active sites, discontinuous inflammation, focal cryptitis, and epithelioid granulomas. Granulomas in histological sections are key features of CD, but are not necessary for diagnosis. In the submucosa, fibromuscular obliteration, nerve fibers hyperplasia and transmural lymphoid aggregates are observed. Transmucosal increase in lamina propria cellularity and neutrophils are an indicator of disease activity [25].

Both BD and CD may present transmural enteritis and colitis. Longitudinal ulcers, pavement appearance and anorectal fistula are common signs of Crohn’s colitis. The presence of granulomas in biopsy specimens indicates CD, whereas vasculitis suggests BD [13].

Although there is no specific diagnostic test for BD, sets of diagnostic criteria described at different times are available. The the International Study Group (ISG) criteria, defined in 1990, are the most commonly used criteria for the diagnosis of BD (table 1) [22]. In addition, some cases of CD meet these criteria. These criteria are based on the most frequent clinical signs of BD. In this case, our patient is suffering from behet disease according to criteria of international classification of behet disease with associated crohn disease according to endoscopic and histological data.

Several diagnostic and classification criteria for CD have been proposed [26, 27]. The location and appearance of the lesions are important for the diagnosis of CD. According to the Vienna [28] and
Montreal [29] classifications, the diagnosis of CD is based on three variables: (1) age at diagnosis; (2) disease location; and (3) disease behavior. Lennard Jones’s criteria are based on endoscopic, surgical / histopathological, radiological and clinical findings [30]. The Copenhagen criteria include histopathological confirmation of CD disease [31] (Table-1). The diagnosis is usually based on the patient’s history, physical examination, laboratory results, imaging studies, and endoscopic findings associated with the histopathological examination. Patients with BD, particularly those with intestinal involvement, may be misdiagnosed and mismanaged as CD by clinicians with insufficient experience and knowledge on BD.

Since BD is a multisystemic disorder, effective management of the disease requires a multidisciplinary approach. Although the disease should be primarily managed by a rheumatologist, consultation is provided by a dermatologist, a neurologist, a gastroenterologist and a cardiovascular surgeon, if necessary. The disease is inflammatory, therefore, immunosuppressive and immunomodulatory agents are first-line treatments. Due to the limited number of randomized controlled clinical trials, management usually depends on the clinical experience of the treating physician. In 2008, the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) published a recommendation for the management of BD [32].

The management of patients with BD is based on the presence of organ involvement and disease severity. Colchicine is a widely used treatment for BD. It is used for the management of mucocutaneous and musculoskeletal findings. Corticosteroids and azathioprine can be combined in patients who do not respond to colchicine treatment and who have ocular vascular, neurological, or intestinal involvement. Cyclosporine and interferon alfa are immunosuppressive agents used in the management of refractory uveitis and retinal vasculitis. A small number or patients with an inadequate response may require mycophenolate mofetil and infliximab. Currently, these agents are used experimentally in the management of vascular involvement. In addition, cyclophosphamide is an effective immunosuppressive agent that increase side effects in patients with arterial, venous or neurological involvement who are refractory to other agents. Other agents that are preferred in unresponsive arthritis with a tendency to chronicity include methotrexate and sulfasalazine. The latter is the most widely preferred agent in patients with entero-Behçet disease after corticosteroids and azathioprine. However, there are no randomized controlled clinical trials in patients with BD. Observational studies and case series have shown that steroids, mesalazine, azathioprine and sulfasalazine could be used in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. Recently, experience with the use of anti-TNF agents has increased and some patients respond well to treatment. In addition to immunosuppressants, antiaggregants and anticoagulants may be initiated in patients with venous or neurologic involvement. However, no consensus on the use of antiaggregants and anticoagulants has been achieved yet, due to the low embolization tendency BD-associated thrombosis and the high risk of bleeding secondary to arterial aneurysms. In clinical practice, these agents are prescribed in patients with a low risk of bleeding [32, 33].

Corticosteroids have been used in the management of CD for more than five decades. Corticosteroids are the most effective therapeutic agents to relieve exacerbations of the disease. They exert remarkable effects on the suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and active lymphocytes and on the inhibition of inflammatory processes of the intestinal lamina propria. Although corticosteroids are more effective at higher concentrations, treatment-related side effects may increase. Treatment with prednisolone is usually initiated at 40-60 mg / day and gradually reduced. About 48% to 58% of patients achieve complete remission, while 26% to 32% achieve partial remission after 30 days of treatment. About 16% to 20% of patients do not respond. Six mercaptopurine and its pro-drug azathioprine are the most commonly used agents in patients not responding to corticosteroids and maintenance therapy. Methotrexate is an alternative agent in patients who are intolerant or unresponsive to these agents. On the other hand, controversial data are available on the efficacy of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) preparations. In several meta-analyses, mesalazine at 4 g / day significantly reduced in patients with mild to moderate activity. All of these agents are frequently prescribed because of their low side effects potential [34, 35]. Anti-TNF agents such as infliximab, adalimumab and certolizumab pegol may be used in refractory patients with relapsing disease. Meta-analyses have shown that anti-TNF agents are effective as induction and maintenance therapy in CD patients with fistula [36]. Surgery is indicated in patients with perianal involvement, fistulas, fissures, and intra-abdominal abscesses.

The approaches to medical and surgical management of CD and enter-Behçet disease BD are similar. Recently, a retrospective case series with long-term outcomes for both diseases has been reported [14]. Ten year-follow-up data after diagnosis showed no significant difference in the need for surgery between the study groups with CD and intestinal BD. However, CD patients required a higher dose of corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents. The doses of biological agents were also higher in CD patients compared to patients with intestinal BD (14.2% vs 1.4%). Based on these results, long-term prognosis appears to be similar in patients with CD and intestinal BD [37].
Table-1: Diagnostic criteria for Behçet’s disease and Crohn’s disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent oral ulcerations</td>
<td>A: Longitudinal ulcer B: Cobblestone-like appearance C: Noncaseating epithelioid cell granuloma</td>
<td>Typical diarrhea history for at least 2 mo; 1 Radiological features of CD: segmental distribution, deep ulcerations or cobblestone pattern, thickened bowel wall, coarse mucosal relief, stenotic segments and fistulae; 2 Macroscopic diagnosis by endoscopy: patchy penetrating lesions, fissuring and strictures 3 Fistulas and/or abscesses with typical intestinal disease</td>
<td>1 History of abdominal pain, weight loss and/or diarrhea for more than 3 mo 2 Characteristic endoscopic findings of ulceration (aphthous lesions, snail track ulceration) or cobblestoning or radiological features of stricture or cobblestoning 3 Histopathology consistent with Crohn’s disease (epitheloid granuloma of Langerhans type or transmural discontinuous focal or patchy inflammation) 4 Fistula and/or abscess in relation to affected bowel segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent genital ulcerations</td>
<td>Eye lesions Skin lesions Positive pathergy test</td>
<td>(1) Irregular-shaped and/or quasi-circular ulcers or aphthous ulcerations found extensively in the gastrointestinal tract (2) Characteristic perianal lesions (3) Characteristic gastric and/or duodenal lesions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definite Major finding plus two minor findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definite</th>
<th>Definite Major finding plus two minor findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major finding A or B 2 Major finding C, with minor finding (1) (2) 3 All minor findings (1), (2), and (3)</td>
<td>Positive findings or one positive plus the finding of granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least two of the criteria present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSION

CD mainly concerns the gastrointestinal system and may present with various extra-intestinal signs and symptoms. However, BD is a condition or syndrome that manifests itself as multisystemic. The gastrointestinal tract is also one of the main sites of involvement in these patients. Both diseases have a real overlap, affecting the gastrointestinal tract. In addition, both conditions share similar characteristics with respect to age of onset, gender, and biomarkers of inflammation such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein. Despite these similarities, immunopathogenesis, genetic factors and regional distribution are very different. Although both diseases involve similar systems, they have distinct histopathological features.
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