A Malignant Omental Extra-Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor: Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Abstract

Extra gastrointestinal stromal tumours (EGIST) are rare neoplasms of the abdomen which have similar morphological and immunohistological features of gastrointestinal stromal tumours. These tumours are not connected to either stomach or intestine and most commonly arise from mesentery, retroperitoneum, omentum etc. We describe a case of EGIST arising from omentum in a 65 year old female patient who presented with abdominal pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are rare neoplasms that represent only 0.1–3 % of all gastrointestinal malignancies. However, they are the most common mesenchymal neoplasms of the digestive tract, accounting for 80% of all cases [1]. Its incidence has increased considerably in the past years due to growing investigation and knowledge on the features of this disease; in fact, many of the previously reported mesenchymal gastrointestinal tumors are now thought to have been misdiagnosed.

Extragastrointestinal stromal tumors (EGISTS) are a rare subgroup that display no connection to the walls of the gastrointestinal tubular organs. They are usually located in the omentum or in the mesentery and account for 5%-10% of all GISTs [2] Even though they share some morphological features with GISTS, the diagnosis is hard and may be made through CT-guided puncture and immunohistochemical analysis of the biopsy [3]. There are three histological types: spindle (70%), epithelial (20%), and mixed-cell. In 95%, there is somatic mutation of CD117 (c-kit), and its discovery in the immunohistochemical characteristic defines the GIST [4]. Staging could be done with abdominal and pelvis tomography, MRI, or PET-CT [5].

CASE REPORT

65-year-old female patient with no significant pathological history had consulted for epigastralgies of progressive installation, without transit disorders or fever or gastrointestinal bleeding. The clinical examination found a palpable mass at the level of the epigastrium 7 cm long axis of irregular contours, painless with firm consistency. Oesogastroduodenal fibroscopy had shown an aspect of extrinsic compression of the posterior side of the stomach without involvement of the wall. A Abdominal computed tomography (Figure-1) showed a mass of the epiploon cavity, measuring 74×50 mm, of compartmentalized liquid density with a marginal contingent taking the contrast measuring about 20 mm, the mass drives back the stomach and comes into contact with the left liver and pancreas with respect for the cleavage planes.

The patient was operated; intraoperative exploration had revealed a tumor in the posterior cavity of the omentum. The stomach, left liver and pancreas were strictly normal, in particular without any tumor lesions. The patient had a complete tumor resection. The postoperative follow-ups were simple.

At the cut, the tumor was solido-cystic nodular, not encapsulated measuring 5×5×4.5 cm, the cystic part had a thin multilocular wall the content was greenish red. The solid part was whitish with a firm consistency. Microscopically, the neoplasm was surrounded by epiploic adipose tissue and all resected margins were free of the tumour. Histological examination (Figure-2), revealed a proliferation of round vacuolated epithelioid...
fusocellular cells arranged in long tangled bundles with a mitotic count estimated at 20 mitoses per field at high magnification. The nuclei were oval with a fine vesicular chromafine, discreetly anisokaryotic. They were the seat of some mitotic figures. The cytoplasm was abundant eosinophilic with the presence of juxta-nuclear vacuoles. The stroma was small, fibrous and had mono-nucleated inflammatory elements and a few thin-walled blood vessels but necrosis was absent. Presence of cystic changes. The limits of resection passed into healthy tissue. The immunohistochemical study showed an extremely positive immunostaining of tumor cells for CD117, Dog and nuclear expression of 10% of Ki67 tumor cells.

The adjuvant therapy with imatinib mesylate was not performed because the tumor was totally excised (resection R0) and it was classified as a moderate risk form. No adjuvant therapy with imatinib has been prescribed. The patient is now on close follow-up and after six months from diagnosis is free from any local recurrence or distant metastasis. A control scanner made at 3 and 6 months evolution shows the absence of tumor recurrence.
DISCUSSION

The term GIST was initially proposed by Mazur and Clark in 1983 to define intra-abdominal non-epithelial neoplasms that lacked the ultrastructural features of smooth muscle or nerve cells [6, 7]. Today, the immunohistochemical profile is essential in the diagnosis of this tumor, with an incidence rate that nearly doubled within the last years [6]. In fact, many GISTs were previously misclassified as other gastrointestinal mesenchymal neoplasms, making the true incidence of this tumor difficult to assess [6].

In contrast to GISTs, the histogenesis of EGISTs is still poorly understood. Unlike stromal tumors of the digestive tract (GIST), that originates from the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC), which are pacemaker cells present in the myenteric (Auerbach) plexus of the enteric nervous system of the gastrointestinal tract [8], EGIST originates from ICC-likes cells. The tumor expression of CD117 is almost constant and constitutes the criterion diagnosis cells, tumor cells show similar patterns of mutation of c-Kit and PDGFRα genes to GIST [9]. The majority of GISTs are sporadic but some may be part of hereditary disorders such as Von Recklinghausen’s neurofibromatosis [10], Carney’s triad, and Carney-Stratakis syndrome [1].

Clinically these extragastrointestinal stromal tumors may remain asymptomatic for a long time because of their deep localization and extraluminal development, however they may manifest as vague abdominal pain or the discovery of an abdominal mass as it is the case in our observation [11]. Endoscopy and biopsy are usually not diagnostic if the mucosa is intact [1].

Preoperative diagnosis of EGIST can be done to medical imaging (CT or MRI) that allows the visualization of the tumor and can guide a fine-needle biopsy puncture for diagnostic purposes. According to Ortiz-Reyetal [12], this simple gesture is very useful and widely indicated in the EGIST. On the other hand, the exact origin of tumor proliferation is not always easily determined by imaging [13]. In our observation, the scanner showed a mass of the epiploon cavity, measuring 74 * 50 mm, of compartmentalized liquid density with a marginal contingent taking the contrast measuring about 20 mm, the mass drives back the stomach and comes into contact with the left liver and pancreas with respect for the cleavage planes. By getting based on the literature data, it appears that the balance systematic extension of an abdominal mass of nature imprecise must include an ultrasound and a CT scan abdominal, the other exams to be discussed on a case by case basis [13, 14].

The definitive diagnosis of GIST is made with the morphology which reveals a mesenchymal tumor with immunohistochemistry positive for CD-117 and DOG1, and negative for desmin and S100 [8]. Histologically, there are three types of GISTs: spindle celled (70%), epithelioid (20%) and mixed [9]. Approximately 95% of GISTs carry an activating somatic mutation of CD117 [15]. CD117 is the product of proto-onco-gene c-KIT, a tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor located on chromosome 4 (4q11-q12) [16]. Positive immunohistochemical staining for CD117 is a defining feature of GISTs [4]; however there are GISTs that have a mutation of PDGFRα instead of c-kit and therefore they do not show the characteristic CD117 positive immunostaining. C-kit positive tumors are most responsive to the treatment with c-kit selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, STI-571; some reports support a therapeutic trial of STI-571 for all GIST patients regardless of CD117 expression [4]. Yamamoto et al., suggests that the application of STI-571 could be a therapeutic strategy for EGISTs since they have kit alterations [17]. Todoroki et al., used STI-571 (300 mg/day orally) as adjuvant postoperative treatment in a 65-year-old female with a primary omental stromal tumor after R0 resection with a disease free follow-up at six months [18]. The American College of Surgeons Committee on Cancer (ACOSOG) tested the benefit of adjuvant STI-571 with 400 mg/day for one year in patients after complete resection of high-risk tumors primary GISTs. The risk of recurrence after resection of a primary GIST is high. Conventional chemotherapy has proven ineffective against GIST (less than 10% response). The use of adjuvant STI-571 is based on the assumption of highest impact on residual microscopic disease, despite a negative margin of resection of the primary tumor [19]. STI-571 has demonstrated favorable response in more than half of patients with advanced and unresectable or metastatic GIST [20]. There has been reported resistance to STI-571 in patients with metastatic or recurrent disease, to which there are no good therapeutics currently [21].

Prognostic factors are the mitotic rate, tumour size and tumour site (gastric GISTs have a better prognosis than small bowel or rectal GISTs). Tumour rupture is an additional adverse prognostic factor and should be recorded, regardless of whether it took place before or during surgery. Mutational status has not been incorporated in any risk classification at present, although some genotypes have a distinct natural history and, above all, KIT/PDGFR WT GISTs have peculiar clinical presentations and course. Localised GIST with PDGFR D842V mutation are generally associated with a good prognosis and resistance to imatinib. Several risk classifications have been proposed. A widely used risk classification was proposed by the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, which incorporates the primary mitotic count, tumour size and tumour site, i.e. the three main prognostic factors in localised GISTs [22, 23]. Stratified survival analysis showed that EGISTs that originated from the omentum had the best prognosis and that those from the retroperitoneum had the worst
prognosis. After reviewing the previous literature, we believe that the above observation may be due to the following reasons. First, this observation could be due to the biological characteristics of EGISTs in the lesser omental sac being similar to those of gastric stromal tumors, and the morphological and biological characteristics of mesenteric stromal tumors being similar to those of small intestinal stromal tumors; therefore, GISTs with the origin in the mesentery have a poor prognosis [24]. Second, it could be related to the thoroughness of surgical treatment. The omentum is a free intraperitoneal organ, which facilitates complete tumor resection, whereas the distribution of blood vessels and nerves inherent to the mesentery can affect complete resection of the tumor [25, 26].

**CONCLUSION**

EGISTs are a little known disease entity, which may represent a potential diagnostic pitfall. The clinical symptoms of EGISTs are often manifested as abdominal pain/discomfort. Because it usually does not affect the gastrointestinal tract, an EGIST rarely causes gastrointestinal bleeding, obstruction and other typical clinical manifestations. Survival analysis showed that the primary tumor site, tumor size, and tumor cell nuclear pleomorphism are important factors affecting the prognosis of EGIST patients. While answers to the use of STI-571 in an adjuvant or even neoadjuvant setting are found, the management of patients with GIST or EGIST tumors at high risk of recurrence, such as ours, will be based on the clinical judgment of the treating physician and the availability of clinical trials.
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