Exophytic Duodenal Stromal Tumor: A Rare Localization
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Abstract

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) have become a well-defined entity and its classification is no more equivocal, but GIST of duodenum is rarely diagnosed. We report a case of a patient presented with only mild epigastric discomfort. The upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy demonstrated an extrinsic compression of second part of duodenum. Thereafter, CT and MRI of abdomen revealed an exophytic mass emerging from the second part and third of duodenum. The patient underwent a wedge resection of tumor, and the histopathology of the mass confirmed the diagnosis of duodenal stromal tumor. The patient was treated with adjuvant imatinib chemotherapy with no sign of local recurrence after six months of follow up.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) represent the most common tumor of mesenchymal origin arising in the gastrointestinal tract [1], suggested and recognized as a distinct entity from gastrointestinal smooth-muscle tumors in the 1983 by Mazur and Clark [2]. Despite the fact that these tumors can arise everywhere in the GI tract, the stomach is the most common site of occurrence in more than 50% of patients while only 3-5% of GISTs occur in the duodenum [3]. Due to the low incidence and to the complex anatomy of the pancreatico-duodenal region and to the high variability of clinical presentation, the diagnostic assessment and the optimal therapeutic strategy of duodenal GISTs are challenging [4, 5]. This report reviewed the diagnosis, pathology and treatment of a patient of extra mural duodenal GIST.

CASE REPORT

A 52 years old female presented to us with history of recurrent episode of epigastralgia for last six months. She had no history of vomiting or melena. Clinical examination revealed a mild pale patient with tenderness in epigastric region and no palpable mass. Except for low hemoglobin, her other hemogram and biochemistry were within normal levels. The Upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy demonstrated a chronic gastritis appearance, a prepyloric small ulcer, and an extrinsic compression of second part of duodenum. An abdominal dynamic contrast-enhanced CT scan showed that there was an heterogeneously enhancing relatively defined mass lesion, most likely arising from the second part of duodenum and exophytically extending (Figure 1). There was no definite infiltration into the adjacent organs or metastasis. MRI demonstrated an exophytic mass, involving the second and the third portion of duodenum, measuring 45x60x41mm, with low signal in T1 weighted images (WI), iso signal in T2 (WI), high signal on Diffusion (WI) and enhanced heterogeneously after Gadolinium injection, delimiting large areas of necrosis. (Figure 2) These imaging features leded us to evoke the diagnostic of a duodenal GIST.

A laparotomy was performed, and on exploration, there was an exophytic mass arising from second part of duodenum, with no local or distant metastasis or lymphadenopathy. The patient underwent a wedge resection of tumor. The histopathology of the tumor reveals intersecting fascicles of spindle to oval cells. Mitosis are rare about is 5/20 and tumor cells are monomorphic and medium to large size, and there was no lymphatic embolus (Figure 3a-b). The immunohistochemistry analysis highlighted, tumor cells strongly express AML, C- Kit, focally express CD 34 and negative for S100 and Desmin antibodies (Figure 4). The patient had an uneventful hospital course. She was treated with adjuvant imatinib chemotherapy as an outpatient. The Follow-up contrast-enhanced CT scan realized six months after surgical resection shows that there is no evidence of recurrence (Figure 5).
DISCUSSION

GISTs are the most common mesenchymal tumors of digestive tract, which mostly occur in the stomach (60%–70%) and small intestine (25%–35%) [6], followed by the rectum, esophagus, omentum, and mesentery (<5%) [4]. Duodenal GISTs are rare lesions, constituting 30% of primary duodenal tumors and make up only 4.5% of all GISTs [7]. These tumors are mainly located in the second portion of the duodenum followed by the third, fourth and the first portions [8], and about half of them are malignant [9]. The clinical manifestations of duodenal GISTs are nonspecific and extremely varied. Most GISTs occur sporadically; about 5-10% occur in hereditary familial syndrome (Type I Neurofibromatosis, Carney triad) [4]. The clinical presentations of duodenal GISTs are highly variable, and they are related to their origin, their size [5], their growth pattern (intramural or extramural), to the presence or absence of mucosal ulceration and location [10]. The gastrointestinal bleeding including melena, hematemesis or symptomatic anemia, and abdominal discomfort or epigastric pain represent the most commonly reported symptoms [11]. Furthermore these tumors rarely cause symptoms and are often diagnosed incidentally, especially for small lesions without mucosal ulceration, or when the tumor growth is extraluminal [12]. Some authors described back pain, jaundice (compression of common bile duct), bowel obstruction, or palpable mass, but these events are very rare [12]. Gastrointestinal endoscopy remains the most common procedure in diagnosing most duodenal GISTs. Even though duodenoscopy are effective to diagnose neoplasms with the typical characteristics of mucosal ulceration or an intramural mass with a centrally ulcerated umbilication [8,13,14], some problems occur for relatively small lesions without the “mass effect” or centrally ulcerated umbilication [13,14]. It allows forceps biopsy whose role, in ambiguous cases, is still controversial because often reveals only normal mucosa adding few data to the GIST management accounting for diagnostic accuracy of approximately 20% [15, 16]. Recently, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) with fine needle aspiration (FNA) to obtain specimens for cytologic examination and immunocytochemical evaluation has been found to be the gold diagnostic standard [17] with high sensitivity and specificity rates [18, 19], diagnosing GIST in up to 80% of patients [19]. EUS can be also performed to
clarify the original layer of the intramural lesion [20]. Furthermore preoperative histologic diagnosis of GIST might prevent patients from receiving a highly invasive surgical treatment in spite of the theoretical risk for peritoneal seeding of tumor cells by way of the needle tract or tumor rupture during biopsy [21]. Alternative imaging diagnostic modalities include ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), CT Angiography, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) that play an important role in duodenal GIST detection and localization. Ultrasonography is often the initial imaging test employed in the investigation of a patient with abdominal pain or mass, it may show a homogenous hypoechoic mass in close relation with the gastrointestinal tract in small GISTs and a vascular mass of mixed echogenicity in large GISTs [22]. But generally it is not an accurate method for the detection of small tumors and has been reported to have a low sensitivity of no more than 26% [23]. Because of the availability of CT imaging, this method is often used for diagnosing small bowel GIST and can be used for the detection, localization, staging, surgical planning, and in the evaluation of response to therapy, including monitoring postoperative follow-up in patients with GIST [24, 25]. CT generally revealed a small isodense or hypodense round or oval mass with a clear boundary inside the duodenal cavity and slight uniform enhancement. Large tumor appeared as an irregular and heterogeneous enhancement mass with variable areas of cystic degeneration, necrosis, or hemorrhage [16]. Recently, the use of CT Angiography in the diagnosis of GISTs with gastrointestinal bleeding has become feasible, with a reported diagnostic sensitivity of 90.9% [26, 27]. Magnetic resonance imaging findings are widely variable depending on factors that affect the signal intensity: tumor necrosis, hemorrhage and cavitation [28]. MRI offers good soft tissue contrast and is also an effective imaging modality when differentiating GISTs from other tumors or masses [29,30]. Generally the solid components of GISTs show low signal on T1-weighted images and high signal in T2-weighted images with enhancement following gadolinium administration, and signal-intensity voids are present if gas is present within areas of necrotic tumor.

Histologically duodenal GISTs do not differ from other GISTs. These tumors usually present with spindle cell differentiation, which is more frequent than epithelioid cell differentiation or mixed types. There is no apparent correlation between histologic subtype and risk of tumor recurrence [4, 31]. The mitotic count has been found to be lower in duodenal GISTs, with a median count <5/50 HPF [8, 32, 7], which is lower than gastric and small bowel tumors (where a mitotic count >5/50 HPF has been found in more than 30% of cases) [33,9].

Duodenal GISTs, as described in the medical literature, do not differ from other GISTs in immunohistochemical reaction, and most of them express CD-117 (c-kit) and CD-34 [8, 32, 7], but it seems that they differ from other GISTs (gastric and small bowel) in the expression of some prognostic factors (ki-67, p53 and p16) [32]. However, these data need to be confirmed by larger series, and there is a probability that a more benign behavior was related to the smaller size and lower mitotic count at diagnosis. For these reasons, duodenal GISTs usually have better prognosis as compared with gastric and small bowel GISTs. According to the National Institutes Health (NIH) risk stratification, they are more frequently identified as very low risk and low risk tumors [32, 34]. The size at diagnosis, prognostic indicators, and risk stratification of duodenal GISTs should guide the surgeon to a limited resection, which should always be a R0 resection. However, up to now, there is still lack of knowledge on the preoperative indicators of bad prognosis and high risk of recurrence. There is no consensus on the optimal surgical treatment for GISTs arising from the duodenum [34]. Operations which vary from tumor enucleation (for extramural GISTs) to pancreaticoduodenectomy for infiltrating or larger tumors have been advocated [8, 5, 32]. Limited resections (LR) can be performed in small tumors not infiltrating the surrounding structures, and when the papilla of Vater can be preserved [8, 35]. In large series, duodenal wedge resection has been performed for small tumors (1-2 cm in diameter) which were located on the duodenal wall at least 2 cm from the papilla, so that a safe resection and Anastomosis can be carried out with minimal risk of stenosis [8,35,32]. Limited resection should only be done when the resection achieves histologically clear margins (R0) and preserves the common bile duct and the papilla of Vater, with an acceptably low risk of peroperative complications. Otherwise pancreaticoduodenectomy should be performed [8, 4, 6]. The disease-free survival rate at 1-3 years after surgical complete resection, in all kinds of resection, has been reported to vary from 86 to 100% [7, 34], indicating that duodenal GISTs have a slightly more favorable prognosis than gastric or small bowel GISTs.

Imatinib mesylate, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, plays a key role in the management of GISTs. Its use in neoadjuvant therapy, adjuvant therapy and in tumor recurrence [36, 37], has dramatically changed the natural history of metastatic and recurrent GISTs. In the neoadjuvant setting for GISTs located in the second portion of the duodenum, Imatinib mesylate has been used for tumor downstaging in order to perform a less extensive surgery with free resection margins [7]. However, this requires a precise preoperative diagnosis of GIST which is not always easy to obtain. The use of Imatinib as adjuvant therapy, or for local or metastatic recurrence of duodenal GIST, should not differ from that for other GISTs, and the treatment should be continued indefinitely (usually at a dose of 400
mg/day). Interruption of treatment is generally followed by rapid tumor progression [7, 38]. The major limitation of Imatinib is the development of tumor resistance, which is related to the acquisition of additional c-kit mutations [36]. Though complete surgical resection is feasible in a substantial proportion of GISTs, relapses are common, especially with high-risk GISTs [39]. Surveillance with imaging in these patients can help in timely detection of relapses. The two most common sites of recurrence are the liver and peritoneum. There are no established guidelines for the frequency of surveillance imaging in GIST. In patients who have resectable localized GIST, the The National Comprehensive Cancer Center Network (NCCN) recommends performing CT arbitrarily at intervals of 3–6 months for 3–5 years and then annually in the adjuvant setting after resection of the primary with the aim of detecting local recurrences and distant metastases [40].

**CONCLUSION**

Duodenal GISTs are uncommon tumors with uncertain malignant potential. The patient may be asymptomatic or can present with abdominal pain or bleeding. Preoperative diagnosis can be difficult to obtain and the radiologic findings are nonspecific and may represent several entities. Also, the distinction between benign and malignant GISTs cannot be made with radiologic examinations unless metastatic disease or tumor invasion of adjacent structures is depicted. Endoscopic ultrasound and FNA cytology may be helpful in the preoperative setting but the definitive diagnosis of GIST is made immunohistochemically. There is more than one surgical approach available, but the only curative treatment allowing better clinical outcome is complete surgical excision. Preoperative imatinib mesylate can be considered in use in neoadjuvant, adjuvant therapy and in tumor recurrence. Due to the rarity, there are still controversies on the management and behavior of duodenal GISTs.
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