Interest of Biodentine as Pulp-Capping Materiel under CAD/CAM Ceramic Inlay
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Abstract

Recently, tissue economy is considered as one of today’s key preoccupations. The preservation of pulp vitality falls within this context and must be attempted, especially in the case where the tooth is intended to serve as abutments of fixed restorations. For this reason, direct pulp capping, previously contraindicated under a fixed prosthesis, is now recommended and possible thanks to the recent appearance on the market of new biomaterials, in particular Biodentine. It is a bioactive cement with dentin-like mechanical properties that allows it to withstand contraction forces underneath direct or indirect restorations.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, it is indisputable that the notion of tissue economy guides the practitioner's steps in his daily practice. This is why, when faced with a deep carious lesion in a tooth that is a candidate for a prosthetic restoration, endodontic treatment is left as a last resort. In fact, several studies have shown that the loss of pulp vitality is one of the most important biological causes of prosthetic failure. Therefore, researchers have been investigating practical solutions for the preservation of pulp vitality. Several pulp capping products such as Calcium hydroxide, MTA and Biodentine have been developed.

For many years, calcium hydroxide has represented the “gold standard” for direct or indirect pulp capping cases. Nevertheless, it has been shown that this material has several disadvantages, such as its low mechanical strength, its low adhesive potential to dentin and, above all, its aggressive effect on the pulp tissue.

Most recently, a calcium-silicate based cement (Biodentine™, Septodont) has been proposed, used in various clinical applications, such as root perforations, apexification, resorptions, retrograde fillings, pulp capping procedures, and dentine replacement. This material presents high compressive strength and is biocompatible. In fact, it induces the production of pulp fibroblastic protein at the contact of the pulp. In addition, it is a bioactive bio-mineralizing material that allows the production of restorative dentine and, consequently, an almost perfect seal to the dentine [1, 2].

In this clinical report, we present a case of a patient with a previous history of pulp complications after restoration of deep caries with conventional protocols.

CASE REPORT

A 33 years old female patient reported to our department with the complaint of pain in the first upper left molar recently restored with composite resin. Initially, the tooth were tested positive on pulp vitality and negative on percussion. Radiographic evaluation revealed that there was a critical proximity between the composite restoration and pulp (Figure-1). The patient was informed about the need of removing the composite filling and the possibility that an endodontic treatment would be required.
After patient consent, local anesthesia was performed and a rubber dam was placed to avoid contamination with saliva. The removal of composite restoration provoked an iatrogenic pulp exposure (Figure-2). Clinically the pulp of the tooth at the exposure site was vital without any major bleeding, so we have opted for the maintenance of tooth vitality by direct pulp capping.

The control of the hemorrhage was achieved by pressing moist cotton pellets on the pulp wound for 1–2 min and then we have disinfected the cavity with 3% sodium hypochlorite and gently dried the surrounding dentin it a two-way syringe.

Biodentine™ (Septodont) was chosen as a pulp capping and provisional filling material. It was placed as a bulk material to restore the entire cavity (Figure-3). The material was left in place for 6 weeks.

The patient reported that the tooth remained symptom free during the 6 weeks period. Nevertheless, she was tested positive to the cold spray test. The biodentine filling was reduced and kept as dentin substitute (Figure-4). Then, the tooth was prepared for receiving an hybrid ceramic inlay and a final impression was taken using the one-step putty-wash technique.

Selective enamel etching was done for 15 seconds after having covered the whole cavity enamel contour and dentin with orthophosphoric acid 37% to improve the effect of the one-step self-etching system followed by primer application (Figure-5).
9% is applied on the underside surface of the prosthesis and then rinsed with water and air-dried. Silane is applied to the restoration and to the composite build-up for one minute and then completely air-dried (Fig-6).

When it is about indicating an indirect restoration on a vital tooth with extensive carious lesion and before the beginning of the prosthetic treatment it is necessary to check out the depth and its pulp proximity. In this case, the removal of the composite restoration led to a pulpal exposure. It was imperative to choose a material that not only protects the exposed pulp and replaces lost dentin, but also serves as a solid base for the final restorative material. That is why we have chosen Biodentine. In fact, it is a new generation of silicate cement, which is as bioactive as mineral trioxide (MTA), but with superior handling properties and strength [3].

In fact, histological studies have shown that biodentine affected favorably healing when placed directly in contact with the pulp by enhancing the proliferation, migration, and adhesion of human dental pulp stem cells. This confirms the bioactive and biocompatible characteristics of the material. Its ability to trigger reparative dentin formation together with its antibacterial properties ensure long-term preservation of pulp vitality that is highly required in restorative dentistry [4, 5].

In addition, Compared to conventionally used pulp capping materials, such as calcium hydroxide and MTA, Biodentine showed the highest compressive strength. In fact, the product sheet of Biodentine states that a specific feature of Biodentine is its capacity to continue improving in terms of compressive strength with time until reaching a similar range with natural dentine (elastic modulus of 22 GPa, compressive strength of 220 MPa and micro-hardness of 60 VHN). This property is highly requested especially in the molar region where restored teeth needs to withstand high masticatory forces [6].

Moreover, Studies carried out on the quality of adhesion of Biodentine to dental tissues have shown that thanks to this material, an almost perfect adaptation with the enamel-dental complex is achieved. This process is continuous over time, thus increasing the tightness of the seal between the biomaterial and the dental tissues.

Indeed, scanning electron microscope imaging has shown, at the entrance of the dentinal tubules, a recrystallization that leads to the formation of mineral tags inducing a micromechanical anchoring of the Biodentine [7].

This fact allows the preservation of the material as a base underneath direct/indirect restoration.

The durability of the reconstruction, apart from the used materials, is influenced by the quality and sufficiency of their bond. The use of Biodentine requires, as the manufacturer suggests, covering it with a final material after the prior use of an adhesive agent.

The dual cure resin cement was applied on the restoration and firmly pushed allowing the excess material to flow out of the cavity. We can use a brush to remove the little bit of composite cement from the restoration-tooth interface. Initial polymerization is done under mild pressure with an instrument. Another polymerization cycle is carried out under glycerin to isolate completely from oxygen that might inhibit curing of the outer layer. Once the rubber dam is removed, occlusion is checked and final surface polishing is performed (Figure-7).

One week after Bonding, the patient was re-examined and reported no postoperative sensitivity.

**DISCUSSION**
For this reason, it is important to evaluate the shear bond strength of Biodentine to a composite material. Studies have shown that Biodentine is a weak restorative material in its early setting phase. Therefore, the placement of the inlay must be delayed for more than 2 weeks, so that Biodentine material will undergo adequate maturation to withstand contraction forces from the resin composite [8].

It is true that ceramics are the most biocompatible materials and give the best esthetic result, but it is important to ensure that the replacement material has the mechanical properties that are closest to the tooth substrate to be replaced. In fact, the closer the modulus of elasticity of the restorative material and the supporting dental tissue are to each other, the more similarly they respond to the stresses developed during functional movements.

For a ceramic layer uniformly supported by and bonded to a less stiff material, high tensile stresses develop in the ceramic at its interface with the cement, directly below the loaded area. These interfacial stresses arise from strain differences in the ceramic, cement, and dentin because of the ceramic being much stiffer (higher elastic modulus) than either the cement or the dentin.

Considering the fact, that the closer we get to the pulp, the more the mechanical properties of the dentin decrease, the stiffness of the ceramic material exposes the material to the risk of fracture. That is why composite or hybrid restorations are preferred over ceramic in cases of loss of dentin substance [9, 10].

**CONCLUSION**

Biodentine appears to be an effective material for the preservation of pulpal vitality and a dentine substitute with good dentine adhesion as well as to the overlying adhesive system. Thanks to all these qualities, the use of this material can be considered indispensable for a prosthetic restoration to respect the principle of tissue economy.
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