

Invariant submanifolds of (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifolds

Somashekhar G¹, Shivaprasanna G.S^{2*}, Maralabhavi Y.B.³, Rudraswamy Y. J.⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya institute of technology, Bengaluru-560107, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Dr.Ambedkar Institute of technology, Bengaluru-560056, India.

³Department of Mathematics, Central College, Bangalore University, Bengaluru-560 001, India.

⁴Department of Computer science, Reva institute of management studies, Bengaluru-560024, India.

*Corresponding Author:

Shivaprasanna G.S

Email: shivaprasanna28@gmail.com

Abstract: The object of present paper is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for invariant submanifolds of (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifolds to be totally geodesic.

Keywords: (ε, δ) Trans-Sasakian manifold, second fundamental form, invariant submanifold, totally geodesic, semi parallel, pseudo-parallel.

INTRODUCTION

Invariant submanifolds of a contact manifold have been a major area of research for long time since the concept was borrowed from complex geometry. It helps us to understand several important topics of applied mathematics; for example, in studying non-linear autonomous systems the idea of invariant submanifolds plays an important role [1]. A submanifold of a contact manifold is said to be totally geodesic if every geodesic in that submanifold is also geodesic in the ambient manifold. The concept of (ε) -Sasakian manifolds was introduced by A.Bejancu and K.L.Duggal [2] and further investigation was taken up by Xufend and Xiaoli[3] and Rakesh kumar et al.[4]. De and Sarkar [5] introduced and studied conformally flat, Weyl semisymmetric, ϕ -recurrent (ε) -Kenmotsu manifolds. In [1], the authors obtained Riemannian curvature tensor of (ε) -Sasakian manifolds and established relations among different curvatures. H.G.Nagraja et al.[6] have studied (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian structures which generalizes both (ε) -Sasakian manifolds and (ε) -Kenmotsu manifolds.

PRELIMINARIES

Let (\overline{M}, g) be an almost contact metric manifold of dimension $(2n+1)$ equipped with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) consisting of a $(1,1)$ tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η and a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad (2)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (3)$$

$$\phi\xi = 0, \eta \circ \phi = 0. \quad (4)$$

An almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} is called an (ε) -almost contact metric manifold if

$$g(\xi, \xi) = \varepsilon, \quad (5)$$

$$\eta(X) = \varepsilon g(X, \xi), \quad (6)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \varepsilon \eta(X)\eta(Y), \forall X, Y \in TM, \quad (7)$$

where $\varepsilon = g(\xi, \xi) = \pm 1$. An (ε) -almost contact metric manifold \overline{M} is called an (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold if

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi - \varepsilon\eta(Y)X] + \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \delta\eta(Y)\phi X], \tag{8}$$

holds for some smooth functions α and β on \bar{M} and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $\delta = \pm 1$. For $\beta = 0, \alpha = 1$, an (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold reduces to an (ε) -Sasakian and for $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ it reduces to a (δ) -Kenmotsu manifold.

Let (\bar{M}, g) be a (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold. Then from (8), it is easy to see that

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \xi) = -\varepsilon\alpha\phi X - \beta\delta\phi^2 X, \tag{9}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(Y, \phi X) + \varepsilon\delta\beta g(\phi X, \phi Y). \tag{10}$$

In an (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} , the curvature R Ricci tensor S satisfies [6]

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= \varepsilon((Y\alpha)\phi X - (X\alpha)\phi Y) + (\beta^2 - \alpha^2)(\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X) \\ &\quad - \delta((X\beta)\phi^2 Y - (Y\beta)\phi^2 X) + 2\varepsilon\delta\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)\phi X \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\phi Y) + 2\alpha\beta(\delta - \varepsilon)g(\phi X, Y)\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -(\phi X)\alpha + ((n-1)(\varepsilon\alpha^2 - \beta^2\delta) - (\xi\beta))\eta(X) - (2n-1)(X\beta) \tag{12}$$

SUBMANIFOLDS OF AN ALMOST CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD

Let M be a submanifold of a contact manifold \bar{M} . We denote ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connections of M and \bar{M} respectively, and $T^\perp(M)$ the normal bundle of M . Then Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{13}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = \nabla_X^\perp N - A_N X \tag{14}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$. ∇^\perp is the connection in the normal bundle, h is the second fundamental form of M and A_N is the Weingarten endomorphism associated with N . The second fundamental form h and the shape operator A related by

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y). \tag{15}$$

From (13) we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + h(X, \xi). \tag{16}$$

Lemma 1. Let M be a invariant submanifold of (ε, δ) trans-Sasakian manifold \bar{M} then we have

$$h(X, \xi) = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$h(\phi X, Y) = \phi(h(X, Y)) = h(X, \phi Y) \tag{18}$$

$$h(\phi X, \phi Y) = -h(X, Y) \tag{19}$$

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) = -h(Y, \nabla_X \xi) \tag{20}$$

Proof. By straight forward calculations we will get the above results.

Theorem 1. Let M be a invariant submanifold of (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold \overline{M} then

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) = h(Y, \xi) = h(Y, \varepsilon\alpha\phi X) + h(Y, \beta\delta\phi^2 X) \tag{21}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$.

Proof. By using (20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) &= -h(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = -h(Y, -\varepsilon\alpha\phi X - \beta\delta\phi^2 X) \\ &= h(Y, \varepsilon\alpha\phi X) + h(Y, \beta\delta\phi^2 X). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Corollary 1. Let M be a invariant submanifold of (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold \overline{M} then

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) = \varepsilon\alpha h(Y, \phi X) - \beta\delta h(Y, X) \tag{23}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$.

Proof. By using (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) &= h(Y, \varepsilon\alpha\phi X) + h(Y, \beta\delta\phi^2 X) \\ &= \varepsilon\alpha h(Y, \phi X) + \beta\delta h(Y, -X + \eta(X)\xi) \\ &= \varepsilon\alpha h(Y, \phi X) - \beta\delta h(Y, X). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Theorem 2. Let M be a invariant submanifold of (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian manifold \overline{M} then h is parallel if and only if M is totally geodesic.

Proof. Suppose that h is parallel. For each $X, Y \in TM$ and using (20) we get

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, \xi) = 0 \Rightarrow h(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = 0 \tag{25}$$

or

$$h(Y, -\varepsilon\alpha\phi X - \beta\delta\phi^2 X) = 0. \tag{26}$$

Hence

$$-\varepsilon\alpha h(Y, \phi X) - \beta\delta h(Y, \phi^2 X) = 0. \tag{27}$$

Since M is an invariant submanifold of \overline{M} , we have,

$$\phi(h(X, Y)) = 0. \tag{28}$$

From (18) it follows that

$$\phi(h(X, Y)) = h(Y, \phi X) = 0. \tag{29}$$

Then we get

$$\beta\delta h(Y, \phi^2 X) = 0. \tag{30}$$

Hence it follows that

$$h(Y, -X + \eta(X)\xi) = 0. \tag{31}$$

So

$$h(Y, X) = 0. \tag{32}$$

Vice versa, let M is totally geodesic. Then $h = 0$. For all $X, Y, Z \in TM$,

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Thus we have $\nabla h = 0$.

Theorem 3. An invariant submanifold of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ -trans-Sasakian manifold \overline{M} is totally geodesic if and only if its second fundamental form is Ricci generalized pseudo-parallel, provided $[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + 2nf(\epsilon\alpha^2 - \delta\beta^2)] \neq 0$.

Proof. Since the submanifold is Ricci generalized pseudo-parallel, we have

$$(R(X, Y).h)(U, V) = fQ(S, h)(X, Y, U, V). \tag{34}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)h(U, V) - h(R(X, Y)U, V) - h(U, R(X, Y)V) \\ = f(-S(V, X)h(U, Y) - S(V, Y)h(X, U) + S(U, Y)h(X, V)). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Putting $Y = V = \xi$ and applying (17) we obtain

$$-h(U, R(X, \xi)\xi) = -fS(\xi, \xi)h(X, U) \tag{36}$$

By using (11) and (12), we obtain

$$[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) + 2nf(\epsilon\alpha^2 - \delta\beta^2)]h(X, U) = 0 \tag{37}$$

Hence the submanifold is totally geodesic. The converse holds trivially.

Theorem 4. An invariant submanifold of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ -trans-Sasakian manifold \overline{M} is totally geodesic if and only if its second fundamental form is 2-semi-parallel, provided $[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)] \neq 0$.

Proof. Since, the second fundamental form is 2-semi-parallel, we have

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot (\nabla_U h))(Z, W) = 0, \tag{38}$$

which implies

$$(R^\perp(X, Y)(\nabla_U h)(Z, W) - (\nabla_U h)(R(X, Y)Z, W) - (\nabla_U h)(Z, R(X, Y)W)) = 0. \tag{39}$$

Now,

$$R^\perp(X, Y)(\nabla_U h)(\xi, \xi) = 0 \tag{40}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_U h)(R(X, \xi)\xi, \xi) &= (\nabla_U h)((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(X - \eta(X)\xi), \xi) \\ &= -h((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(X - \eta(X)\xi), \nabla_U \xi) \\ &= \epsilon\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)h(X, \phi U) + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta\delta h(X, U). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Similarly,

$$(\nabla_U h)(\xi, R(X, \xi)\xi) = \epsilon\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)h(X, \phi U) + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta\delta h(X, U). \tag{42}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\epsilon\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\phi h(X, U) + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta\delta h(X, U) = 0. \tag{43}$$

Applying ϕ on both sides of (43) we get

$$(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\beta\delta\phi h(X, U) = \epsilon\alpha(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)h(X, U) \tag{44}$$

From (43) and (44), we have

$$[(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)]h(X, U) = 0. \tag{45}$$

Hence the submanifold is totally geodesic. The converse holds trivially.

REFERENCES

1. Guojing Z, Jianguo W; Invariant submanifolds and modes of non-linear autonomous system, Appl.Math,1998;19:587-693.
2. Bejancu A, Duggal KL; Real hypersurfaces of indefinite Kahler manifolds. Int. J. Math. Math. sci., 1993; 16(3):545-556.
3. Xufeng X, Xiaoli C; Two theorems on ε -Sasakian manifolds, Int. J. Math. Math. sci., 1998; 21(2):249-254
4. Kumar R, Rani R, Nagaich RK; On sectional curvature of (ε) -Sasakian manifolds, Int. J. Math. Math. sci., 2007;93562.
5. De UC, Sarkar A; On (ε) -Kenmotsu manifolds. Hadronic journal, 2009;32:231-242.
6. Nagaraja HG, Premalatha RC, Somashekara G; On an (ε, δ) -trans-Sasakian structure, Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of sciences, 2012; 61(1):20-28.
7. Nagaraja HG, Somashekara; Special Trans-Sasakian manifolds and curvature conditions, International journal of pure and applied Mathematics,2012; 81(3):411-420.
8. Sarkar A, Sen M; On invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds, Proc.Estonian Acad.Sci., 2012; 61:29-37.
9. De UC, Guha N, Kamilya D; On generalized Ricci-recurrent manifolds, Tensor,N.S.,1995;56:312-317.